9 Research Alignment

Achieving Research Alignment in the Study

Objective

- Readers will be able to:
 - 1. Attain research alignment in their studies

Introduction

Many doctoral students and novice researchers have considerable trouble with the concept of alignment. They have trouble getting their study in alignment. The reasons stem from not having the knowledge of aligning the problem statements, purpose statement and research questions. Based on my experience of working with doctoral students, most of their dissertations or research projects are misaligned.

Alignment is a rather new development with dissertations, emerging probably within the last five years. So, a considerable number of professors that work with doctoral students are not familiar with it. If they are not familiar with it, they cannot possibly assist doctoral students with it. We hope to address this and enlighten doctoral students (and chairs, if possible). This chapter illustrates how to achieve research alignment with the research study and dissertation. It is based on a workshop we conducted at a conference in Atlanta, GA, for doctoral students in 2017.

Research Alignment: Definition and Meaning

Alignment refers to the logical progression of ideas between the structural elements of your dissertation proposal (Booton, 2014). One of the most important factors to keep in mind is that alignment between your problem statement, purpose statement and research questions is critical. The researcher must actively create a nexus between the problem, purpose and methodology through the process of the research. Many times, when your chair or dissertation committee talks about your proposal's lack of "alignment," they are referring to the logical progression from the introduction to the problem statement, then to the purpose statement, the research questions and hypotheses (if applicable), and finally the methodology.

DOI: 10.4324/9781003268154-9

Alignment is key to a good dissertation (Weintraub, 2017; Booton, 2020; *Alignment of problem*, 2020).

Alignment has gained increasing popularity in research and implementation studies. Although alignment is frequently suggested as important for successful implementation, it has rarely been the centerpiece of studies. Our study systematically collected evidence related to alignment from implementation studies in different healthcare settings (Lundmark et al., 2021). The practice of alignment also helps us be sure we are collecting the right data to answer our research questions (Fan et al., 2017).

The Importance of Alignment

Good alignment across the components in your study is critical for several reasons:

- A well-aligned study will have methodological rigor. Your research will be of higher scholarly quality, and the knowledge to be generated will be of more value to your discipline.
- A well-designed study will reflect that you have a solid understanding of the nature of the proposed research and the application of a given research method and research design to your topic.
- A well-aligned study will support implementing the research plan with fidelity to the research design, helping you to achieve your research objectives and answer your research questions.
- A well-aligned letter of intent, prospectus, concept paper or proposal is much more likely to be approved by your chair, committee or research review board, allowing you to move forward with your dissertation research ("Aligning the problem," n.d.).

In contrast, poor alignment across a study's components is problematic. Here are the key issues with a poorly aligned study:

- A poorly aligned study will lack methodological rigor and reflect a lack of understanding of the nature of your research and of the application of a given research method and research design to your topic.
- A poorly aligned study will not generate valuable information to achieve your research objectives or answer your research questions.
- A poorly aligned letter of intent, prospectus, concept paper or proposal will not be approved by your chair, committee and/or research review board, leading to delays in moving forward with your dissertation research.

The merits of having alignment in a study is critical. The researcher must consider the various components of the study (general problem, specific problem, knowledge gap, research objective, research question, hypotheses, research method and research design). In addition, the researcher must make sure these components

align well. The researcher must be aware of incongruence and inconsistencies throughout the descriptions ("Aligning the problem," n.d.).

Another perspective on alignment, in terms of quantitative methodology and validity, is by Hoadley (2004). Hoadley asserts the notion that alignment is essential to our understanding of research validity. Usually, when people discuss validity, they are referring to *measurement validity*, or the ability to ensure that our measurements accurately reflect the constructs that we are trying to measure. However, validity has a larger sense: The validity of a study is the likelihood that our interpretation of the results accurately reflects the truth of the theory and hypotheses under examination. In this sense, we need to be concerned with two other kinds of validity in research. We need to ensure that we have *treatment validity* – that is, that the treatments we create accurately align with the theories they are representing – and we need *systemic validity* – that is, the whole research endeavor must not only create a fair test of the theories, but those theories must be communicated in a way that is true to the inferences used to prove them (Hoadley, 2004).

In broader terms of alignment in a study, the research task consists of alignment between all elements of the process: literature review, theories, research paradigms, research questions, research methods, data analysis and findings. Furthermore, there should be alignment between the research paradigm and the theory selected. There should be a strong relationship between the theory and research questions; these two aspects should align (McCuaig et al., 2022).

The differences between quantitative and qualitative research methodology is striking. Quantitative research is applied to describe current conditions, investigate relationships and study cause-effect phenomena. Qualitative research, in contrast, is suited to promoting a deep understanding of a social setting or activity as viewed from the perspective of the research participants (Bloomberg, 2019; Bloomberg & Volpe, 2019).

The Rules of Alignment in a Study

Many times when working with doctoral students, I was surprised to find that they are completely unaware of research alignment. Below are three basic rules for achieving alignment:

- **Rule #1:** If you have three problem statement issues as a basis for the study, you must have three purpose statement objectives and three research questions. This is the first step in achieving alignment. This is important.
- **Rule #2:** You cannot achieve alignment if the elements are misaligned with an incompatible number of problem statement issues, purpose statement objectives and research statement questions (e.g., three issues, two objectives or four research questions). This is important.
- **Rule #3:** You must have an equal number of statements. This means you must have an equal number of problem statement issues, purpose statement objectives and research statement questions to properly achieve alignment (Miles, 2020).

Table 9.1 The research alignment model

Problem Statement: Issue (subproblem) 1: Issue (subproblem) 2: Issue (subproblem) 3: Purpose Statement: Objective 1: Objective 2: Objective 3: Research Statement: Research Question 1: Research Question 2: Research Question 3:

Lack of alignment between the dissertation elements is one of the most common reasons why a dissertation proposal does not get approval from the committee. The researcher must consider these three points when developing the problem statement, purpose statement and research questions. Research alignment is necessary to manage the dissertation. To achieve alignment, you must align the problem statement, purpose statement and research questions. It is imperative that these three components align properly. This chapter provides an alignment model and template for developing research alignment in a study (see Table 9.1).

Developing Alignment in a Research Study

The basis of the researcher's problem is the foundation for the research: the problem statement, purpose statement and research statement. Once the researcher has identified the problem as a basis for the study, the researcher is ready to develop the

Table 9.2 Example: The Statement Grid for problem statement development

Problem Statement:

Issue (subproblem) 1: Issue (subproblem) 2: Issue (subproblem) 3:

purpose statement and the research statement (and questions). All three components are important.

These are the three core components that form the foundation of your study. The problem statement provides the background and context of the research problem as a basis for the study. The purpose statement provides the objective of the study. It is also an extension of the research problem. Lastly, the research statement (and research questions) is an extension of the purpose of the study. These three core components must align.

Phase 1: Writing up the Problem Statement

First, before you can achieve alignment, you have to develop the problem statement. The tool that I use when working with doctoral students is the *Statement Grid* (Miles & Scott, 2017). This helps doctoral students develop the problem statement with supporting statements. I use this to help doctoral students when they have trouble coming up with their problem statement. It helps them with their thought process, describing three possible subproblems as a basis for the research. It also helps with the *rule of three* (three plausible reasons to support your argument and position with three points) (Miles & Scott, 2017) (see Table 9.2).

First, write the overall problem statement. **Second,** divide the problem to be investigated into two or three parts (or subproblems or issues) that are compelling. Please note, use the word *issue* when writing the subproblem. This is so it does not cause readers to misinterpret the issues as four separate problem statements (Table 9.3).

Phase 2: Writing up the Purpose Statement

In the next step, you must complete the purpose statement. **First,** write the overall purpose statement. **Second,** divide the purpose to be investigated into two or three parts (or objectives) that are compelling. Please note, use the word *objectives* when writing the purpose. This is so it does not cause readers to misinterpret them as four separate purpose statements (see Table 9.4).

Problem Statement:

The central problem to be researched by the proposed study is the shortage in the nursing field. This has a lot to do with the current nursing shortage and the need for more nurses in the field. There is a huge problem with this shortage and the availability of nurses. As a basis for this study, the researcher identified the problem to be threefold.

Issue (subproblem) 1:

The first issue is that the education of new nurses is the responsibility of institutions of higher education, but there is a national shortage of nursing faculty (McSherry et al., 2012, Rosseter, 2015), which is limiting the enrollment, education and graduation of new nurses from institutions of higher learning.

Issue (subproblem) 2:

The second issue is that, in the United States, 75% of the nursing faculty will reach retirement age in 2017 (Brett et al... 2014). This is alongside the existing problem that 6.9% of nursing faculty positions remain unfilled due to a lack of qualified nursing educators (Rosseter, 2015).

Issue (subproblem) 3:

Last, the issue is that the current nursing faculty express low job satisfaction (Bittner & O'Connor, 2012) due to complexities of the nursing educator role making the retention and recruitment of qualified faculty difficult (Byme & Martine, 2014). The current problems include a shortage of qualified nursing faculty to educate new nurses, the complexity of nursing education and current faculty complaints of poor job satisfaction (Cook, 2017).

Note: In-text citations included for illustrative purposes only.

Table 9.4 Example: The Statement Grid for purpose statement development

Purpose Statement:

The purpose of this study is to investigate the issues affecting job satisfaction by nursing faculty members in metropolitan New York. Interviewing and questioning nursing faculty living in metropolitan New York provides insight into their personal experiences of job satisfaction while working in the nurse educator role. The researcher has identified three primary objectives as a basis for this study.

Objective 1:

First, the objective of this study is to examine the issue of job satisfaction among nursing faculty.

Objective 2:

Second, the objective of this Lastly, the objective of study is to investigate the impact of low wages on the nursing faculty.

Objective 3:

this study is to examine the complexities of the nursing faculty role (Cook, 2017).

Table 9.5 Example: The Statement Grid for research statement development

Research Statement:

The central problem to be researched is the shortage in the nursing field. As a basis for this study, three research questions will guide this research.

Research Question 1:

RQ1: How do nurses describe their experiences with job satisfaction on the nursing faculty?

Research Question 2:

RQ2: How do nurses describe their experiences with the impact of low wages on nursing faculty?

Research Question 3:

RQ3: How do nurses describe their experiences with the complexities of the faculty role (Cook, 2017)?

Phase 3: Writing up the Research Statement

In the last step, you must complete the research questions. **First,** rewrite the problem statement as the overall research statement. **Second,** divide the research questions into separate parts. This will help align your research questions with (a) the problem statement and issues, and (b) the purpose statement and objectives (see Table 9.5).

Introducing the Alignment Matrix: Achieving Alignment in the Dissertation

First, before you achieve research alignment, you must develop the problem statement. The tool that I use when working with doctoral students is the *Alignment Matrix* (Miles, 2020). The *Alignment Matrix* helps doctoral students align the problem statement, purpose statement and research questions. This is a tremendous help with providing structure for the dissertation and study (see Tables 9.6 and 9.7).

See the following formula:

RESEARCH ALIGNMENT = Problem + Purpose + Research Questions

First and foremost, you must observe the key words in the problem statements, purpose statements and research statement and questions (see the key word examples in italics). This is the key to achieving alignment between the three statements. Once you coordinate this, you have achieved research alignment (see Table 9.7).

Table 9.6 The Alignment Matrix for research alignment

Problem Statement	Purpose Statement	Research Statement
Issues (subproblems)	Objectives (subpurposes)	Research Questions
Issue 1:	Objective 1:	Research Question 1:
Issue 2:	Objective 2:	Research Question 2:
Issue 3:	Objective 3:	Research Question 3:

Table 9.7 Example: The Alignment Matrix

Problem Statement	Purpose Statement	Research Statement
The central problem to be researched by the proposed study is the shortage in the nursing field. As a basis for this study, the researcher identified the problem to be threefold.	The purpose of this study is to investigate the issues affecting job satisfaction by nursing faculty members in metropolitan New York. The researcher has identified three primary objectives as a basis for this study.	The central problem to be researched is the shortage in the nursing field. There are three research questions that will guide this research.
Problem (Issues)	Purpose (Objectives)	Research Questions
Issue 1: The first issue identified as a basis for this study is the question of <i>job satisfaction</i> as experienced by nursing faculty.	Objective 1: First, the objective of this study is to examine the issue of <i>job satisfaction</i> among nursing faculty.	Research Question 1: RQ1: How do nurses describe their experiences with <i>job satisfaction</i> on the nursing faculty?

Table 9.7 (Continued)

Problem Statement	Purpose Statement	Research Statement	
Issue 2: The second issue identified as the basis for this research study is the <i>impact of low wages</i> on the lived experiences of job satisfaction by current nursing faculty.	Objective 2: Second, the objective of this study is to investigate the <i>impact of low wages</i> on the nursing faculty	Research Question 2: RQ2: How do nurses describe their experiences with the <i>impact of low</i> wages on nursing faculty?	
Issue 3: Lastly, the third issue identified affecting the job satisfaction of the nursing faculty is the <i>complexities of the faculty role.</i>	Objective 3: Lastly, the objective of this study is to examine the <i>complexities</i> of the nursing faculty role.	Research Question 3: RQ3: How do nurses describe their experiences with the <i>complexities of the</i> faculty role (Cook, 2017)?	

Problem Statement	Purpose Statement	Research Statement
The central problem identified in this study involves how principals manage job stress. The issue of how principals manage stress is threefold.	The purpose of this study is to investigate the experiences of 20 urban Central Texas school district K–12 principals in encountering job stress, coping with this stress and achieving work-life balance. The researcher has identified three primary objectives for this qualitative descriptive study.	The central problem to be researched involves how principals manage job stress. There are three research questions that will guide this research.

Research Questions Issues (subproblems) Objectives (subpurposes) Research **Issue 1:** First, there is **Objective 1:** First, the the prevailing issue objective of this study Question 1: concerning the effect is to investigate the RQ1: How do Kof principals' job effect of principals' 12 principals and stress level. Stressed job stress level on their administrators subordinates and staff. describe their workers are more likely to be unhealthy, experiences less productive, of job stress unmotivated and not in an urban Central Texas safe at work. school district environment? Issue 2: Second, there Research **Objective 2:** The is the prevailing issue second objective is to **Question 2:** concerning the lack investigate how job RO2: How do Kof research on how stress affects principals' 12 principals and the job stress level can ability to use coping administrators affect the principals' skills. describe their experiences of ability to use coping skills. Many authors using coping have investigated how skills for job stress in an urban principals cope with Central Texas stress. school district environment? **Issue 3:** Lastly, there **Objective 3:** Lastly, the Research is a prevailing issue final purpose of the Question 3: concerning the impact qualitative study is to RQ3: How do Kthat job stress can have investigate the impact 12 principals and on how principals administrators that job stress can have balance their work and on how principals describe their home life. balance their work and experiences of home life. coping with work-life balance and job stress in an urban Central Texas school district

environment (Beltran, 2020)?

Exam	ple	9.2
------	-----	-----

at a Christian

southern New York.

university in

Research Statement Problem Statement Purpose Statement The central problem The purpose of this The central problem to to be researched study is to investigate be researched is the how international lack of intercultural in the proposed study is the lack and domestic competence within of intercultural students perceive the peer mentoring between international competence effectiveness, if any, of peer mentoring students and domestic within peer mentoring between on intercultural students' relationships international and the lack of competence at a students and Western, private, personal accounts of domestic students' Christian university students' experience relationships and in southern in a peer-mentoring the lack of personal California. This study program There accounts of has three primary are three research students' experience objectives. questions that will in a peer-mentoring guide this research. program. As a basis for this study, the researcher identified the problem to be threefold. Issues (subproblems) Objectives (subpurposes) Research Questions **Issue 1:** First, there Objective 1: The Research is a lack of data first objective of this Question 1: study is to identify regarding the RQ1: What are the cultural challenges whether there are cultural challenges with international cultural challenges faced by students students' experience that students face on in Christian higher

a Christian university

campus and what

these challenges entail. This study will include 30 students. education?

Problem Statement	Purpose Statement	Research Statement
issue 2: The second issue is the lack of research on the intercultural competence and peer mentoring skills of domestic students.	Objective 2: The second objective of this study is to examine and interpret peer-mentoring interactions between international and domestic undergraduate students and their influence on intercultural competence.	Research Question 2: RQ2: Does peer mentoring influence the intercultural competence of undergraduate, international and domestic students in a private Christian university and, if so, how?
Issue 3: Lastly, the researcher identified a lack of personal accounts from students about their experiences with a peer-mentoring program.	Objective 3: Lastly, the third objective of the study is to examine the actual lived experiences of international students through their own words on the effectiveness of the American International Mentoring program.	Research Question 3: RQ3: What are students' experiences during the American International Mentoring program (Kwapong, 2021)?

Examp	le	9.	3
-------	----	----	---

focus and operational

performance in

organizations.

air transportation

Problem Statement Research Statement Purpose Statement The central problem The purpose of The central problem identified by the this study is to identified by the researcher is the issue determine whether researcher is the issue of organizational a relationship exists of organizational performance, between customer performance, leadership and market leadership and employee focus, employee and employee empowerment. There empowerment. empowerment, leaders' openness are three research As a basis for this study, the researcher to new business questions that will identified three key strategies and guide this research. problems demanding organizational this investigation. performance in transportation companies located on the west side of Puerto Rico. The researcher has identified three primary objectives as a basis for this study. Issues (subproblems) Research Questions Objectives (subpurposes) **Issue 1:** The first Objective 1: The Research major problem first objective of Question 1: identified as a basis this study is to RQ1: To what extent for this study is the determine if there does a relationship issue regarding is a relationship exist between customer and market between customer customer and

and market focus

performance in

air transportation organizations.

and organizational

market focus and

organizational

performance?

Problem Statement	Purpose Statement	Research Statement
Issue 2: The second major problem as a basis for this study is the concerns with employee empowerment and organizational performance in air transportation organizations.	Objective 2: The second objective of this study is to determine if there is a relationship between employee empowerment and organizational performance in air transportation organizations.	Research Question 2: RQ2: To what extent does a relationship exist between employee empowerment and organizational performance?
Issue 3: The last major problem identified as a basis for this study is the issue relating to leaders' openness to new business strategies and organizational performance in air transportation organizations.	Objective 3: The last objective of this study is to determine if there is a relationship between leaders' openness to new business strategies and organizational performance in air transportation organizations.	Research Question 3: RQ3: To what extent does a relationship exist between leaders' openness to new business strategies and organizational performance (Morales, 2017)?

Summary

The concept of alignment is relatively new in the research community. The goal of achieving research alignment is important when it comes to research. This chapter discussed the concept of alignment. Research alignment is a necessary task to manage the dissertation. To achieve research alignment, it is imperative that it be taught to both novice and experienced researchers. The purpose of this chapter is to illustrate and discuss how to achieve research alignment in studies. This chapter provided a model and template for developing research alignment in a study.

The chapter discussed four key components. First, the chapter discussed the meaning and definition of alignment. Second, the chapter discussed the basic rules for alignment. Third, the chapter introduced two tools: the *Statement Grid*, for developing problem statements and purpose statements, and the *Alignment Matrix*, a tool used for aligning the problem statement, purpose statement and research questions. Last, the chapter provided examples of alignment with all three. It is

our expectation that our proposed conceptual models and frameworks will provide researchers with a template and a foundation for alignment in research projects and dissertations.

References

- Aligning the problem, research objectives, research questions, and research design. (n.d.).

 Retrieved from http://wpc.6fdc.edgecastcdn.net/006FDC//UOR_Curriculum/canvas/courseFiles/RES/RES8920/08CH Heitner Dissertation.pdf
- Alignment of problem, purpose, and research questions [Presentation]. (2020). Center for Teaching and Learning, Northcentral University.
- Beltran, V. (2020). A descriptive study of central Texas urban school principals' experience of influences contributing to job stress. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses.
- Bloomberg, L. (2019). Achieving alignment in your qualitative dissertation [Webinar]. Northcentral University/Center for Teaching and Learning. Retrieved from https://library.ncu.edu/c.php?g=1069271&p=7782825
- Bloomberg, L. D., & Volpe, M. (2019). Completing your qualitative dissertation: A road map from beginning to end (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Booton, C. (2014). Using rich pictures to verify, contradict, or enhance verbal data. *The Qualitative Report 2018*, 23(11), 2835–2849.
- Booton, C. (2020). *How to align the elements of your dissertation proposal*. Love Your Dissertation. Retrieved from https://loveyourdissertation.com/alignment/
- Cook, L. (2017). The current issues affecting job satisfaction by nursing faculty as a lived experience [Unpublished dissertation]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses.
- Fan, M., Antle, A. N., Hoskyn, M., Neustaedter, C., & Cramer, E. (2017). Why tangibility matters: A design case study of at-risk children learning to read and spell. In *Proceedings of* the 2017 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (pp. 1805–1816).
- Hoadley, C. (2004). Methodological alignment in design-based research, *Educational Psychologist*, 39 (4), 203–212. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep3904_2
- Kwapong, S. (2021). Investigating the experiences of international and domestic students in a peer mentoring program and its effects on intercultural competence at a university: A qualitative study. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses.
- Lundmark, R., Hasson, H., Richter A., Khachatryan, E., Åkesson, A., & Eriksson, L. (2021). Alignment in implementation of evidence-based interventions: A scoping review. *Implementation Sci*, 16(93). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-021-01160-w
- McCuaig, L., Dyson, B., Sutherland, S., & Hiromi, M. (2022). Research paradigms & research questions: Alignment, alignment, alignment [Presentation]. College of Biology and Education, Ohio State University.
- Miles, D. A. (2020). Achieving alignment: How to achieve research alignment in a study. Doctoral Student Workshop (no publication), pp. 1–12.
- Miles, D. A. & Scott, L. (2017, October 26–29). Confessions of a dissertation chair, Part 1: The six mistakes doctoral students make with the dissertation [Workshop]. 5th Annual 2017 Black Doctoral Network Conference, Atlanta, GA.
- Morales, L. (2017). A Baldrige assessment of an organization: An empirical study of Baldrige criteria and organizational performance in air transportation organizations [Unpublished dissertation]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses.
- Weintraub, D. (2017). Alignment: The key to a strong dissertation [Presentation]. NSU/FCE Summer Institute 2017, Nova Southeastern University.