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## repeated game

what's different

- The fact that a game is repeated in time may make a difference since the prospect of reward or punishment may lead to different behavior
- But reward/punishment is only credible if it is part of a SPE!


## repeated game

## notions

- A repeated game is a dynamic game of complete information in which a component (simultaneous-move) game is repeated at least twice and the previous plays are observed before the next play
- The component game is sometimes called the stage game
- Repetition may be finite or infinite


## finitely repeated game

example: once-repeated PD

- Two prisoners make a simultaneous choice between cooperate (C) or defect (D)
- Their choices are revealed to them
- They play the same stage game again, i.e., make the same choices
- The payoffs of the game are the sums of the payoffs in each stage


## once-repeated PD <br> stage game



## once-repeated PD

## game tree



## finitely repeated game SPE

- The analysis of SPE in a finitely repeated game proceeds by backwards induction


## finitely repeated game example: SPE

- In all proper subgames, the unique NE is (C,C)
- Folding back to the first round, the only NE is again (C,C). So, the unique SPE is

$$
((\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}),(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}))
$$

- Here, the players play each stage of the game as if they were playing it by itself!


## finitely repeated game

SPE

- Proposition: Consider a finitely repeated game (G,T) with $G=(I, S, u)$. Suppose the stage game has one NE, say ( $\mathrm{s}^{*}{ }_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}^{*} \mathrm{n}$ ). Then, the repeated game has exactly one SPE, where each player i plays $\mathrm{s}^{*}{ }_{i}$ at every one of the T stages, regardless of the history of the game


## finitely repeated game example 2

The following stage game is played twice:


Can we find a SPE where (M,M) is played?

## finitely repeated game

 example 2: informal game tree

## finitely repeated game example 2: SPE

Player l's strategy:
plays $M$ at stage 1 and at stage 2 plays $R$ if the first stage outcome is (M,M) or L otherwise

Player 2's strategy:
plays $M$ at stage 1 and at stage 2 plays $R$ if the first stage outcome is (M,M) or L otherwise

## finitely repeated game example 2: SPE

Adding payoffs of stage 2 to stage 1 :


## finitely repeated game example 2: SPE

But there are other SPE!
For example, playing L always... or R always...
And others...

## finitely repeated game

SPE

If the stage game has multiple NE, there are many SPE of the finitely repeated game.

The nonmyopic behavior is sustained by the expectation of reciprocity: a player may be willing to sacrifice short-term gains (by deviating to L in the example) if he anticipates that she will be rewarded in the future for having made such a sacrifice.
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## infinitely repeated game discounting

- l Euro in a year's time is different than 1 Euro now!
- l Euro could be invested and earn interest; if the interest rate is $5 \%$,
1 Euro today $\times 1.05=1.05$ Euro in a year's time
- So, 1 Euro in a year's time is worth 0.952 today! 0.952 today $\times 1.05=1$ Euro in a year's time


## infinitely repeated game <br> discounting

- $1 / 1.05=0.952$ is the discount factor $d$
- So, if an agent receives K every year, in the present this amounts to:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{Kd}+\mathrm{Kd}^{2}+\ldots+\mathrm{Kd}^{\mathrm{t}}+\ldots \\
=\mathrm{K}\left(1+\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{d}^{2}+\ldots+\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{t}}+\ldots\right) \\
=\mathrm{K}[1 /(1-\mathrm{d})]
\end{gathered}
$$

## infinitely repeated game

example: infinitely repeated PD

- The PD is repeated an infinite number of times
- Each player's payoff is the discounted sum of payoffs in the stage game


## infinitely-repeated PD

stage game


## infinitely repeated PD <br> SPE

- Consider the following strategy:

A player starts by playing D and continues playing $D$ as long as ( $D, D$ ) is the outcome of all previous stages; if there is one deviation, then C forever!

- This is a grim trigger strategy: a deviation from the desired behavior (D,D) triggers punishment forever


## infinitely repeated PD <br> SPE

- Is the pair of grim trigger strategies a SPE?
- Note that there is an infinite number of subgames (no matter how players have played, each time they repeat play, a new subgame begins)
- But, for the grim trigger strategy, there are only two kinds of subgames: those in which no deviation occured (and players chose D,D always) and the others (those in which someone deviated at some point)


## infinitely repeated PD

SPE

- Assuming the column player chooses the grim trigger strategy, does the row player have incentives to deviate?
- For the subgames of the second type, the strategy prescribes choosing C, which, since the column player is also choosing $C$, is the best reply (he cannot change the expected pattern of play therefater!)


## infinitely repeated PD <br> SPE

- For the subgames of the first type (with history ( $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{D}$ )), does the row player want to chose C at some point?
- Choosing C (against D) gives immediate payoff of 5 , but 1 therefater
- Continuing with D gives 4 forever


## infinitely repeated PD <br> SPE

The row player will not deviate iff:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4+4 d+4 d^{2}+4 d^{3}+\ldots \geq 5+d+d^{2}+d^{3}+\ldots \\
& \Leftrightarrow \frac{4}{1-d} \geq 5+d \frac{1}{1-d} \Leftrightarrow \\
& \Leftrightarrow d \geq \frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., playing the grim trigger strategies (ensuring D,Devery period) is a SPE iff the future is important enough!

