Models in Finance - Class 23

Master in Actuarial Science

João Guerra

ISEG

João Guerra (ISEG)

Models in Finance - Class 23

1 / 11

The Cox-Ingersoll-Ross (CIR) model

• The CIR model SDE for r(t) under Q:

$$dr(t) = \alpha \left(\mu - r(t)\right) dt + \sigma \sqrt{r(t)} d\widetilde{W}_t, \qquad (1)$$

where \widetilde{W}_t is a standard Bm under Q, and the parameter α is positive. • The drift is the same as for the Vasicek model.

- The difference between the two models occurs in the volatility, which increases with the square-root of r(t) for the CIR model. Since this diminishes to zero as r(t) approaches zero, if σ² is not too large (σ² ≤ 2αμ), we can guarantee that r(t) will not hit zero and all other interest rates will remain strictly positive.
- This dynamics ensures mean reversion of the interest rate towards the long run value μ, with speed of adjustment governed by the strictly positive parameter α.

The Cox-Ingersoll-Ross (CIR) model

- The power (1/2) in $\sqrt{r(t)}$ was chosen because is the value that "just" prevents the process r(t) from reaching zero.
- Under the CIR model, the zero-coupon bond prices are:

$$B(t, T) = e^{a(\tau) - b(\tau)r(t)},$$
 (2)

where

$$\begin{split} \tau &= T - t, \\ b(\tau) &= \frac{2\left(e^{\theta\tau} - 1\right)}{\left(\theta + \alpha\right)\left(e^{\theta\tau} - 1\right) + 2\theta}, \\ a(\tau) &= \frac{2\alpha\mu}{\sigma^2}\log\left(\frac{2\theta e^{(\theta + \alpha)\tau/2}}{\left(\theta + \alpha\right)\left(e^{\theta\tau} - 1\right) + 2\theta}\right), \\ \theta &= \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\sigma^2}. \end{split}$$

João Guerra (ISEG)

Models in Finance - Class 23 $\,$

3 / 11

The Cox-Ingersoll-Ross (CIR) model

- Pricing formulae for European call and put options on zero-coupon bonds look similar to those for the Vasicek model and to the Black-Scholes formulae for equity options.
- However, where the latter models use the cumulative distribution function of the Normal distribution, the CIR formulae use the cumulative distribution function of the non-central chi-squared distribution.
- If X_i ~ N (d_i, 1) then Y_d = X₁² + X₂² + · · · + X_n² is said to have a non-central chi–squared distribution with n degrees of freedom and non-centrality parameter d = ∑_{i=1}ⁿ d_i².
- From the point of view of implementation, the CIR model is slightly more tricky than the Vasicek model.

The Hull & White (HW) model

- The SDEs for both the Vasicek and CIR models gave us time-homogeneous models (bond prices at t depend only on r(t) and on the term to maturity τ = T − t). ⇒ lack of flexibility for pricing related contracts.
- For example, on any given date theoretical bond prices will probably not match exactly observed market prices.
- We can re-estimate r(t) to improve the match and even re-estimate the constant parameters α, μ and σ but we will still, normally, be unable to get a precise match.
- A simple way to get theoretical prices to match observed market prices is to introduce some elements of time-inhomogeneity into the model.
- The Hull & White (HW) model does this by extending the Vasicek model in a simple way.

```
João Guerra (ISEG)
```

Models in Finance - Class 23

The Hull & White (HW) model

• The HW model SDE for r(t) under Q:

$$dr(t) = \alpha \left(\mu \left(t \right) - r(t) \right) dt + \sigma d \widetilde{W}_{t}, \tag{3}$$

where \widetilde{W}_t is a standard Bm under Q, the parameter α is positive and $\mu(t)$ is a deterministic function.

- $\mu(t)$ has the natural interpretation of being the local mean-reversion level for r(t).
- This is similar to Vasicek model but now $\mu(t)$ is no longer a constant.
- HW model can be extended to include a time-varying deterministic $\sigma(t)$. This allows us to calibrate the model to traded option prices as well as zero-coupon bond prices.

5 5 / 11

The Hull & White (HW) model

- Since µ(t) is deterministic the HW model is as tractable as the Vasicek model.
- An advantage of the HW model is that it allows us to price interest-rate linked contracts more accurately.
- This is important for a variety of reasons:
 - In insurance, the fair value of fixed liabilities must accurately reflect the current observed term-structure of interest rates. The use of the Vasicek (or CIR) model, even after fitting the model to the current term structure, might introduce some bias into the fair value.
 - ② Bond and interest-rate-derivatives traders want to be able to quote prices which are in line with prices being quoted by other traders. This is facilitated by the use of models like the HW model and other, more sophisticated, no-arbitrage models.
- The HW model suffers from the same drawback as the Vasicek model: interest rates might become negative.

```
João Guerra (ISEG)
```

Models in Finance - Class 23

7 / 11

Limitations of one-factor models

I Historical interest rate data => changes in the prices of bonds with different terms to maturity are not perfectly correlated as expected to see if a one-factor model was correct.

We can see sometimes that short-dated bonds fall in price while long-dated bonds go up.

Around three random factors are required to capture most of the randomness in bonds of different durations.

If we look at the long run of historical data => sustained periods of both high and low interest rates with periods of both high and low volatility.

This is difficult to capture without more random factors. This is important for: (i) the pricing and hedging of long-dated insurance contracts with interest-rate guarantees; (ii) asset-liability modelling and long-term risk-management.

We need more complex models to deal effectively with derivative contracts more complex than standard European call options.

Any contract which makes reference to more than one interest rate $\frac{8}{Models in Finance - Class 23}$ should allow these rates to be less than perfectly correlated.

Limitations of one-factor models

- One-factor models do, nevertheless have their place as tools for: valuation of simple liabilities with no option characteristics; or short-term, straightforward derivatives contracts.
- For other problems it is appropriate to make use of models which have more than one source of randomness: so-called multifactor models.

João Guerra (ISEG)

Models in Finance - Class 23

9 9 / 11

Multifactor models

• Example of a multifactor model: the 2-factor Vasicek model, which models 2 processes: r(t) and m(t), the local mean reversion level:

$$dr(t) = \alpha_r \left(m(t) - r(t) \right) dt + \sigma_{r1} d\widetilde{W}_1(t) + \sigma_{r2} d\widetilde{W}_2(t) , \quad (4)$$

$$dm(t) = \alpha_m \left(\mu - m(t)\right) dt + \sigma_{m1} d\widetilde{W}_1(t), \qquad (5)$$

where $\widetilde{W}_1(t)$ and $\widetilde{W}_2(t)$ are independent, standard Brownian motions under the risk neutral measure Q.

• One big difference of this model with respect to the HW model is that here, the mean-reversion level m(t) is stochastic.

Multifactor models

 In general, zero-coupon bond prices can be calculated by the risk-neutral approach formula:

$$B(t, T) = E_Q\left[\left.e^{-\int_t^T r(u)du}\right|\mathcal{F}_t\right].$$
(6)

• For the 2-factor Vasicek model (it is a Markov process):

$$B(t,T) = E_Q\left[\left.e^{-\int_t^T r(u)du}\right|r(t), m(t)\right].$$
(7)

João Guerra (ISEG)

Models in Finance - Class 23

11 11 / 11