Ratemaking and Experience Rating Master on Actuarial Science

Alfredo D. Egídio dos Reis



Programme

- Introduction and concepts
- Credibility theory
 - The credibility formula
 - Olassical and Bayesian methodology
 - 3 Bühlmann's model
 - Bühlmann-Straub's model
 - 6 Exact credibility
 - 6 Parameter estimation
- Bonus-malus systems
 - Introduction and definitions
 - Markov analysis
- Ratemaking and GLM. Applications



References

- Klugman, S.A.; Panjer, H.H. & Willmot, G.E. (2008 or 2012).
 Loss Models, From Data to Decisions, 3rd or 4th editions,
 John Wiley, Hoboken NJ.
- Kaas, R., Goovaerts, M., Dhaene, J. e Denuit, M. (2008).
 Modern Actuarial Risk Theory: Using R, 2nd edition, Springer.
- Ohlsson, E. & Johansson, B. (2010). Non-Life Insurance Pricing with Generalized Linear Models, EAA series/EAA Lecture Notes, Springer.
- Pitrebois, S., Denuit, M. & Walhin, J.F. (2003). Setting a bonus-malus scale in the presence of other rating factors: Taylor's work revisited, ASTIN Bulletin, 33(2), 419-436.
- Centeno, M.L. (2003). *Teoria do Risco na Actividade Seguradora*, Celta Editora, Oeiras, Portugal.

Introduction and Concepts

- Ratemaking:
 - "Pricing" insurance, calculation of Insurance Premia
 - Building a tariff for a portfolio, or portfolios somehow connected
- Experience rating: adjust future premiums based on past experience
- Prior and Posterior Ratemaking

Insurance **Premium**: Price for buying insurance (for a period). Two components:

- Economic criteria: market price, admin costs
- Actuarial criteria:
 - based on technical aspects of the risk
 - Meant to cover future claims
 - We only consider this here



Some concepts

- Tariff:
 - It's a list of prices
 - System of premiums for the risks of a portfolio (homogeneous)
 - Sets a base premium (homogeneous)
 - plus a set of bonus/malus (heterogeneous)
- Exposure: Risk volume, in risk units, no.
- Risk unit: Commonly, a policy; sometimes a set of policies
- Claim: an accident generates a claim, monetary amount
- Claim frequency: number of claims, distribution
- Severity: amount of the claim
- Loss reserving
- Pure premium: Risk mean, loss mean
- Loss ratio: paid claims/premiums



Credibility formula

Let X be a given risk in a portfolio, with Pure Premium E(X), unknown:

• If the risk is has been sufficiently observed

$$E(X) \simeq \overline{X}$$
 (Full Credibility)

• If not, use Partial Credibility, Credibility Formula:

$$E(X) \simeq z\overline{X} + (1-z)M$$

 $z = \frac{n}{n+k}$

- Credibility factor: z, $0 \le z < 1$
- n: No. observations; k: some positive constant
- M: Externally obtained mean (Manual rate).



The credibility formula

Example

A given risk $X|\theta \frown Bin(1;\theta)$, obs'd 10 yrs, 20 risks. $\bar{X}=0.0145$.

Ano i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
1	1		1								1						1	1		
2							1		1		1						1			
3			1				1		1	1										
4																	1			
5									1		1									
6						1			1			1								╙
7									1					1			1		_	
8												_1_								—
9						1					1		1	<u> </u>					-	
10				L					1			1					1			Ļ
$\hat{\theta}_{i}$	0,0	0,0	0,2	0,0	0,0	0,2	0,2	0,0	0,6	0,1	0,4	0,3	0,1	0,1	0,0	0,0	0,5	0,1	0,1	0,0

"Limited Fluctuation" and "Greatest Accuracy" theories

- Limited Fluctuation: Classical approach
 - From some computed $n: n > n_0$ use Full credibility;
 - 2 Otherwise: Use Partial credibility. But what M, k?
- 2 Greatest Accuracy: Bayesian approach.

Example (Ex. 20.1, Classical, Full credibility)

Past losses: $X_1, X_2, \dots X_n$, estimate $\xi = E[X_i]$. Find n:

$$Pr\left\{-r\xi \leq \bar{X} - \xi \leq r\xi\right\} \geq p$$

$$Pr\left\{\left|\frac{\bar{X} - \xi}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right| \leq \frac{r\xi\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}\right\} \geq p$$

Suppose 10 obs: 6 "0's" and 253, 398, 439, 756, r = 0.05, p = 0.9

$$n \ge \lambda_0 \left(\frac{\sigma}{\tilde{c}}\right)^2 = 1082.41 \left(\frac{267.89}{184.6}\right)^2 = 2279.51$$

Classical and Bayesian approach

Example (Ex. 20.1 cont'd, Classical, Partial credibility)

10 obs: 6 "0's" and 253, 398, 439, 756, r = 0.05, p = 0.9

n = 10 does not deserve full credibility. **Credibility Formula**:

$$E(X) \simeq z\overline{X} + (1-z)M$$
. $(z=?)$

$$z = \frac{n}{n+k}$$

$$z = \min \left\{ \frac{\xi}{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{n}{\lambda_0}}; 1 \right\}$$

$$z = 0.06623$$

$$P_c = 0.06623(184.6) + 0.93377(225) = 222.32$$

Theory, outgrowth of Buhlman's (1967) paper

Example (Ex. 20.9, Bayesian approach)

Two types of drivers: Good and Bad. Good are 75% of the population and in one year have have 0 claims w.p. 0.7, 1 w.p. 0.2 and 2 w.p. 0.1. Bad drivers, respectively, 25%, 0.5, 0.3, 0.2. when a driver buys insurance insurer does not know it's category. We assign an unknown risk parameter, θ .

Example (Ex. 20.9 cont.)

X	$P(X = x \theta = G)$	$P(X = x \theta = B)$	θ	$P(\Theta = \theta) = \pi(\theta)$
0	0.7	0.5	G	0.75
1	0.2	0.3	В	0.25
2	0.1	0.2		

Joint and conditional distribution and expectation

Some basics: Bivariate random variable: (X, Y). D.f. $F_{X,Y}$, pdf or pf $f_{X,Y}$

- $f_{X,Y}(x,y)$, marginals f_X , f_y . If independent: $f_{X,Y} = f_X f_Y$.
- Conditional (Conditional ind.: $f_{X,Y|Z} = f_{X|Z}f_{Y|Z}$):

$$\begin{array}{ll} f_{X|Y}(x) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_{Y}(y)} & f_{Y|X}(y) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_{X}(x)} \\ f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_{X|Y}(x)f_{Y}(y) & f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_{Y|X}(y)f_{X}(x) \end{array}$$

Classical and Bayesian approach

Outline

Marginals

$$\begin{array}{ll} f_X(x) = \int f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy; & f_Y(y) = \int f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx \\ f_X(x) = \int f_{X|Y}(x) f_Y(y) dy; & f_Y(x) = \int f_{Y|X}(x) f_X(y) dx \end{array}$$

Expectations, Iterated expectation

$$E[E(X|Y)] = E[X]; E[E(Y|X)] = E[Y]$$

$$V[X] = E[V(X|Y)] + V[E(X|Y)]$$

$$Cov[X, Y] = E[Cov(X, Y|Z)] + Cov[E(X|Z); E(Y|Z)]$$

Example (Ex. 20.9 cont'd)

Suppose we observed for a particular risk: $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2) = (0, 1)$. Given θ obs are independent.

Bonus-malus systems

$$\begin{split} f_{\mathbf{X}}(0,1) &= \sum_{\theta} f_{\mathbf{X}|,,}(0,1|\theta)\pi(\theta) = \sum_{\theta} f_{X_{1}|\theta}(0|\theta) f_{X_{2}|\theta}(1|\theta)\pi(\theta) \\ &= 0.7(0.2)(0.75) + 0.5(0.3)(0.25) = 0.1425 \\ f_{\mathbf{X}}(0,1,x_{3}) &= \sum_{\theta} f_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{X}_{3}|,,}(0,1,x_{3}|\theta)\pi(\theta) \\ &= \sum_{\theta} f_{X_{1}|\theta}(0|\theta) f_{X_{2}|\theta}(1|\theta) f_{X_{3}|\theta}(x_{3}|\theta)\pi(\theta) \\ f(0,1,0) &= 0.09995; \ f(0,1,1) = 0.003225; \ f(0,1,2) = 0.01800 \end{split}$$

Predictive and Posterior distribution

$$f(0|0,1) = 0.647368; \ f(1|0,1) = 0.226316; \ f(2|0,1) = 0.126316$$

 $\pi(G|0,1) = 0.736842; \ \pi(B|0,1) = 0.263158$

Example (Ex. 20.11)

Let $X|\theta \frown Poisson(\theta)$ and

$$\Theta \frown \mathsf{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta) \Rightarrow X \frown \mathsf{NBinomial}(\alpha, \beta)$$

$$E(X|\theta) = \theta \Rightarrow E(X) = E(E(X|\Theta)) = E(\Theta) = \alpha\beta$$

$$V(X|\theta) = \theta \Rightarrow V(X) = V(E(X|\Theta)) + E(V(X|\Theta)) = \alpha\beta(1+\beta)$$

Example (Ex. 20.10)

Let $X|\theta \sim \exp(1/\theta)$, mean $1/\theta$, and $\Theta \sim Gamma(4, 0.001)$.

$$f(x|\theta) = \theta e^{-\theta x}, x, \theta > 0$$

$$\pi(\theta) = \theta^3 e^{-1000\theta} 1000^4 / 6, \theta > 0$$

Example (Ex. 20.10)

Suppose a risk had 3 claims of 100, 950, 450.

$$f(100, 950, 450) = \int_0^\infty f(100, 950, 450|\theta) d\pi(\theta) d\theta$$

$$= \int_0^\infty f(100|\theta) f(950|\theta) f(450|\theta) d\pi(\theta) d\theta$$

$$= \frac{1,000^4}{6} \frac{720}{2,500^7}$$

Similarly,

$$f(100, 950, 450, x_4) = \frac{1,000^4}{6} \frac{5040}{(2,500 + x_4)^8}$$

Example (Ex. 20.10)

Predictive density, posterior density

$$\begin{array}{lcl} f(x_4|100,950,450) & = & \frac{7\,(2500)^7}{(2,500+x_4)^8} \rightarrow \textit{Pareto}(7;2500) \\ \pi(\theta|100,950,450) & = & \theta^6 e^{-2500\theta} 2500^7/\Gamma(7) \rightarrow \textit{Gamma}(7;1/2500) \end{array}$$

(Conjugate distributions) Risk premium and potential estimates:

$$\mu_4(\theta) = E(X_4|\theta) = ?$$

$$E(X_4|100,950,450) = 416,67$$

$$\mu = E(X_4) = E(1/\Theta) = 1000/3 = 333.3(3)$$

$$\bar{X} = 500$$

$$\mu < E(X_4|100,950,450) < \bar{X}$$

Exercices 20.20, 20.23, p. 605.



Bayesian approach

From now onwards, assume a Bayesian approach:

Let a portfolio of risks, homogeneous, but "different":

- Homogeneous: risks follow the same distribution family
- Heterogeneous: distribution parameter is different.

A given risk comes attached with a parameter θ :

- Fixed, but unknown, not observable;
- Only claims are observed: $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) = \mathbf{X}$;
- $oldsymbol{\theta}$ is the hidden aspects of the risk, which differs from others;
- Like classical statistics: Use past data X to predict X_{n+1}
- Risk (pure) Premium: $E(X_{n+1}|\theta) = \mu_{n+1}(\theta)$.
- Opposed to Collective (pure) Premium: $E(X_{n+1}) = \mu_{n+1}$.

Hypothesis

- H1 Given θ , $X_1|\theta$, $X_2|\theta$, ..., $X_n|\theta$, $X_{n+1}|\theta$ are (conditionally) independent.
- θ is realization of a random variable: $\Theta \frown \pi(\theta)$ H2 The different risks in the portfolio are independent.

Premium for the next year:

- Risk Premium: $E(X_{n+1}|\theta) = \mu_{n+1}(\theta)$. Unknown.
- Collective Premium: $E(E(X_{n+1}|\theta)) = \mu_{n+1}$. In general $\mu_{n+1}(\theta) \neq \mu_{n+1}$
- Bayesian premium (mean of the predictive dist. and Bayes estimate for the *squared-error loss*):

$$\begin{split} E(X_{n+1}|\mathbf{X}) &= \int x f_{X_{n+1}|\mathbf{X}}(x|\mathbf{x}) dx \\ &= \int \mu_{n+1}(\theta) \pi_{\Theta|\mathbf{X}}(\theta|\mathbf{x}) d\theta \end{split}$$

Some Basic concepts:

 $\mathbf{X}=(X_1,X_2\ldots,X_n)$; Predictive distribution: $f_{X_{n+1}|\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{x})$; Prior distr.: $\pi_{\Theta}(\theta)$; and Posterior dist.: $\pi_{\Theta|\mathbf{X}}(\theta|\mathbf{x})$

Posterior dist.:

$$\pi_{\Theta|\mathbf{X}}(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{f_{\Theta,\mathbf{X}}(\theta,\mathbf{x})}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{f_{\mathbf{X}|\Theta}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{\int f_{\mathbf{X}|\Theta}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta}$$

• Preditive dist.:

$$\begin{split} f_{X_{n+1}|\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} &= \frac{f_{X_{n+1};\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{x})}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{\int f_{X_{n+1},\mathbf{X}|\Theta}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi_{\Theta}(\theta)d\theta}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})} \\ &= \frac{\int f_{X_{n+1}|\Theta}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)f_{\mathbf{X}|\Theta}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi_{\Theta}(\theta)d\theta}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})} \\ &= \int f_{X_{n+1}|\Theta}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi_{\Theta|\mathbf{X}}(\theta|\mathbf{x})d\theta \end{split}$$

Definition (Credibility Premium)

The Credibility (pure) Premium $\widetilde{\mu_{n+1}}(\theta) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j X_j$ is an estimator of linear form, such that:

$$\min Q = E \left\{ \left[\mu_{n+1}(\theta) - \left(\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j X_j \right) \right]^2 \right\}$$

Solution: Find $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_0} Q = -2E \left\{ \mu_{n+1}(\theta) - \left(\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j X_j \right) \right\} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_i} Q = -2E \left\{ \left[\mu_{n+1}(\theta) - \left(\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j X_j \right) \right] X_i \right\} = 0, i = 1, ..., n$$

 θ , X_1 , X_2 , ..., X_n , X_{n+1} are all random variables.

Solution from:

Normal equations

$$E(X_{n+1}) = \widetilde{\alpha}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{\alpha}_j E[X_j] = E(\widetilde{\mu_{n+1}}(\theta));$$
(unbiasedness equation)

$$Cov(X_i, X_{n+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{\alpha}_j Cov[X_i, X_j], i = 1, ..., n.$$

We know that

$$E[X_{n+1}] = E[E[X_{n+1}|X]] = E[E[X_{n+1}|\Theta]] = E[\mu_{n+1}(\Theta)];$$

 $\mu_{n+1}(\theta) = E[X_{n+1}|\theta].$

 $\widetilde{\mu_{n+1}}(\theta)$ also minimises:

$$\min Q = \min E \left\{ \left[\mu_{n+1}(\theta) - \left(\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j X_j \right) \right]^2 \right\}$$

$$= \min E \left\{ \left[E \left[X_{n+1} | \mathbf{X} \right] - \left(\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j X_j \right) \right]^2 \right\}$$

$$= \min E \left\{ \left[X_{n+1} - \left(\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j X_j \right) \right]^2 \right\}$$

Bühlmann's model

Initial hypothesis

- Given θ , $X_1 | \theta$, $X_2 | \theta$, ..., $X_n | \theta$, $X_{n+1} | \theta$ are (conditionally) independent.
 - θ is realization of a random variable: $\Theta \frown \pi(\theta)$
- 2 The different risks in the portfolio are independent.

Addition to H1

• Given θ , $X_1 | \theta$, $X_2 | \theta$, ..., $X_n | \theta$, $X_{n+1} | \theta$ have the same mean and variance:

$$\mu(\theta) = E(X_j|\theta)$$

 $v(\theta) = Var(X_i|\theta)$.

Let

$$\mu = {\it E}\left[\mu(\theta)
ight]$$
 , $v = {\it E}\left[v(\theta)
ight]$, $a = {\it Var}\left[\mu(\theta)
ight]$

Solution:

$$\widetilde{\mu_{n+1}}(\theta) = \widetilde{\alpha}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{\alpha}_j X_j = z\overline{X} + (1-z)\mu$$

$$z = \frac{n}{n+k}$$

$$k = v/a$$

- 1 z: called Bühlmann's credibility factor
- 2 Credibility premium is a weighted average from \overline{X} and μ .
- 3 $z \rightarrow 1$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, more credit to sample mean
- ① If portfolio is fairly homogeneous w.r.t. Θ , then $\mu(\Theta)$ does not vary much, hence small variability. Thus a is small relative to $v \to k$ is large, z is closer to 0
- Onversely, if the portfolio is heterogeneous, z is closer to 1
- Bühlmann's model is the simplest credibility model, no change over time

Proof

Estimator proposed for given risk, say j: $\hat{m}_j = \alpha + \beta \overline{X}_{.j}$, so that

$$\min R = \min \mathbf{E} \left[\left(\mu(\theta_j) - \hat{m}_j \right)^2 \right] = \min \mathbf{E} \left[\left(\mu(\theta_j) - \alpha - \beta \overline{X}_{.j} \right)^2 \right].$$

Set

$$E\left[\left(\left(\mu(\theta_{j}) - \beta \overline{X}_{j}\right]\right) - \alpha\right)^{2}\right] = V[\mu(\theta_{j}) - \beta \overline{X}_{j}] + \left(E\left[\mu(\theta_{j}) - \beta \overline{X}_{j}\right] - \alpha\right)^{2}$$

Minimizing α , such that:

$$\alpha^* = \operatorname{E}[\mu(\theta_j) - \beta^* \overline{X}_{.j}] = \operatorname{E}[\mu(\theta_j)] - \beta^* \operatorname{E}[\overline{X}_{.j}].$$

$$\alpha^* = (1 - \beta^*) \operatorname{E}[\mu(\theta_j)], \text{ since}$$

$$\operatorname{E}[\overline{X}_{.j}] = \operatorname{E}[\operatorname{E}[\overline{X}_{.j}|\theta_i]] = \operatorname{E}[\mu(\theta_i)]$$

Proof (cont'd)

2nd part

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{V}[\mu(\theta_{j}) - \beta \, \overline{\mathbf{X}}_{.j}] &= \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{V}[\mu(\theta_{j}) - \beta \, \overline{\mathbf{X}}_{.j} | \theta_{j}]] + \mathbf{V}[\mathbf{E}[\mu(\theta_{j}) - \beta \, \overline{\mathbf{X}}_{.j} | \theta_{j}]] \\ &= \frac{\beta^{2}}{n} \mathbf{E}[v(\theta)] + (1 - \beta)^{2} \mathbf{V}[\mu(\theta_{j})]. \\ &= \frac{\beta^{2}}{n} v + (1 - \beta)^{2} \mathbf{a}. \\ \mathbf{V}[\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{.j} | \theta_{j}] &= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{V}[\mathbf{X}_{ij} | \theta_{j}] \end{split}$$

Differentiating w.r.t. β and equating,

$$\beta^* = \frac{2\beta}{n}v - 2(1-\beta)a = 0,$$

$$\beta^* = \frac{a}{a + \frac{1}{n}v} = \frac{n}{n + v/a}$$

Example (Ex.20.9 cont'd)

$$\mu_{3}(G) = 0.4 \qquad \mu_{3}(B) = 0.7$$

$$E[X_{3}|0,1] = 0.478948 \qquad \mu_{3} = 0.475 \quad \bar{X} = 0.5$$

$$a = V[\mu(\theta)] = 0.016875 \qquad v = E[v(\theta)] = 0.4825$$

$$k = v/a = 28.5926 \qquad z = 2(2+k)^{-1} = 0.0654$$

$$z\overline{X} + (1-z)\mu = 0.0654(0.5) + 0.9346(0.475) = 0.4766$$

Example (Ex. 20.10. Exact credibility example)

$$E(X_4|100, 950, 450) = 416, 67; \quad \bar{X} = 500$$

 $\mu = E(X_4) = E(1/\Theta) = 1000/3 = 333.3(3)$
 $z\overline{X} + (1-z)\mu = E(X_4|100, 950, 450).$

Exercises 20.24-27, p. 606.



Bühlmann-Straub's model

Bühlmann's H1 is changed:

• Given θ , $X_1|\theta$, $X_2|\theta$, ..., $X_n|\theta$, $X_{n+1}|\theta$ have the same mean, variance:

$$E(X_j|\theta) = \mu(\theta)$$
 (same)
 $Var(X_j|\theta) = \frac{v(\theta)}{m_j}$.

- m_i is some known constant measuring exposure
- Ex: group insurance where its size changes
- Initially, the model was first presented for reinsurance.
- $Var\left(X_{j}\right) = E\left[Var\left(X_{j}|\theta\right)\right] + Var\left[E\left(X_{j}|\theta\right)\right] = \frac{v}{m_{i}} + a$

Solution:

$$P_c = \widetilde{\alpha}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{\alpha}_j X_j = z \overline{X} + (1-z)\mu$$

$$z = \frac{m}{m+k} \qquad k = v/a$$

$$\overline{X} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{m_j}{m} X_j \qquad m = \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \text{ (total exposure)}$$

Obs.:

- Factor z depends on m (total exposure)
- \overline{X} is a weighted average, m_i/m is the weight
- $m_i X_i$ is the total loss of the group in year j
- (Total) Credibility premium for the group, next year:

$$m_{n+1}\left[z\overline{X}+(1-z)\mu\right]$$

Example (Ex.20.19)

 N_i : No. of claims in year i for a group policy holder with risk parameter and m_i individuals. $N_i \sim Poisson(m_i\theta)$. Let $X_i = N_i / m_i$. $\Theta \frown Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(X_{j}|\theta) &= \mu(\theta) = \theta; \, \mathbb{V}(X_{j}|\theta) = \mathbb{V}(N_{j}/m_{j}|\theta) = \frac{v(\theta)}{m_{j}} = \frac{\theta}{m_{j}} \\ \mu &= \mathbb{E}(\Theta) = \alpha\beta; \, a = \mathbb{V}(\Theta) = \alpha\beta^{2}; \, v = \mathbb{E}(\Theta) = \alpha\beta. \\ k &= v/a = 1/\beta; \, z = \frac{m\beta}{m\beta + 1} \\ P_{c} &= \frac{m\beta}{m\beta + 1} \overline{X} + \frac{1}{m\beta + 1} \alpha\beta \end{split}$$

Example (Ex.20.19)

 N_j : No. of claims in year j for a group policy holder with risk parameter θ and m_j individuals, j=1,...,n. $N_j \frown Poisson(m_j\theta)$. Let $X_j = N_j/m_j$. $\Theta \frown Gamma(\alpha,\beta)$. Bayesian premium (mean of the preditive dist.):

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{n+1}|\mathbf{X}) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X_{n+1}(\theta)|\theta,\mathbf{X})) = \mathbb{E}(\mu_{n+1}(\theta)|\mathbf{X})$$
$$= \mathbb{E}(\theta|\mathbf{X})$$

$$\Pr\left[N_{j} = n | \theta\right] = \Pr\left[X_{j} m_{j} = n | \theta\right] = \Pr\left[X_{j} = n / m_{j} | \theta\right], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$$

$$= (m_{j} \theta)^{n} e^{-m_{j} \theta} / n!; \quad \pi(\theta) = \frac{\theta^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\theta / \beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^{\alpha}}$$

$$\pi_{\Theta|\mathbf{X}}(\theta|\mathbf{X}) \propto \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{X_{j}|\theta}(x_{j}|\theta)\right] \pi(\theta);$$

$$f_{X:|\theta}(x_{i}|\theta) = \Pr\left[X_{i} = x | \theta\right]$$

Example (Ex.20.19)

 N_j : No. of claims in year j for a group policy holder with risk parameter and m_j individuals, j=1,...,n. $N_j \frown Poisson(m_j\theta)$. Let $X_i = N_i/m_i$. $\Theta \frown Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$.

$$\Theta | \mathbf{x} \frown Gamma \left(\alpha_* = \alpha + \sum_{j=1}^n m_j x_j; \beta_* = (1/\beta + m)^{-1} \right)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{n+1}|\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x}) = \alpha_*\beta_* = \frac{\alpha + \sum_{j=1}^n m_j x_j}{(1/\beta + m)}$$
$$= \frac{m\beta}{m\beta + 1}\overline{X} + \frac{1}{m\beta + 1}\alpha\beta = P_c$$

Exercises 20.28, 29, p. 608

Recap Credibility Premium,

$$\widetilde{\mu_{n+1}}(\theta) \colon \min \left\{ Q = E \left\{ \left[\mu_{n+1}(\theta) - \left(\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j X_j \right) \right]^2 \right\} \right\} \ .$$

• Now, don't impose a linear estimator. Let m(X), some function of \mathbf{X} , and find estimator $\overset{*}{m}(\mathbf{X})$ such that:

$$\min\left(E\left\{\left[\mu_{n+1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})-m(\mathbf{X})\right]^2\right\}=E\left[E\left\{\left[\mu_{n+1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})-m(\mathbf{X})\right]^2|\mathbf{X}\right\}\right]\right)$$

or minimize

$$E\left\{ \left[\mu_{n+1}(\theta) - m(\mathbf{X}) \right]^2 | \mathbf{X} \right\} = V\left[\mu_{n+1}(\theta) | \mathbf{X} \right] + \left(E\left[\mu_{n+1}(\theta) | \mathbf{X} \right] - m(\mathbf{X}) \right)$$

$$\overset{*}{m}(\mathbf{X}) = E\left[\mu_{n+1}(\theta)|\mathbf{X}\right]$$

Bayes estimator, relative to Square Loss function and prior $\pi(\theta)$



Ratemaking and GLM

Exact Credibility: When $\widetilde{\mu_{n+1}}(\theta) = \overset{*}{m}(X) = E\left[\mu_{n+1}(\theta)|X\right]$, i.e., Credibility Premium=Bayesian Premium.

Stronger Bühlmann's H1

Change Bühlmann's H1, in addition, to:

H1:
$$f_{X_i}(.|\theta) = f_X(.|\theta)$$
, $\forall j = 1, ..., n, n + 1$.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}[\mu(\theta)|\mathbf{X}] &= \int \mu(\theta)\pi(\theta|\mathbf{x})d\theta = \int \mu(\theta)\frac{f(\theta,\mathbf{x})}{f(\mathbf{x})}d\theta \\ &= \int \mu(\theta)\frac{f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{\int f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi(\theta)}d\theta = \frac{\int \mu(\theta)\prod_{j=1}^{n}f(x_{j}|\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta}{\int_{\Theta}\prod_{j=1}^{n}f(x_{j}|\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta} \\ &= \frac{\int \mu(\theta)L(\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta}{\int_{\Theta}L(\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta}; \\ \pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{L(\theta)\pi(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta}L(\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta} \end{split}$$

Example (Norberg [1979])

For a given risk $X|\theta \frown Bin(1;\theta)$, $\Theta \frown U(\alpha,\beta)$, obs'd for 10 yrs, 20 risks. $\bar{X}=0.0145$, $\mu_{n+1}(\theta)=\mu(\theta)=\theta$.

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \theta^{\mathbf{x}} (1 - \theta)^{1 - \mathbf{x}}, \quad \mathbf{x} = 0, 1; \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha}, \quad 0 < \alpha < \theta < \beta < 1 \quad (\beta > \alpha)$$

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{E}[\theta|\mathbf{x}] = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n - n\bar{\mathbf{x}}} (-1)^k \frac{\beta^{n\bar{\mathbf{x}} + k + 2} - \alpha^{n\bar{\mathbf{x}} + k + 2}}{(n - n\bar{\mathbf{x}} - k)! k! (n\bar{\mathbf{x}} + k + 1)},$$

Example (Beta-Binomial model)

For a given risk $X|\theta \frown Bin(1;\theta)$, $\Theta \frown Beta(\alpha,\beta)$, $\alpha,\beta > 0$, $\bar{X} = 1.45$

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{\theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha,\beta)}; \ \theta \varepsilon(0;1), \ B(\alpha,\beta) = \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1} dx$$

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} f(x_j | \theta) = \theta^{\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j};$$

$$\pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{L(\theta)\pi(\theta)}{\int_0^1 L(\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta} = \frac{\theta^{\sum_j x_j + \alpha - 1}(1-\theta)^{n+\beta - \sum_j x_j - 1}}{B(\sum_j x_j + \alpha; n + \alpha - \sum_j x_j)},$$

$$\pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \ \equiv \ \textit{Beta}(\sum_{j} \textit{x}_{j} + \alpha; \ \textit{n} + \beta - \sum_{j} \textit{x}_{j})$$

$$E[\theta|\mathbf{x}] = \frac{\sum_{j} x_{j} + \alpha}{\alpha + \beta + n} = \frac{n}{\alpha + \beta + n} \bar{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha + \beta + n} \mu.$$

Example (Gamma-exponential model)

$$X|\theta \sim \text{Exp}(\theta), \mu(\theta) = 1/\theta, \ f(x|\theta) = \theta e^{-\theta x}, x > 0;$$

 $\Theta \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta = 1/\beta^*),$

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-\beta \theta} \theta^{\alpha - 1}; \; \theta > 0;$$

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{j}|\theta) = \theta^{n} \exp\{-\theta \sum x_{j}\};$$

$$\pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{L(\theta)\pi(\theta)}{\int_{0}^{\infty} L(\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta}$$

$$= \frac{(\beta + \sum_{j} x_{j})^{n+\alpha}}{\Gamma(n+\alpha)} \exp\{-\theta(\beta + \sum_{j} x_{j})\}\theta^{n+\alpha - 1},$$

$$\pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \equiv \operatorname{Gama}(n + \alpha; \; \beta + \sum_{j} x_{j}); \; \mu = \operatorname{E}[X_{ij}] = \operatorname{E}[1/\theta]$$

Bonus-malus systems

Example (Gamma-exponential model cont'd)

$$\mu = \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\beta \theta} \theta^{\alpha - 2} d\theta = \beta \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha - 1}$$

$$E[1/\theta|\mathbf{x}] = \frac{(\beta + \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j)^{n+\alpha}}{\Gamma(n+\alpha)} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-(\beta + \sum_{j} x_j)\theta} \theta^{n+\alpha - 2} d\theta$$

$$= \frac{(\beta + \sum_{j} x_j)\Gamma(n+\alpha - 1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha)} = \frac{\beta + \sum_{j} x_j}{n+\alpha - 1}$$

$$= \frac{n}{n+\alpha - 1} \bar{x}_{,j} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{n+\alpha - 1} \mu$$

Ratemaking and GLM

Intro

Outline

Bühlmann's Empirical Bayes.. Unbiased and consistent estimators.

$$\mu = E[X] = E[E[X|\theta]] = E[\mu(\theta)].$$

 $\hat{\mu} = \bar{X} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \bar{X}_i = \frac{1}{nr} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{ij}$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{V}[X] &= \mathbf{V}[\mu(\theta)] + \mathbf{E}[v(\theta)] = \mathbf{a} + v \\ \mathbf{V}[\overline{X}_i] &= \mathbf{a} + \frac{1}{n}v \\ \hat{v} &= \frac{1}{r}\sum_{i=1}^r S_i'^2 = \frac{1}{r}\sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\left(X_{ij} - \overline{X}_i\right)^2}{n-1} \\ \hat{a} &= \max\left\{\frac{1}{r-1}\sum_{i=1}^r \left(\overline{X}_i - \bar{X}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{n}\hat{v}; \ 0\right\}. \end{split}$$

Bühlmann-Straub's Empirical Bayes.

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{X} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i \bar{X}_i = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} m_{ij} X_{ij}$$

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} m_{ij}; \qquad \hat{\mu} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \hat{Z}_i \bar{X}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \hat{Z}_i}$$

$$\hat{v} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} m_{ij} (X_{ij} - \overline{X}_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{r} (n_{i} - 1)}$$

$$\hat{a} = \max \left\{ \left(m - m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{i}^{2} \right)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{i} (\overline{X}_{i} - \overline{X})^{2} - \hat{v} (r - 1) \right]; 0 \right\}$$

Example (A Bonus-Malus system)

Let X_i : claims in year i, $X_i \sim Poisson(\theta)$, $\mu(\theta) = v(\theta) = \theta$

$$\tilde{\theta} = \frac{n}{n + \mathrm{E}[\theta]/\mathrm{V}[\theta]} \overline{X} + \frac{\mathrm{E}[\theta]/\mathrm{V}[\theta]}{n + \mathrm{E}[\theta]/\mathrm{V}[\theta]} \mathrm{E}[\theta]$$

Data: Portfolio of 106974 policies in one year (stable period):

- $\hat{E}[\theta] = \hat{E}[X] = \overline{X} = (1/106974) \sum_{k=0}^{4} x_k n_{x_k} = 0.1011.$
- $\hat{V}[X] = s^2 = (1/106974) \sum_{k=0}^4 x_k^2 n_{x_k} \overline{x}^2 = 0.1074$.
- $V[X] = E[\theta] + V[\theta]$. $\hat{V}[\theta] = 0.1074 0.1011 = 0.0063$.

Example (A Bonus-Malus system cont'd)

 $P_{n+1}^*(\mathbf{X}_i)$: 100×Risk premium/Collective premium

$$\tilde{\theta} = \frac{n}{n + 0.1011/0,0063} \overline{X} + \frac{0.1011/0.0063}{n + 0.1011/0.0063} \times 0.1011$$
$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j + 16,047(0.1011)\right) / (n + 16.0476)$$

Bonus-malus systems

$$P_{n+1}^*(\mathbf{X}_i) = 100 \times \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n X_{ij} + 1.6224}{0.1011(n+16.0476)} = 100 \times \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_{ij} + 1.6224}{0.1011(n+16.0476)}$$

	No. of claims						
no years	0	1	2	3	4		
0	100	_	_	-	-		
1	94,13	152,16	210,18	268,20	326,22		
2	88,92	143,72	198,53	253,34	308,14		
3	84,25	136,18	188,11	240,04	291,97		
4	80,05	129,39	178,73	228,06	277,40		
5	76,24	123,24	170,23	217,23	264,22		
6	72,79	117,65	162,51	207,38	252,24		
7	69,63	112,54	155,46	198,38	241,29		
8	66,73	107,86	149,00	190,13	231,26		
9	64,07	103,56	143,05	182,54	222,03		
10	61,61	99,58	137,56	175,53	213,50		

Table: Relative premium for a Bonus-malus system

Example (Life group insurance)

 N_{ksii} : No. people dying, with ins. capital x_k , age s, group j, year i.

 $N_{ij} = \sum_{k,s} N_{ksij}$ - ...in group j year i

 x_k : insured capital

q_s: mortality rate, age s, known.

 $q_s\theta_i$: mortality, age s, group j (unknown)

 n_{ksii} : No. people group j, capital x_k , age s, year i.

 $S_{ii} = \sum_{k} (x_k \sum_{s} N_{ksii})$: aggregate claims, group j, year i

$$N_{ksij}|\theta$$
 \frown Poisson $(n_{ksij} \times q_s \times \theta_j) \Rightarrow$

$$\sum_{s} N_{ksij}|\theta \sim \text{Poisson}\left(\theta_j \sum_{s} q_s n_{ksij}|\theta_j\right)$$

Example (Life group insurance, cont'd)

$$S_{ij}|\theta = \sum_{k} \left(x_{k} \sum_{s} N_{ksij}\right)$$
 $S_{ij}|\theta \subset \text{CPoisson}\left(\theta_{j} \sum_{k,s} n_{ksij} q_{s}; f_{ij}(x) = \frac{\sum_{s} q_{s} n_{ksij}}{\sum_{k,s} q_{s} n_{ksij}}\right)$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}[S_{n+1,j}|\theta_j] &= \sum_k x_k \sum_s \mathbf{E}[N_{ks(n+1)j}|\theta_j] = \theta_j \sum_{k,s} x_k q_s n_{ks(n+1)j} \\ P_c &= \tilde{\theta}_j \sum_{k,s} x_k q_s n_{ks(n+1)j}, \end{split}$$

$$\tilde{\theta}_{j} = \frac{m_{j}}{m_{i} + \mathrm{E}[\theta_{i}]/\mathrm{V}[\theta_{i}]} \overline{X}_{.j} + \frac{\mathrm{E}[\theta_{j}]/\mathrm{V}[\theta_{j}]}{m_{i} + \mathrm{E}[\theta_{i}]/\mathrm{V}[\theta_{i}]} \mathrm{E}[\theta_{j}]$$

Example (Life group insurance, cont'd)

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}[S_{n+1,j}|\theta_j] &= \sum_k x_k \sum_s \mathbf{E}[N_{ks(n+1)j}|\theta_j] = \theta_j \sum_{k,s} x_k q_s n_{ks(n+1)j} \\ P_c &= \tilde{\theta}_j \sum_{k,s} x_k q_s n_{ks(n+1)j}, \end{split}$$

$$\tilde{\theta}_{j} = \frac{m_{j}}{m_{j} + E[\theta_{j}]/V[\theta_{j}]} \overline{X}_{.j} + \frac{E[\theta_{j}]/V[\theta_{j}]}{m_{j} + E[\theta_{j}]/V[\theta_{j}]} E[\theta_{j}]$$

$$X_{ij} = N_{ij}/m_{ij}; m_{ij} = \sum_{k.s} q_{s} n_{ksij}$$

Problem 1

Consider a motor insurance portfolio where the population is classified into categories A B and C, respectively, where A is Good drivers, B is Bad drivers and C is Sports drivers. The population of drivers is split as follows: 70% is in category A, 25% in B and 5% in C. For each driver in category A, there is a probability of 0.75 of having no claims in a year, a probability of 0.2 of having one claim and a probability of 0.05 of having two or more claims in a year. For each driver in category B these probabilities are 0.25, 0.4 and 0.35, respectively. For each driver in category C these probabilities are 0.3, 0.4 and 0.3, respectively.

Risk parameter representing the kind of driver is denoted by θ , which is a realization of the random variable Θ . The insurer does not know the value of that parameter. Let X be the (observable) number of claims per year for a risk taken out at random from the whole portfolio. For a given $\Theta=\theta$ yearly observations $X_1,X_2,...$, make a random sample from risk X. The insurer finds crucial that the annual premium for a given risk might be adjusted by its claim record

Consider a risk X taken out at random from the portfolio.

- Calculate the mean and variance of X.
- \bigcirc Compute the probability function of X.

Problem 1 (cont'd)

For a particular risk of the portfolio we observed in the last two years $X_1 = x_1 = 0$ and $X_2 = x_2 = 2$.

- **3** For a given $\Theta = \theta$ of risk X observations, $X_1, X_2, ...$, are a random sample but X_1 and X_2 are not independent. Comment briefly.
- Ompute $Cov[X_1, X_2]$. [Note: For r.v.'s X, Y and Z, Cov[X, Y] = E[Cov[X, Y|Z]] + Cov[E[X|Z]; E[Y|Z]]]
- **6** Compute the posterior probability function of Θ given $(X_1 = 0, X_2 = 2)$.
- You do not know from which risk category the above sample comes. Carry out appropriate calculations to determine from which category the sample is most likely to have come.

We need to compute a (pure) premium for the next year:

- O Compute the collective pure premium.
- **3** Compute the Bayes premium $E[X_3|X = (0,2)] = E(\mu(\Theta)|X = (0,2))$.
- **9** Compute Bühlmann's credibility premium, say, $\tilde{E}(X_3|\theta)$.
- Can we talk here on Exact Credibility? Comment appropriately.

Ratemaking and Experience Rating concepts, Recap...

Ratemaking portfolios/groups:

Similar risks grouping in collectives of risks for ratemaking.

Tariff:

 Set of premia, for each risk in a (homogeneous) portfolio. A basic premium plus a system of bonus or malus.

Tariff structure:

System of bonus/malus applied to a basic premium.

"Prior" and "Posterior" ratemaking:

 First rate following given prior variables, then make a posterior re-evaluation/readjustment, according to the reported accidents/claims by the risk/policy.

Bonus-malus systems, use of GLM's, ...

 Bonus systems are in general based on claim counts, not amounts. This is explained by the usual assumption of independence between number and severity of claims. The base model is Markovian.

Bonus-malus (or bonus) systems

- Common tariff in motor insurance;
- Usually based on a counting variable, not the amounts
- A Markov chain model (discret time) is often used:
- Basic idea:
 - year(s) with no claim: bonus
 - year with 1 claims: malus; 2 claims: + malus...
- Study Long Term behaviour

Example (Markov chain, T&K, p.102, Ex. 2.2)

A particle travels through states $\{0,1,2\}$ according to a Markov chain

$$P = \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 2 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \end{array}$$

$$P^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}; P^{3} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{8} & \frac{3}{8} \\ \frac{3}{8} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{8} \\ \frac{3}{8} & \frac{3}{8} & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}; P^{4} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{8} & \frac{5}{16} & \frac{5}{16} \\ \frac{5}{16} & \frac{3}{8} & \frac{5}{16} \\ \frac{5}{16} & \frac{3}{8} & \frac{5}{16} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P^{5} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5}{16} & \frac{11}{32} & \frac{11}{32} \\ \frac{11}{32} & \frac{5}{16} & \frac{11}{32} \\ \frac{11}{11} & \frac{5}{11} & \frac{5}{11} \end{bmatrix}; P^{10} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{341}{1024} & \frac{341}{1024} & \frac{341}{1024} \\ \frac{341}{1024} & \frac{341}{1024} & \frac{341}{1024} \end{bmatrix}$$

Example (cont.)

 $P^{100} =$

211.275 100 038 038 233 582 783 867 563 422 550 200 076 076 467 165 567 735 125 422 550 200 076 076 467 165 567 73 125 1267 650 600 228 229 401 496 703 205 376

 $\approx \begin{bmatrix} 0.33333 & 0.33333 & 0.33333 \\ 0.33333 & 0.33333 & 0.33333 \\ 0.33333 & 0.33333 & 0.33333 \end{bmatrix}$

Example

Let a Markov chain with transition matrix:

Example

Long term: $P^8 =$

```
9.0 \times 10^{-6}
                          .00009
                                                      1.0 \times 10^{-6}
.09
       .009
                .0009
                                                     1.0 \times 10^{-6}
.09
       .009
               .0009
                          .00009
                                     9.0 \times 10^{-6}
                                     9.0 \times 10^{-6}
                                                      1.0 \times 10^{-6}
.09
       .009
               .0009
                          .00009
                                      9.0 \times 10^{-6}
                                                      1.0 \times 10^{-6}
.09
       .009
               .0009
                          .00009
                                      9.0 \times 10^{-6}
                                                      1.0 \times 10^{-6}
.09
       .009
               .0009
                          .00009
                                                      1.0 \times 10^{-6}
                                      9.0 \times 10^{-6}
.09
       .009
               .0009
                          .00009
                                      9.0 \times 10^{-6}
                                                      1.0 \times 10^{-6}
.09
       .009
               .0009
                          .00009
```

A posterior ratemaking system, experience rating, is a *Bonus-malus* sytem if

- The rating periods are equal (1 year)
- The risks, policies, are divided into (finite) classes:

$$C_1, C_2, ..., C_s; \cup_i C_i = C; C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset.$$

- No transitions within the year
- Position in Class in the year *n* depends on:
 - Position in n-1, and
 - The year claim counts.

Example (Centeno [2003])

A *Bonus* system in motor insurance, 3rd party liability (directly, the system is not Markovian)

- 30% discount, no claim for 2 yrs.
- 15% malus, 1 claim
- 30% malus, 2 claims
- 45% malus, 3 claims
- 100% malus, 4 claims
- \bullet > 4, case by case...

This is **not** Markovian, unless...classes are split (see later)

Composition of the B-S system:

1 A vector of premia (or multiplying factor, index)

$$\mathbf{b} = (b(1), b(2), ..., b(s))$$

2 Transition rules among classes, in matrix:

 $T = [T_{ij}]$, each entry T_{ij} is a set of integers...

T :
$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{s} T_{ij} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}, T_{ij} \cap T_{ij'} = \emptyset, j \neq j'$$

3 Entry class, C_{i_0} is the same for all policies.

- Symbolically, a B-M S can be written as a triplet: $\Delta = (C_{i_0}, T, b)$.
- Bonus Class in year n: $Z_{\Delta,n}$, defined by set of rules **T** and entry class C_{i_0} .
- The system is supposed to be a Markov chain

$$\{Z_{\Delta,n}, n=0,1,2,...\}$$

- Transition probability matrix: $P_T = [p_T(i,j)]$
- Transition rules is based on claim counts, often
 - Poisson distributed (usually bad), or
 - mixed Poisson (much better), i, j = 1, 2, ..., s,

$$\begin{array}{lcl} p_T(i,j) & = & \Pr\left(Z_{\Delta,n+1} = j | Z_{\Delta,n} = i\right) \\ p_T^{(n)}(i,j) & = & \Pr\left(Z_{\Delta,n} = j | Z_{\Delta,0} = i\right) \\ p_T^{(n)}(j) & = & \Pr\left(Z_{\Delta,n} = j\right) \end{array}$$

Transition rules is based on claim counts, often

• Poisson distributed (usually bad), i, j = 1, 2, ..., s, n = 0, 1, ...

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \rho_{T,\lambda}(i,j) & = & \Pr\left(Z_{\Delta,n+1} = j \middle| Z_{\Delta,n} = i, \Lambda = \lambda\right) \\ \rho_{T,\lambda}^{(n)}(i,j) & = & \Pr\left(Z_{\Delta,n} = j \middle| Z_{\Delta,0} = i, \Lambda = \lambda\right) \\ \rho_{T,\lambda}^{(n)}(j) & = & \Pr\left(Z_{\Delta,n} = j \middle| \Lambda = \lambda\right) \end{array}.$$

• Mixed Poisson (much better), 1st compute the conditional $p_{T,\lambda}^{(n)}(i,j)$, i,j=1,2,...,s, then

$$p_{T}(i,j) = \int_{0}^{\infty} p_{T,\lambda}(i,j) d\pi(\lambda)$$

$$p_{T}^{(n)}(i,j) = \int_{0}^{\infty} p_{T,\lambda}^{(n)}(i,j) d\pi(\lambda) = E\left[p_{T,\lambda}^{(n)}(i,j)\right]$$

$$p_{T}^{(n)}(j) = \int_{0}^{\infty} p_{T,\lambda}^{(n)}(j) d\pi(\lambda) = E\left[p_{T,\lambda}^{(n)}(j)\right].$$

Remark: neither $p_T^{(n)}(i,j)$ nor $p_T^{(n)}(j)$ are obtained from the initial mixed Poisson distribution.

- All B-S systems have (at least) a bonus class where a policy:
 - stays if keeps with no claims
 - goes, transits to, if has no claims
 - goes out, transits from (to another)
- That class is a periodic state
- If the Markov chain is irreducible, finite number of states, it will be aperiodic and stationary:
- Then, it exists a limit distribution, for a given λ

$$p_{T,\lambda}^{(\infty)}(j) = \lim_{n \uparrow \infty} p_{T,\lambda}^{(n)}(i,j).$$

If λ is considered to be the outcome of a r.v. with dist. $\pi(\lambda)$, usually

$$p_T^{(\infty)}(j) = \int_0^\infty p_{T,\lambda}^{(\infty)}(j) d\pi(\lambda) = E\left[p_{T,\lambda}^{(\infty)}(j)\right]$$

Remark: $p_T^{(\infty)}(j)$ is not got from the initial "mixed Poisson".



Markov analysis

Problem 2 (Problem 1 cont'd)

Consider a motor insurance portfolio where the population is classified into categories A B and C, respectively, where A is Good drivers, B is Bad drivers and C is Sports drivers. The population of drivers is split as follows: 70% is in category A, 25% in B and 5% in C. For each driver in category A, there is a probability of 0.75 of having no claims in a year, a probability of 0.2 of having one claim and a probability of 0.05 of having two or more claims in a year. For each driver in category B these probabilities are 0.25, 0.4 and 0.35, respectively. For each driver in category C these probabilities are 0.3, 0.4 and 0.3, respectively.

Risk parameter representing the kind of driver is denoted by θ , which is a realization of the random variable Θ . The insurer does not know the value of that parameter. Let X be the (observable) number of claims per year for a risk taken out at random from the whole portfolio. For a given $\Theta = \theta$ yearly observations $X_1, X_2, ...$, make a random sample from risk X. The insurer finds crucial that the annual premium for a given risk might be adjusted by its claim record.

Suppose that the insurer uses a Bonus-malus system based on the claims frequency to rate the risks of that portfolio. The system has simply three classes, numbered 1, 2 and 3 and ranked increasingly from low to higher risk.

Problem 2 (cont'd)

Transition rules are the following: A policy with no claims in one year goes to the previous lower class in the next year unless it is already Class 1, where it stays. In the case of a claim goes to Class 3, if it is already there no change is made.

Let $\alpha(\theta)$ be the probability of not having any claim in one year for a policy in with risk parameter θ . Entry class is Class 2 and premia vector is b=(70,100,150).

- Consider a policy with risk parameter θ .
 - Write the transition rules matrix and compute the one year transition probability.
 - 2 Comment on the existence of the of the stationary distribution.
 - Calculate the probability of a policy being ranked in Class 1 two years after entering the system.
 - Calculate the probability function of the premium for a type A driver after two years os stay in the portfolio. Compute the average premium.
 - Sharper some time the insurer's chief actuary concluded that for ratemaking purposes it didn't make much difference to keep categories B and C apart, and merged them into, say, B*. For a driver in this new class, compute the probability funcion of the premium after one year of staying in the system (since his entry).
- Stationary distr. for a given θ is given by vector $(\alpha(\theta)^2; [1 \alpha(\theta)] \alpha(\theta); 1 \alpha(\theta))$.
 - 6 Compute the probability function of the premium for a policy taken out at random from the portfolio. Calculate the average premium.

Example (Cont'd, Centeno [2003])

A *Bonus* system in motor insurance, 3rd party liability (directly, the system is not Markovian)

- 30% discount, no claim for 2 yrs.
- 15% malus, 1 claim
- 30% malus, 2 claims
- 45% malus, 3 claims
- 100% malus, 4 claims
- > 4, case by case...

This is **not** Markovian, unless... Classes are split.

Example (Centeno [2003]. Class splitting:)

- C₁ Policies with 30% bonus
- C₂ Policies with neither bonus nor malus for the 2nd consecutive year
- C_3 Policies with neither bonus nor malus for the 1st yr
- C₄ Policies with 15% *penalty* and no claims last yr
- C_5 Policies with 15% *penalty* and claims last yr
- C₆ Policies with 30% penalty and no claims last yr
- C₇ Policies with 30% penalty and claims last yr
- C₈ Policies with 45% penalty and no claims last yr
- C₉ Policies with 45% penalty and claims last yr
- C_{10} Policies with 100% penalty and no claims last yr
- C_{11} Policies with 100% penalty and claims last yr.

Now is Markovian.

Example (Cont'd)

$$\mathbf{b} = (70, 100, 100, 115, 115, 130, 130, 145, 145, 200, 200)$$

Example (cont'd)

Class j	b_j	New Class after step, with				
		0	1	2	3	4+
1	70	1	5	7	9	11
2	100	1	5	7	9	11
3	100	2	5	7	9	11
4	115	1	7	9	11	11
5	115	4	7	9	11	11
6	130	1	9	11	11	11
7	130	6	9	11	11	11
8	145	1	11	11	11	11
9	145	8	9	11	11	11
10	200	1	11	11	11	11
11	200	10	11	11	11	11

Example (cont'd)

If claim counts follow a Poisson(λ), $P_{\Delta,\lambda}$:

		, _1						
		$\lambda e^{-\lambda}$		$\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda}/2$		$\lambda^3 e^{-\lambda}/6$		$1 - e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{3} \lambda^{i}/i!$
		$\lambda e^{-\lambda}$		$\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda}/2$		$\lambda^3 e^{-\lambda}/6$		$1 - e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{3} \lambda^{i} / i! 1 - e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{3} \lambda^{i} / i!$
$e^{-\lambda}$		$\lambda e^{-\lambda}$		$\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda}/2$		$\lambda^3 e^{-\lambda}/6$		$1 - e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{3} \lambda^{i}/i!$
				$\lambda e^{-\lambda}$		$\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda}/2$		$1 - e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \lambda^{i}/i!$
	$e^{-\lambda}$			$\lambda e^{-\lambda}$		$\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda}/2$		$1 - e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{2} \lambda^{i}/i!$
						$\lambda e^{-\lambda}$		$1 - e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{1} \lambda^{i}/i!$
			$e^{-\lambda}$			$\lambda e^{-\lambda}$		$1 - e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{1} \lambda^i / i!$
								$1 - e^{-\lambda}$
					$e^{-\lambda}$			$1 - e^{-\lambda}$
								$1 - e^{-\lambda}$
							$e^{-\lambda}$	$1 - e^{-\lambda}$
		$e^{-\lambda}$	$e^{-\lambda}$	$e^{-\lambda}$ $e^{-\lambda}$	$e^{-\lambda} \qquad \qquad \lambda e^{-\lambda} \\ \lambda e^{-\lambda} \qquad \qquad e^{-\lambda}$	$e^{-\lambda} \qquad \qquad \lambda e^{-\lambda} \\ e^{-\lambda} \qquad \qquad e^{-\lambda}$	$e^{-\lambda} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \lambda e^{-\lambda} & \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda/2} \\ \lambda e^{-\lambda} & \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda/2} \\ \lambda e^{-\lambda} & \lambda e^{-\lambda} \\ \end{array}$ $e^{-\lambda} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} e^{-\lambda} & e^{-\lambda} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

- The Markov chain is not irreducible.
- You cannot go to Class/State 3.
- Class of states $\{C_2, C_3\}$ is transient.
- Class, $\{C_1, C_4, C_5, C_6, C_7, C_{8}, C_9, C_{10}, C_{11}\}$ is a class of positive recurrent aperiodic states.

Re-order states in two classes of states:

- Class 1: $\{C_2, C_3\}$
- Class 2: $\{C_1, C_4, C_5, C_6, C_7, C_8, C_9, C_{10}, C_{11}\}$

So that $P_{\Lambda \lambda}$ is split into 4 blocks:

$$\mathsf{P}_{\Delta,\lambda} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathsf{P}_{1,(\Delta,\lambda)} & \mathsf{P}_{3,(\Delta,\lambda)} \\ \mathsf{0} & \mathsf{P}_{2,\Delta,\lambda} \end{array} \right]$$

- $P_{1,\Lambda,\lambda}$: Transition Prob'ty block inside Class 1, $\{C_2, C_3\}$;
- $P_{3,\Lambda,\lambda}$: Transition Prob'ty block between Class of states 1 & 2,

$$\left\{ \mathit{C}_{2}, \mathit{C}_{3} \right\} \mathsf{and} \ \left\{ \mathit{C}_{1}, \mathit{C}_{4}, \mathit{C}_{5}, \mathit{C}_{6}, \mathit{C}_{7}, \mathit{C}_{8}, \mathit{C}_{9}, \mathit{C}_{10}, \mathit{C}_{11} \right\}$$

• $P_{2.\Lambda.\lambda}$: Transition Prob'ty block among states $\{C_1, C_4, C_5, C_6, C_7, C_8, C_9, C_{10}, C_{11}\}.$

We have

$$\begin{array}{lll} P_{\Delta,\lambda}^2 & = & \left[\begin{array}{cccc} P_{1,\Delta,\lambda}^2 & | & P_{1,(\Delta,\lambda)} P_{3,(\Delta,\lambda)} + P_{3,(\Delta,\lambda)} P_{2,(\Delta,\lambda)} \\ - & & ------ \\ 0 & | & P_{2,(\Delta,\lambda)}^2 \end{array} \right] \\ & = & \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & | & P_{1,(\Delta,\lambda)} P_{3,(\Delta,\lambda)} + P_{3,(\Delta,\lambda)} P_{2,(\Delta,\lambda)} \\ - & & ----- \\ 0 & | & P_{2,(\Delta,\lambda)}^2 \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

with
$$P_{1,\Delta,\lambda}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Result

Recursively, $n \ge 2$,

$$P_{\Delta,\lambda}^n = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \left(P_{1,(\Delta,\lambda)}P_{3,(\Delta,\lambda)} + P_{3,(\Delta,\lambda)}P_{2,(\Delta,\lambda)}\right)P_{2,(\Delta,\lambda)}^{n-2} \\ 0 & P_{2,(\Delta,\lambda)}^n \end{array} \right]$$

Calculate the limit $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathsf{P}^n_{\Delta,\lambda} = \mathsf{P}^\infty_{\Delta,\lambda}$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} P_{\Delta,\lambda}^{\infty} & = & \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \left(P_{1,(\Delta,\lambda)} P_{3,(\Delta,\lambda)} + P_{3,(\Delta,\lambda)} P_{2,(\Delta,\lambda)} \right) P_{2,(\Delta,\lambda)}^{\infty} \\ 0 & P_{2,(\Delta,\lambda)}^{\infty} \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

with

$$\mathsf{P}^\infty_{2,(\Delta,\lambda)} = \lim_{n o \infty} \mathsf{P}^{n-2}_{2,(\Delta,\lambda)}$$
 and

$$\mathsf{P}_{2,(\Delta,\lambda)}^{\infty} \ = \ \mathsf{P}_{2,(\Delta,\lambda)}^{\infty} \mathsf{P}_{2,(\Delta,\lambda)} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{0} = \mathsf{P}_{2}^{\infty} \left(\mathsf{I} - \mathsf{P}_{2} \right)$$

 ${\sf P}^n_{\Lambda,\lambda}$ tends for a matrix with all lines equal, of the form

$$\mathsf{P}^{n}_{\Delta,\lambda} \to \left[\mathbf{0} \mid \mathsf{P}^{\infty}_{2,(\Delta,\lambda)}\right]$$

Example (cont'd)

$$\mathbf{P}_{2,\Delta,\lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\lambda} & \lambda e^{-\lambda} & \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda}/2 & \lambda^3 e^{-\lambda}/6 & 1 - e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^3 \lambda^i/i! \\ e^{-\lambda} & \lambda e^{-\lambda} & \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda}/2 & 1 - e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^2 \lambda^i/i! \\ e^{-\lambda} & \lambda e^{-\lambda} & \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda}/2 & 1 - e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^2 \lambda^i/i! \\ e^{-\lambda} & \lambda e^{-\lambda} & 1 - e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^1 \lambda^i/i! \\ 0 & e^{-\lambda} & \lambda e^{-\lambda} & 1 - e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^1 \lambda^i/i! \\ e^{-\lambda} & & 1 - e^{-\lambda} \\ e^{-\lambda} & & 1 - e^{-\lambda} \\ & & e^{-\lambda} & 1 - e^{-\lambda} \end{bmatrix}$$

With
$$\lambda=0.1$$
, we get $\mathbf{P}_{2,(\Delta,\lambda)}^{\infty}$ as

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 0.81873 & 0.067032 & 0.074082 & 0.014905 & 0.016473 & 0.0032584 \\ & & 0.0036011 & 91126 \times 10^{-4} & 10071 \times 10^{-3} \end{array} \right)$$

In stationarity, Average Premium is 78.997% of entry Premium.

- Lemaire's (1995):
 - Relative Stationary Average Level (RSAL):

$$RSAL = \frac{SAP - mP}{MP - mP}$$

$$SAP = \sum_{j=1}^{s} b(j) p_{T}^{(\infty)}(j)$$

SAP: Stationary Average Premium, mP: minimum Premium, MP: Max Premium

• Premium variation coefficient (VC):

$$SDP = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{s} b(j)^{2} p_{T}^{(\infty)}(j) - SAP^{2} }$$

 Elasticity of the average premium (Response to changes in frequency mean)

$$\eta(\lambda) = \frac{\frac{dSAP(\lambda)}{SAP}}{\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}} = \frac{d \ln SAP(\lambda)}{d \ln \lambda}$$

lf

$$\begin{array}{lll} \lambda & \to & \infty \Rightarrow SAP(\lambda) \to \max \left\{ b(j) \right\} < \infty; \\ \lambda & \to & \infty \Rightarrow \eta(\lambda) \to 0; & \lambda \to 0 \Rightarrow \eta(\lambda) \to 0. \end{array}$$

• Lemaire's (1985) Transient Elasticity (1st step analysis)

$$V_{\lambda}(j) = b(j) + \beta_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{s} p_{T,\lambda}(j,k) V_{\lambda}(k), \ j = 1, ..., s$$

- $V_{\lambda}(j)$: Expected present value to be paid by policy from C_{i} ;
- β_i (< 1): Discount rate.



• Lemaire's (1985) Transient Elasticity (1st step analysis)

$$V_{\lambda}(j) = b(j) + \beta_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{s} p_{T,\lambda}(j,k) V_{\lambda}(k), \ j = 1, ..., s$$

- $V_{\lambda}(i)$: Expected present value to be paid by popli from C_{i} ;
- β_i (< 1): Discount rate.

The system has a unique solution and elasticity comes:

$$\mu_{\lambda}(j) = \frac{dV_{\lambda}(j)/V_{\lambda}(j)}{d\lambda/\lambda}$$
$$\mu(j) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_{\lambda}(j)d\pi(\lambda)$$

"Bonus hunger"

- Due to "claims frequency system"
- (Some?) Small accidents aren't reported;
 - It changes: the reported frequency and amonts dist's;
 - Decreases insurer's management costs;
 - "No-report" decision depends:
 - solely on insuree, and
 - his bonus class C_j;
- Let x_j: Retention level (works like a "Franchise" not a "Deductible");
- It's possible to find an optimal retention point: x_j^* (under some assumptions).

lypothesis

- (Unreal) Insuree knows single amount distr. $F_X(\cdot)$, and x_j ;
- $N \frown Poisson(\lambda)$; Single amount $X_i \frown F_X(\cdot)$; Let N^* : no. of accidents reported in C_j :

$$N^* = \sum_{i=0}^{N} Y_i, \quad Y_0 \equiv 0$$

 $Y_i \frown binomial(1; p); \qquad p = \Pr[X_i > x_j] = \bar{F}_X(x_j).$

Then

$$N^* \frown CPoisson(\lambda, F_v) \equiv Poisson(\lambda \bar{F}_X(x_i))$$

• Let D: Cost of unreported claim, with mean $E[D(x_j)]$:

$$D(x_j) = X \mathbb{1}_{\{X < x_i\}}$$

•

$$E[D(x_j)] = 0 \times \lambda \bar{F}_X(x_j) + \lambda F_X(x_j)$$

and payments are made in mid-year:

$$V_{\lambda,\mathbf{x}}(j) = b(j) + \beta^{1/2} E[D(x_j)] + \beta \sum_{k=1}^{s} p_{T,\lambda,x_j}(j,k) V_{\lambda,\mathbf{x}}(k)$$
$$j = 1, ..., s;$$

Matrix form equation:

$$\mathbf{V}_{\lambda,\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) + \beta \mathbf{P}_{T,\lambda,\mathbf{x}}(j,k) \mathbf{V}_{\lambda,\mathbf{x}}$$

$$\mathbf{V}_{\lambda,\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{I} - \beta \mathbf{P}_{T,\lambda,\mathbf{x}})^{-1} \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})' = (...,b(j) + \beta^{1/2} E[D(x_i)],...).$$

Under those conditions it's possible to find optimums x_i^* , see Centeno (2003, pp 181-184), and for algorithms.



Evaluation measures

• Norberg's (1976) model. Efficiency Measure of premium $b_n(Z_{\Delta,n})$, as estimator of risk premium $E(S_n|\lambda)$

$$Q_n(\Delta) = E\left[\left(E\left(S_n|\lambda\right) - b_n(Z_{\Delta,n})\right)^2\right]$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \sum_{j=1}^s \left(E\left(S_n|\lambda\right) - b_n(Z_{\Delta,n})\right)^2 p_{\Delta,n}^{(n)}(j) d\Pi(\lambda)$$

Bonus class in n: $Z_{\Delta,n}$, n = 0, 1, 2, ...

 S_n : Aggregate claims of policy in n

 $E(S_n|\lambda)$: Risk premium, unknown.

$$Q_{n}(\Delta) = E\left[E\left[\left(E\left(S_{n}|\lambda\right) - b_{n}(Z_{\Delta,n})\right)^{2}\right]|Z_{\Delta,n}\right] \text{ (Like in credibility)}$$

$$= E\left[V\left[E\left(S_{n}|\lambda\right)|Z_{\Delta,n}\right]\right]$$

$$+ E\left[\left(E\left[b_{n}(Z_{\Delta,n}) - E(E\left(S_{n}|\lambda\right)\right]|Z_{\Delta,n}\right)\right]^{2}\right]$$

 Norberg's (1976) model. Optimal Scale Efficiency Measure

$$Q_n(\Delta) = E\left[\left(E\left(S_n|\lambda\right) - b_n(Z_{\Delta,n})\right)^2\right]$$

$\mathsf{Theorem}$

$$Q_n(\Delta) \geq E[V[E(S_n|\lambda)|Z_{\Delta,n}]]$$

$$Q_n(\Delta) = E[V[E(S_n|\lambda)|Z_{\Delta,n}]]$$

 $iff \quad \Pr[b_n(Z_{\Delta,n}) = \mu_n(Z_{\Delta,n})] = 1$
 $\mu_n(Z_{\Delta,n}) = E[E(S_n|\lambda)|Z_{\Delta,n}]$, credibility pr. for yr n

• Note: $E\left[\mu_n(Z_{\Delta,n})\right] = E\left[E\left(S_n|\lambda\right)\right] = E\left(S_n\right)$



Optimal scale for limiting situation: $Q_0(\Delta) = \lim Q_n(\Delta)$, as $n \to \infty$

$$Q_0(\Delta) = E\left[\left(E\left(S|\lambda\right) - b(Z_T)\right)^2\right]$$
, $S \stackrel{d}{=} S_n$

$$b_{\mathbf{T}}(j) = E\left[E\left(S|\lambda\right)|Z_{\mathbf{T}} = j\right] = \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} E\left(S|\lambda\right) p_{T,\lambda}^{(\infty)}(j) d\Pi(\lambda)}{p_{T}^{(\infty)}(j)}$$

If S_n depends only of λ and use $E(X_i)$ as monetary unit

$$b_{\mathsf{T}}(j) = \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda p_{T,\lambda}^{(\infty)}(j) d\Pi(\lambda)}{p_{T}^{(\infty)}(j)}$$

Efficiency Measure: $e(T) = E\left[b_{\mathbf{T}}(Z_{\mathbf{T}})^2\right] = \sum_{j=1}^s b_{\mathbf{T}}(j)^2 p_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\infty)}(j)$

- Statistical modelling
 - Model the pure premium
 - Model the Conditional Expected Value:

$$E(Y|x_1, x_2, ..., x_p) = h(x_1, x_2, ..., x_p, \beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_p)$$

$$Y = h(x_1, x_2, ..., x_p, \beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_p) + \varepsilon$$

Y: endogenous variable, x_i : factor, exogenous, β_j : parameter

- Identify risk factors;
- Different sorts of variables: Nominal (binary: gender, good/bad risk), ordinal/Categorical (ranks: age, power groups), discrete (age, experience yrs, claim counts...), continuous (income, cliam amounts)
- Data, Information must be (always) reliable, as simple as possible, clean, neat...
- Y: Pure premium, Factors: risk factors influencing:
 - E.g motor insurance: kms, traffic, driver's ability, power, vehicle type, driver's experience, geographical factors...



Deal with the experts about the factors influencing, gather information, data, manageable data. E.g., in motor insurance we can consider

- Past accident record
- kms driven
- Car owner (company/private)
- Use (business or private)
- Vehicle value
- Power (cm³)
- Weight
- Driver's age
- Driving region (usual, city/countryside...)
- Multiple driver's?
- Vehicle age
- Years fo driver's expereince
- Car brand and/or model



- Gender
- Sort of insurance (third party, own damages)
- Driver's profession
- etc,...
- ...

Then, we have to make choices, run/test models...

- Built classes of factors. Often Class aggregation is needed
- Often we have many binary or rank variables, qualitative data

If dependent variable Y is:

- Binary: Model a Logit or Probit
- Countig data: Poisson model. Ex: Number of claims in a Bonus system
- Continuous data: Gamma model. Ex: Amount of claims
- ...

Let S be Aggregate claims in one year. Then E(S) = E(N)E(X), is the **pure premium** (N is annual number of claims and X is amount of each claim). We can consider modeling the two expectations separately.

In a portfolio we can consider different level factors influencing each (conditional) expectation, building a tariff, such that:

$$E(Y|x_1, x_2, ..., x_p) = h(x_1, x_2, ..., x_p, \beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_p)$$

Specifying $h(x_1, x_2, ..., x_p, \beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_p)$ may not be an easy task, where the $x_1, x_2, ..., x_p$ are the factors.

A tariff analysis is based on insurer's own data.

Steps:

- Postulate a distribution of Y according to its nature, as well as the factors $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_p)$;
- Based on a sample for Y and $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_p)$ choose the *best* h(.) and estimate $(\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_p)$;
- Hypothesis testing, for Y and $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_p)$.

We should consider:

- Existing information in the company;
- Used variables in other, previous, studies;
- Market used variables;
- Legal limitations.

Data:

- Must be reliable, objective;
- Number of variables must be adequate, no too long or too short;
- All information must cover an homogeneous period. Not too long periods, e.g.

Models:

- Additive models. ANOVA;
- Mutliplicative models, GLM, e.g. two rating factors:

$$\mu_{ij} = \gamma_0 \gamma_{1i} \gamma_{2j}$$

Key ratio

$$Y_{ij} = X_{ij} / w_{ij}$$

• Mean of key ratio:

$$\mu_{ii} = E(Y_{ii})$$
, with $w_{ii} = 1$



• Mutliplicative models, extension to many rating factors, M:

$$\mu_{1i_1,i_2,\dots,i_M} = \gamma_0 \gamma_{1i_1} \gamma_{2i_2} \times \dots \times \gamma_{Mi_M}$$

 $\mu_{1i_1,i_2,...,i_M}$: Mean of dependent var. with M rating factors

M: Number of rating factors

 γ_{ij} : Rating factor i in Class j

 Exponential dispersion models (EDM's) of GLM's generalise the normal distribution used in the linear models.

Pure Premium = Claim frequency \times Claim severity

For each of the two factors, we can have different rating factors, separately, since severity and frequency are independent.

Table 1.1 Rating factors in moped insurance

Rating factor	Class	Class description		
Vehicle class	1	Weight over 60 kg and more than two gears		
	2	Other		
Vehicle age	I	At most 1 year		
	2	2 years or more		
Geographic zone	1	Central and semi-central parts of		
		Sweden's three largest cities		
	2	Suburbs and middle-sized towns		
	3	Lesser towns, except those in 5 or 7		
	4	Small towns and countryside, except 5-7		
	5	Northern towns		
	6	Northern countryside		
	7	Gotland (Sweden's largest island)		

Table 1.2 Key ratios in moped insurance (claim frequency per mille)

Tariff cell		Duration	No.	Claim	Claim	Pure	Actual	
Class	Age	Zone		claims	frequency	severity	premium	premium
1	1	1	62.9	17	270	18 256	4936	2 049
1	1	2	112.9	7	62	13632	845	1 230
1	1	3	133.1	9	68	20877	1411	762
1	1	4	376.6	7	19	13 045	242	396
1	1	5	9.4	o	O		0	990
1	1	6	70.8	1	14	15 000	212	594
1	1	7	4.4	1	228	8018	1829	396
ž.	2	1	352.1	52	148	8 2 3 2	1216	1 229
I	2	2	840.1	69	82	7418	609	738
1	2	3	1 378.3	75	54	7318	398	457
1	2	4	5 505.3	136	25	6922	171	238
ž.	2	.5	114.1	2	18	11131	195	594
1	2	6	810.9	14	17	5970	103	356
3	2	7	62.3	1	16	6500	104	238
2	1	3.	191.6	4.3	224	7754	1 740	1 024
2	1	2	237.3	34	143	6933	993	615
2	3	,3	162.4	11	68	4402	298	381
2	1	4	446.5	8	18	8214	147	198
2	1	5	13.2	O	O		0	495
2	1	6	82.8	3	36	5830	211	297
2	1	フ	14.5	O	О		O	198
2	2	1	844.8	94	111	4728	526	614
2	2	2	1 296.0	99	76	4 2 5 2	325	369
2	2	3	1214.9	37	30	4212	128	229
2	2	4	3 740.7	56	15	3 8 4 6	58	119
2	2	5	109.4	4	37	3925	144	297
2	2	6	404.7	5	12	5 280	65	178
2	2	フ	66.3	1	15	7795	118	119

 Table 1.3 Important key ratios

Exposure w	Response X	Key ratio Y = X/w	
Duration	Number of claims	Claim frequency	
Duration	Claim cost	Pure premium	
Number of claims	Claim cost	(Average) Claim severity	
Earned premium	Claim cost	Loss ratio	
Number of claims	Number of large claims	Proportion of large claims	

EDM's of GLM's

- Data, Key Ratios Obs org'zed in list form $(y_1, ... y_n)'$;
- Row i contains y_i , exposure weight w_i and rating factors ob's;

Tariff	Covaria	ites		Duration	Claim
cell	Class	Age	Zone	(exposure)	frequency
i	Xi1	x_{i2}	x13	w_i	yi
1	1	1	1	62.9	270
2	1	1	2	112.9	62
3	1	1	3	133.1	68
4	1	1	4	376.6	19
5	1	1	5	9.4	0
6	1	1	6	70.8	14
7	1	1	7	4.4	228
8	1	2	1	352.1	148
9	1	2	2	840.1	82
:	:	i			
21	2	1	7	14.5	0
22	2	2	1	844.8	111
23	2	2	2	1 296.0	76
24	2	2	3	1214.9	30
25	2	2	4	3 740.7	15
26	2	2	5	109.4	37
27	2	2	6	404.7	12
28	2	2	7	66.3	15

• Prob'y dist of the Claim Frequency: Poisson, mixed Poisson. Let X_i in cell i with w_i ,

$$X_i \frown Poisson(w_i \mu_i) \Rightarrow Y_i = X_i / w_i \frown relative Poisson$$

• Model for claim severity: Gamma, $X \frown Gamma(w\alpha, \beta)$

$$\Rightarrow Y = X/w \frown Gamma(w\alpha, w\beta), E[X] = \alpha/\beta$$

- Tweedie models:
 - EDM's that are scale invariant, those with variance function $\nu(\mu) = \mu^{p}$.
 - If 1 correspond to the Compound Poisson. Key ratio: Pure premium.
 - Model altogether the pure premium, not claim counts and size separately.

Table 2.7 Moped insurance: relativities from a multiplicative Poisson GLM for claim frequency and a gamma GLM for claim severity

Rating factor	Class	Duration	No. claims	Relativities, frequency	Relativities, severity	Relativities, pure premium
Vehicle class	1	9833	391	1.00	1.00	1.00
	2	8824	395	0.78	0.55	0.42
Vehicle age	1	1918	141	1.55	1.79	2.78
	2	16740	645	1.00	1.00	1.00
Zone	1	1451	206	7.10	1.21	8.62
	2	2486	209	4.17	1.07	4.48
	3	2889	132	2.23	1.07	2.38
	4	10069	207	1.00	1.00	1.00
	5	246	6	1.20	1.21	1.46
	6	1369	23	0.79	0.98	0.78
	7	147	3	1.00	1.20	1.20

	Cycle mon	e: rating factors and relativities in current tariff Class description	Relativit
Rating factor	Class		
Geographic zone	1	Central and semi-central parts of Sweden's three largest cities	7.678
	2	Suburbs plus middle-sized cities	4.227
	3	Lesser towns, except those in 5 or 7	1.336
	4	Small towns and countryside, except 5-7	1.000
	5	Northern towns	1.734
	6	Northern countryside	1.402
	7	Gotland (Sweden's largest island)	1.402
MC class	1	EV ratio -5	0.625
	2	EV ratio 6-8	0.769
	3	EV ratio 9-12	1.000
	4	EV ratio 13-15	1.406
	5	EV ratio 16-19	1.875
	6	EV ratio 20-24	4.062
	7	EV ratio 25-	6.873
Vehicle age	1	0-1 years	2.000
	2	2-4 years	
	3	5- years	1.200
onus class	1	1-2	
	2	3-4	1.250
	3	5-7	1.125
TO THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF		3-1	1.000