

Serial Correlation and Heteroscedasticity in Time Series Regressions



Chapter 10 (Ch. 12 of the textbook)

Wooldridge: Introductory Econometrics:
A Modern Approach, 5e

Analyzing Time Series: Serial Correl. and Heterosced.

- **Properties of OLS with serially correlated errors**
 - **OLS still unbiased and consistent** if errors are serially correlated
 - Correctness of R-squared also does not depend on serial correlation
 - **OLS standard errors and tests will be invalid**
 - **OLS will not be efficient** anymore if there is serial correlation
- **Serial correlation and the presence of lagged dependent variables**
 - Is OLS inconsistent if there are ser. corr. and lagged dep. variables?
 - No: Including enough lags so that TS.3' holds guarantees consistency
 - Including too few lags will cause an omitted variable problem and serial correlation because some lagged dep. var. end up in the error term

Analyzing Time Series: Serial Correl. and Heterosced.

- **Testing for serial correlation**
- **Testing for AR(1) serial correlation with strictly exog. regressors**

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{t1} + \cdots + \beta_k x_{tk} + u_t$$

$$u_t = \rho u_{t-1} + e_t$$

AR(1) model for serial correlation (with an i.i.d. series e_t)

Replace true unobserved errors by estimated residuals

$$\hat{u}_t = \rho \hat{u}_{t-1} + error$$

Analyzing Time Series: Serial Correl. and Heterosced.

- **Test procedure**

- OLS of $y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{t1} + \dots + \beta_k x_{tk} + u_t \longrightarrow \hat{u}_t$

- Auxiliary test regression

OLS of $\hat{u}_t = \rho \hat{u}_{t-1} + error \longrightarrow \hat{\rho}, se(\hat{\rho})$

- Test

$H_0 : \rho = 0$

t-test

- **Example: Static Phillips curve (see above)**

$\hat{u}_t = inf_t - (1.42 + 0.468unem_t) \quad n = 49$

$\hat{u}_t = 0.573\hat{u}_{t-1} \quad n = 48 \longrightarrow t_{obs} = \frac{0.573}{0.116} = 4.94$
(0.116)

Reject null hypothesis
of no serial correlation

Analyzing Time Series: Serial Correl. and Heterosced.

- **Testing for AR(1) serial correlation with general regressors**

- The t-test for autocorrelation can be easily generalized to allow for the possibility that the explanatory variables are not strictly exogenous:

$$\hat{u}_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_{t1} + \cdots + \alpha_k x_{tk} + \rho \hat{u}_{t-1} + error$$

The test now allows for the possibility that the strict exogeneity assumption is violated.

Test for $H_0 : \rho = 0$

- The test may be carried out in a heteroscedasticity robust way

Analyzing Time Series: Serial Correl. and Heterosced.

- **General Breusch-Godfrey test for AR(q) serial correlation**

- OLS of $y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{t1} + \dots + \beta_k x_{tk} + u_t \longrightarrow \hat{u}_t$

- OLS of

$$\hat{u}_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_{t1} + \dots + \alpha_k x_{tk} + \rho_1 \hat{u}_{t-1} + \rho_2 \hat{u}_{t-2} + \dots + \rho_q \hat{u}_{t-q} + v \longrightarrow R_{\hat{u}}^2$$

- Test

$$H_0 : \rho_1 = \rho_2 = \dots = \rho_q = 0 \quad H_1 : H_0 \text{ false}$$

$$LM = nR_{\hat{u}}^2 \sim \chi^2(q) \text{ Reject } H_0 \text{ if } LM_{obs} > \chi_{\alpha}^2 \text{ with } \chi_{\alpha}^2 : P(\chi^2(q) > \chi_{\alpha}^2) = \alpha$$

- If H_0 is rejected there is evidence of autocorrelation of order q

Analyzing Time Series: Serial Correl. and Heterosced.

- **Heteroscedasticity in time series regressions**

- Heteroscedasticity usually receives less attention than serial correlation
- Heteroscedasticity-robust standard errors also work for time series
- Heteroscedasticity is automatically corrected for if one uses the serial correlation-robust formulas for standard errors and test statistics

- **Testing for heteroscedasticity**

- The usual heteroscedasticity tests assume absence of serial correlation
- Before testing for heteroscedasticity one should therefore test for serial correlation first, using a heteroscedasticity-robust test if necessary
- After ser. corr. has been corrected for, test for heteroscedasticity