Master in Mathematical Finance, ISEG, University of Lisbon Stochastic Calculus Final Exam; Exam duration: 2 hours; June, 11, 2018 Justify your answers and calculations

**1.** Consider a standard Brownian motion  $B = \{B_t, t \ge 0\}$ .

(a) Define the process X by

$$X_t = e^{-\alpha t} \sin\left(\gamma B_t\right) + B_t^2 - t,$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are real parameters. Deduce how  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  should be related for the process X to be a martingale. Give also an example of numerical values for  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  such that X is a martingale.

(b) Let  $\lambda > 0$  be a constant. For what values of  $\lambda$ , is the process

$$Y_t = \begin{cases} t^{\lambda} B_{\frac{1}{t}} & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

a standard Brownian motion? Show that for these values of  $\lambda$ , the process Y is indeed a standard Brownian motion.

**2.** Consider the *n*-dimensional Brownian motion  $B = \{B_t, t \in [0, T]\}$  with  $B_t = (B_t^{(1)}, B_t^{(2)}, \dots, B_t^{(n)}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Define the process

$$Z_t = Z_0 \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i t + \delta_i B_t^{(i)}\right),\,$$

where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n, \ \delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n$  are real parameters. Show that  $Z_t = C + K \int_0^t Z_s ds + \int_0^t Z_s (\mathbf{v} \cdot dB)$ , where C, K and  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$  are constants and  $\cdot$  denotes the scalar (inner) product and express the constants C, K and  $\mathbf{v}$  in terms of the parameters  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$  and  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n$  and  $Z_0$ .

**3.** Let  $B = \{B_t, t \in [0, T]\}$  be a Brownian motion. Consider a SDE of the type

$$dX_t = f(t, X_t)dt + g(t, X_t)dB_t,$$
  
$$X_0 = x > 0.$$

where f(t, x) and g(t, x) are continuous and deterministic functions.

(a) If  $f(t, X_t) = 5X_t^2$  and  $g(t, X_t) \equiv 0$ , what can you say about the existence and uniqueness of solutions in the interval [0, T]? Explain your answer and, if possible, find the solution or solutions.

(b) Considering  $f(t, X_t) = \sin(t)X_t$  and  $g(t, X_t) = e^{-t}X_t$ , with  $X_0 = 2$ , comment on the existence and uniqueness of solutions and solve the SDE.

4. Consider the boundary value problem with domain  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + 6x\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} + 8\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} + x = 0, \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}$$
$$F(T, x) = x^2.$$

(a) Show that the solution of the problem can be represented by the stochastic representation (Feynman-Kac) formula

$$F(t,x) = \mathbb{E}_{t,x} \left[ X_T^2 \right] + \int_t^T \mathbb{E}_{t,x} \left[ X_s \right] ds$$

where X is a diffusion process that satisfies

$$dX_s = 6X_s ds + 4X_s dB_s, \text{ if } s > t,$$
  
$$X_t = x.$$

Hint: Define X as the solution of the SDE above, assume that F actually solves the PDE, consider the process  $Y_s = F(s, X_s)$  and apply the Itô formula.

(b) Deduce an explicit expression for the process X and for the solution F(t, x) of the boundary value problem.

5. Consider the Black-Scholes model with a risky asset with price  $S_t$  and a riskless asset with price  $B_t$ . The assets follow the SDE's

$$dS_t = 0.1 S_t dt + 0.25 S_t d\overline{W}_t$$
 e  $dB_t = 0.05 B_t dt$ , with  $S_0 = 2, B_0 = 1$ .

where  $\overline{W}$  is a Brownian motion. Consider also a contingent claim (financial derivative) with payoff (at maturity T) given by  $\chi = \Phi(S_T) = S_T^4 + 30 \ln(S_T)$ . Write the SDE for  $S_t$  under que equivalent martingale measure (or risk neutral measure)  $\mathbb{Q}$ , specify also what is the density of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$  and calculate the price of the contingent claim (financial derivative) at time 0 with maturity 1 year.

**6.** Let  $B = \{B_t, t \ge 0\}$  be a Brownian motion. Define the operator  $\mathcal{K} : L^2_{a,T} \to L^2_{a,T}$  by

$$\left(\mathcal{K}X\right)_{t} = \int_{0}^{t} b\left(X_{s}\right) ds + \int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(X_{s}\right) dB_{s},$$

where the functions b and  $\sigma$  satisfy the Lipschitz and linear growth properties (as in the existence and uniqueness theorem for SDE's). In the space  $L^2_{a,T}$  consider the norm

$$||X|| = \left(\int_0^T e^{-\lambda s} E\left[|X_s|^2\right] ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $\lambda$  is a positive number. Show that if  $\lambda$  is large enough, then

$$\left\|\mathcal{K}X - \mathcal{K}Y\right\| \le C \left\|X - Y\right\|,$$

for a positive constant C < 1 (and therefore the operator  $\mathcal{K}$  is a contraction in  $L^2_{a,T}$ ).

Hint: You can start by showing that  $E\left[|(\mathcal{K}X)_t - (\mathcal{K}Y)_t|^2\right] \leq C_1 E\left[\int_0^t (X_s - Y_s)^2 ds\right]$ . Then you multiply the previous inequality by  $e^{-\lambda t}$  and integrate in [0, T].

Marks: 1(a):2.5, (b):2.0, 2:2.0, 3(a):2.25, (b):2.25, 4(a):2.5, (b):2.0, 5:2.5, 6:2.0