

Models in Finance - Class 18

Master in Actuarial Science

João Guerra

ISEG

Black-Scholes model - PDE approach

- idea: use Itô's formula to derive an expression for the price of the derivative as a function $f(S_t)$ of S_t and then construct a risk-free portfolio.
- By Itô's formula:

$$df(t, S_t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, S_t) dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t}(t, S_t) dS_t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S_t^2}(t, S_t) (dS_t)^2. \quad (1)$$

- Recall that $dS_t = S_t(\mu dt + \sigma dZ_t)$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (dS_t)^2 &= S_t^2 \left[\mu^2 (dt)^2 + \sigma^2 (dZ_t)^2 + 2\mu\sigma dt dZ_t \right] \\ &= \sigma^2 S_t^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

(why?)

PDE approach

- Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}df(t, S_t) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, S_t) dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t}(t, S_t) [S_t (\mu dt + \sigma dZ_t)] \\&+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S_t^2}(t, S_t) \sigma^2 S_t^2 dt \\&= \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, S_t) + \mu S_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t}(t, S_t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S_t^2}(t, S_t) \right] dt\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

$$+ \sigma S_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t}(t, S_t) dZ_t.\tag{3}$$

PDE approach

- At time t with $0 \leq t < T$, consider you hold the portfolio:
- -1 derivative $+ \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t}(t, S_t)$ shares
- Let $V(t, S_t)$ be the value of this portfolio:

$$V(t, S_t) = -f(t, S_t) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t}(t, S_t) S_t.$$

- The variation of the portfolio value over the period $(t, t + dt]$ is (by Eq. (2) and (3))

$$\begin{aligned}-df(t, S_t) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t}(t, S_t) dS_t \\= - \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, S_t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S_t^2}(t, S_t) \right) dt\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

PDE approach

- $-df(t, S_t) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t}(t, S_t) dS_t$ involves dt but not $dZ_t \implies$ instantaneous investment gain in $(t, t + dt]$ is risk-free.
- arbitrage-free market \implies risk-free rate $= r \implies$

$$-df(t, S_t) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t}(t, S_t) dS_t = rV(t, S_t) dt. \quad (5)$$

- By (4) and (5), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, S_t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S_t^2}(t, S_t) \right) dt = -rV(t, S_t) dt \\ & = -r \left(-f(t, S_t) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t}(t, S_t) S_t \right) dt \end{aligned}$$

and therefore (substituting $S_t = s$)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, s) + rs \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(t, s) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 s^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial s^2}(t, s) = rf(t, s). \quad (6)$$

- This is the Black-Scholes PDE (partial differential equation).

PDE approach

- The value of the derivative $f(t, S_t)$ is obtained by solving the B-S PDE with appropriate boundary conditions, which are for the call and put:

$$\begin{aligned} f(T, s) &= \max\{s - K, 0\} \quad \text{for the call,} \\ f(T, s) &= \max\{K - s, 0\} \quad \text{for the put.} \end{aligned}$$

- We can try out the solutions given in the proposition:

$$f(t, S_t) = S_t \Phi(d_1) - Ke^{-r(T-t)} \Phi(d_2) \quad \text{for the call,} \quad (7)$$

$$f(t, S_t) = Ke^{-r(T-t)} \Phi(-d_2) - S_t \Phi(-d_1) \quad \text{for the put,} \quad (8)$$

and find that they satisfy the PDE and the appropriate boundary conditions.

PDE approach

- Exercise: A forward contract is arranged where an investor agrees to buy a share at time T for an amount K . It is proposed that the fair price of this contract is

$$f(t, S_t) = S_t - Ke^{-r(T-t)}.$$

Show that this:

- (i) Satisfies the appropriate boundary condition.
- (ii) Satisfies the Black-Scholes PDE.

Financial Derivatives

- Consider a contingent claim (a financial derivative), with payoff given by

$$X = \Phi(S(T)). \quad (9)$$

Its price process is represented by

$$\Pi(t), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Portfolios

- Portfolio $(h^0(t), h^*(t))$
- $h^0(t)$: number of bonds (or number of units of the riskless asset) at time t .
- $h^*(t)$: number of shares of stock in the portfolio at time t .

Portfolios

- Value of the portfolio at time t :

$$V^h(t) = h^0(t) B_t + h^*(t) S_t.$$

- It is supposed that the portfolio is self-financed, that is,

$$dV_t^h = h^0(t) dB_t + h^*(t) dS_t.$$

- In integral form:

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= V_0 + \int_0^t h^*(s) dS_s + \int_0^t h^0(s) dB_s \\ &= V_0 + \int_0^t (\alpha h^*(s) S_s + r h^0(s) B_s) ds + \sigma \int_0^t h^*(s) S_s dZ_s. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Replicating portfolio

- Assume that the contingent claim (or financial derivative) has the payoff

$$X = \Phi(S(T)). \quad (11)$$

and it is replicated by the portfolio $h = (h^0(t), h^*(t))$, that is, $V_T^h = X = \Phi(S(T))$ a.s. Then, the unique price process that is compatible with the no-arbitrage principle is

$$\Pi(t) = V_t^h, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (12)$$

- Moreover, assume also that

$$\Pi(t) = V_t^h = F(t, S_t). \quad (13)$$

where F is a differentiable function of class $C^{1,2}$.

Replicating portfolio

- Applying Itô's formula to (13) and considering $dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dZ_t$, we obtain

$$dF(t, S_t) = \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t, S_t) + \mu S_t \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, S_t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(t, S_t) \right) dt + \left(\sigma S_t \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, S_t) \right) dZ_t.$$

Replicating portfolio

That is,

$$F(t, S_t) = F(0, S_0) + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(s, S_s) + AF(s, S_s) \right) ds + \int_0^t \left(\sigma S_s \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, S_s) \right) dZ_s, \quad (14)$$

where

$$Af(t, x) = \mu x \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 x^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(t, x)$$

is the infinitesimal generator associated to the diffusion S_t .

Replicating portfolio

- Comparing (10) and (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma h^*(s) S_s &= \sigma S_s \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, S_s), \\ \mu h^*(s) S_s + r h^0(s) B_s &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(s, S_s) + AF(s, S_s). \end{aligned}$$

- Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, S_s) &= h^*(s), \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(s, S_s) + r S_s \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, S_s) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S_s^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s, S_s) - r F(s, S_s) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Replicating portfolio

Therefore, we have

- A portfolio h with value $V_t^h = F(t, S_t)$, composed of risky assets with price S_t and riskless assets of price B_t .
- Portfolio h replicates the contingent claim X at each time t , and

$$\Pi(t) = V_t^h = F(t, S_t).$$

- In particular,

$$F(T, S_T) = \Phi(S(T)) = \text{Payoff}.$$

Black-Scholes PDE

- The portfolio should be continuously updated by acquiring (or selling) $h^*(t)$ shares of the risky asset and $h^0(t)$ units of the riskless asset, where

$$h^*(t) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, S_t),$$
$$h^0(t) = \frac{V_t^h - h^*(t) S_t}{B_t} = \frac{F(t, S_t) - h^*(t) S_t}{B_t}.$$

- The derivative price function satisfies the PDE (Black-Scholes eq.)

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t, S_t) + rS_t \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, S_t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(t, S_t) - rF(t, S_t) = 0.$$

Black-Scholes PDE

Theorem

(Black-Scholes eq.) The only pricing function that is consistent with the no-arbitrage principle is the solution F of the following boundary value problem, defined in the domain $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^+$:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t, x) + rx \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 x^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(t, x) - rF(t, x) = 0, \quad (15)$$
$$F(T, x) = \Phi(x).$$

The martingale approach

- In the binomial model, we proved that the value of a derivative could be expressed by:

$$V_t = e^{-r(T-t)} E_Q [X | \mathcal{F}_t],$$

where X is the value of the derivative at maturity T and Q is the equivalent martingale measure (or risk neutral measure).

- In continuous time, this result can be generalized as:

Proposition: Let X be any derivative payment contingent on \mathcal{F}_T , payable at T . Then the value of this derivative at time $t < T$ is

$$V_t = e^{-r(T-t)} E_Q [X | \mathcal{F}_t]. \quad (16)$$

Proof of the risk neutral valuation

The price function F is solution of the following boundary value problem:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t, x) + r x \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 x^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(t, x) - r F(t, x) = 0, \quad (17)$$

$$F(T, x) = \Phi(x).$$

Applying the Itô formula to $e^{-rs}F(s, X_s)$, where $dX_s = rX_s ds + \sigma X_s dZ_s$, $t \leq s \leq T$ and $X_t = x$, we obtain

$$e^{-rT}F(T, X_T) = e^{-rt}F(t, X_t) + \int_t^T e^{-rs} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(s, X_s) + \left(rX_s \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sigma^2 X_s^2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) F(s, X_s) - rF(s, X_s) \right) ds + \int_t^T e^{-rs} \sigma(s, X_s) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s) dZ_s.$$

Proof of the risk neutral valuation

Using (17) and applying the expected value (with $X_t = x$), we obtain

$$E_{t,x} \left[e^{-r(T-t)} F(T, X_T) \right] = E_{t,x} [F(t, X_t)],$$

Therefore

$$F(t, x) = e^{-r(T-t)} E_{t,x} [\Phi(X_T^{t,x})],$$

- Note that the process X is not the same as the process S , as the drift of X is rX and not μX .
- idea: change from process X to process S , using the Girsanov (Cameron-Martin-Girsanov) Theorem.

Proof of the risk neutral valuation

- Denote by P the original probability measure (“objective” or “real” probability measure). The P -dynamics of the process S is given in $dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dZ_t$. Note that this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} dS_t &= rS_t dt + \sigma S_t \left(\frac{\mu - r}{\sigma} dt + dZ_t \right) \\ &= rS_t dt + \sigma S_t \underbrace{d \left(\frac{\mu - r}{\sigma} t + Z_t \right)}_{\tilde{Z}_t}. \end{aligned}$$

- By the Girsanov Theorem, there exists a probability measure Q such that, in the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, Q)$, the process

$$\tilde{Z}_t := \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma} t + Z_t$$

is a Brownian motion, and S has the Q -dynamics:

$$dS_t = rS_t dt + \sigma S_t d\tilde{Z}_t. \quad (18)$$

Proof of the risk neutral valuation

- Consider the following notation: E denotes the expected value with respect to the original measure P , while E_Q denotes the expected value with respect to the new probability measure Q (that comes from the application of the Girsanov theorem). Also, let Z_t denote the original Brownian motion (under the measure P) and \tilde{Z}_t denote the Brownian motion under the measure Q .
- We represent the solution of the Black-Scholes equation by

$$F(t, s) = e^{-r(T-t)} E_Q [X | \mathcal{F}_t],$$

where $X = \Phi(S_T)$ represents the payoff, and the dynamics of S under the measure Q is

$$dS_u = rS_u du + \sigma S_u d\tilde{Z}_u, \quad t \leq u \leq T,$$

$$S_t = s.$$

Delta hedging and martingale approach

- How to determine ϕ_t of the replicating portfolio?
- We can evaluate the price of the derivative $V_t = e^{-r(T-t)} E_Q [X | \mathcal{F}_t]$ using a formula (like the B-S formula) or numerical techniques.

- Then

$$\phi_t = \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}(t, S_t). \quad (19)$$

- ϕ_t is called the Delta of the derivative:

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}(t, S_t). \quad (20)$$

Delta hedging and martingale approach

If:

- we start at time 0 with V_0 invested in cash and shares,
- we follow a self-financing portfolio strategy,
- we continually rebalance the portfolio to hold exactly $\phi_t = \Delta = \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}(t, S_t)$ units of S_t with the rest in cash,

then we will precisely replicate the derivative payoff.

Example: B-S formula for a call

- Let $X = \max \{S_T - K, 0\}$. Then:

$$V_t = S_t \Phi(d_1) - Ke^{-r(T-t)} \Phi(d_2), \quad (21)$$

where: $d_1 = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{S_t}{K}\right) + \left(r + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)(T-t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}$, $d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T-t}$ and $\Phi(z)$ is the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution.

Example: B-S formula for a call

Proof:

- Given the information \mathcal{F}_t , then under Q , we have:

$$S_T = S_t \exp \left[\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \right) (T-t) + \sigma \left(\tilde{Z}_T - \tilde{Z}_t \right) \right]. \quad (22)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= e^{-r(T-t)} E_Q [\max \{S_T - K, 0\} | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} \\ &\times E_Q \left[\max \left\{ S_t \exp \left[\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \right) (T-t) + \sigma \left(\tilde{Z}_T - \tilde{Z}_t \right) \right] - K, 0 \right\} | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &= E_Q \left[\max \left\{ e^{\alpha + \beta U} - e^{\alpha + \beta u}, 0 \right\} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \log(S_t) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t)$, $\beta = \sigma\sqrt{T-t}$, $U \sim N(0, 1)$ under Q and $u = \left[\log \left(Ke^{-r(T-t)} \right) - \alpha \right] / \beta$.

Example: B-S formula for a call

Proof:

- Therefore (with $\phi(x)$ the density of the $N(0, 1)$ distribution):

$$\begin{aligned}V_t &= e^{\alpha + \beta u} \int_u^\infty \left(e^{\beta(x-u)} - 1 \right) \phi(x) dx \\&= e^\alpha \int_u^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\beta x - \frac{1}{2}x^2} dx - e^{\alpha + \beta u} \Phi(-u) \\&= e^{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\beta^2} \int_u^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\beta)^2} dx - e^{\alpha + \beta u} \Phi(-u) \\&= e^{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\beta^2} \Phi(\beta - u) - e^{\alpha + \beta u} \Phi(-u) = \dots \\&= S_t \Phi(d_1) - Ke^{-r(T-t)} \Phi(d_2).\end{aligned}$$

- Exercise: Prove the B-S formula for the put option, using the same technique.