



SYLLABUS

PART II – THEORY OF PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT

 Portfolio Concepts Return Measures: individual assets and portfolios Risk Measures: individual assets 	 Minimum variance portfolios Efficient Frontiers and Tangent Portfolios Safety Criteria in MVT 	 1. Investors Individual investors: pooled investments vs wealth management Wealth management principles 	 Principle of expected utility Risk Tolerance functions Optimal Portfolios Basics on prospect theory
and portfolios	 Internationally diversified portfolios 	 Wealth management principles Institutional Investors Investor classification and risk- 	3. Alternatives to UtilityMaximizing long-term growth
 2. Mean – Variance Theory (MVT) Assumptions of MVT Combination of two assets Including the risk-free asset 	 4. Return Generating Models * Estimating MVT inputs * Constant correlation model (CCM) * Single Index Model (SIM) 	 return investment profiling Reasons for Investment Policy Statements (IPS) 	 Stochastic Dominance Revisiting Safety Criteria from the investor's perspective
 Three or more assets Allowing for short selling 	 Multi-factor Models (MFM) Estimation risk versus model risk 	2. Expected Utility Theory (EUT) Issues of utility theory under	
Investment Opportunity sets		uncertainty	
Raquel M. Gaspar Investments and	Portfolio Management ISEG – ULisboa 9/42	Raquel M. Gaspar Investments and Portfo	blio Management ISEG – ULisboa 10 / 42

SYLLABUS

PART IV – MODELS OF EQUILIBRUM IN CAPITAL MARKETSS

1. The Capital Asset Pricing Model

(CAPM)

- Assumptions of standard CAPM
- Market portfolio, CML and SML
- Limitations of CAPM
- Non-standards forms of CAPM
- Empirically testing CAPM

2. The Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT)

- Assumptions of APT
- Estimating and testing APT
- APT versus CAPM

3. Market Efficiency

- Forms of efficiency
- Testing market's efficiency
- Week versus strong arbitrage
- 4. Behavioral Finance
 - Anomalies in financial markets
 - Behavioral issues and APT

SYLLABUS

SYLLABUS

PART V – EVALUATING THE INVESTMENT PROCESS

PART III – SELECTING OF OPTIMAL PORTFOLIOS

- 1. Portfolio Performance Evaluation
 - Issues of performance evaluation
 - Evaluating performance using CAPM
 - Other measures of performance
 - Problems with performance evaluation

2. Issues in Portfolio Management

- Portfolio management revisited
- Styles of portfolio management: Active vs. Passive Management
- Contemporary issues of Portfolio Management





3. BIBLIOGRAPHY

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Mandatory

Textbooks

Joshi, M. S., and J. M. Paterson (2013). *Introduction to mathematical portfolio theory*. Cambridge University Press.

Elton E.J., M. J. Gruber, S. J. Brown and W. N. Goetzmann (2014), Modern Portfolio Theory and Investment Analysis, 9th Edition, Wiley.

Lecture Notes

Gaspar R.M. (2020), Investments and Portfolio Management, preprint.

Raquel M. Gaspar

Investments and Portfolio Management

ISEG – ULisboa 14 / 42

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Optional (recommended) readings

Textbooks

Maginn, J. L., Tuttle, D. L., McLeavey, D. W., & Pinto, J. E. (Eds.). (2007). Managing investment portfolios: a dynamic process, 3rd edition, John Wiley & Sons.

CFA 2017 readings

Level I

Reading # 40 – Portfolio management: an overview Reading # 41 – Risk management: an introduction Reading # 42 – Portfolio risk and return: part I Reading # 43 – Portfolio risk and return: part II Reading # 44 – Basics of portfolio planning and construction Reading # 45 – Market Organization and Structure Reading # 46 – Security Market Indices Reading # 47 – Market Efficiency Reading # 48 – Overview of equity securities Reading # 51 – Fixed income securities: defining elements Reading # 57 – Derivative markets and instruments Reading # 60 – Introduction to alternative investments

BIBLIOGRAPHY

CFA 2017 readings

Level II

Reading # 47 – The portfolio management process Reading # 48 – An introduction to multifactor models Reading # 49 – Measuring and managing market risk Reading # 51 – Analysis of active portfolio management Reading # 52 – Algorithmic trading and high-frequency trading

Level III

Reading # 5 – The behavioral finance perspective Reading # 6 – The behavioral biases of individuals Reading # 7 – Behavioral finance and investment processes Reading # 8 – Managing individual investor portfolios Reading # 13 – Managing institutional investor portfolios Reading # 15 – Capital market expectations Reading # 17 – Asset allocation Reading # 18 – Currency management: an introduction Reading # 19 – Market indices and benchmarks Reading # 29 – Execution of portfolio decisions Reading # 30 – Monitoring and rebalancing Reading # 31 – Evaluating portfolio performance

Raquel M. Gaspar

Investments and Portfolio Management

```
ISEG – ULisboa 15 / 42
```

Raquel M. Gaspar





CHOICE DEADLINE

During the first **TWO WEEKS** Students can choose the CE regime

During the first two weeks in the semester students must decide whether or not they wish to enroll in the *continuous evaluation regime*, or if they prefer to be evaluated based upon the *one exam regime*.

After September 28 2020, all students that did not register in the continuous evaluation regime, are automatically be placed under the one-exam regime.

Raquel M. Gaspar Investmen

Investments and Portfolio Management

ISEG – ULisboa 25 / 42

SG – SIMULATION GAME



Platform

>The IPM portfolio management simulation game runs for 13 weeks

From Sep 21 until Dec 27 2020

- Each group of students manages two portfolios a passively managed portfolio and an actively managed
- SG uses the **Stocktrak** platform (www.stocktrak.com).
- This is the leading provider of virtual trading applications for universities, high schools, corporations and the general public.
- Its stock market simulation is used by 1 000 professors in 30 countries and their 60 000 students each year. It is the platform used in 80% of the top U.S. Business Schools and is recommended by most textbooks.



SG – SIMULATION GAME



Platform

Raquel M. Gaspar

- It allows for real time trading in more than 50 global exchanges including NYSE, AMEX, NASDAQ, TSX, London, Euronext (Amsterdam, Brussels, Lisbon, Paris), Frankfurt, Ireland, Prague, Vienna, Zurich, Warsaw, Mexico, Brazil, Bombay, Hong Kong, KL, New Zealand, Seoul, Shanghai, Singapore, Sydney and Taiwan.
- > Each portfolio has an **initial wealth of 1 000 000 euros**.
- The performance portfolios both passively and actively managed is evaluated via Sharpe ratio. The "winner" of the IPM SG is the manager of the portfolio with the highest Sharpe ratio.
- Groups can invest in the global markets exchanges in real time, experiencing financial markets and learning by practice.

SG – SIMULATION GAME



Trading and Holding Restrictions

- 1. When investing, students cannot allocate more than 10% of the portfolio wealth in **individual assets**.
- When investing, students cannot allocate more than 50% of the portfolio wealth to Stocks, ETFs, Cypto and no more than 25% in any other class of assets – stocks, bonds, spots, funds, etc.
- 3. At the **end of the investment period**, students cannot have deposited more than 10% of the portfolio wealth.
- 4. Students can assume **shortselling** positions only up to 50% of the portfolio wealth.
- 5. No positions on **derivatives** are allowed.
- 6. **Day-trading** is allowed, but there is a maximum number of trades.

SG – SIMULATION GAME

STOCK TRAK

Passive Portfolios

- Groups must allocate their entire wealth until the end of September and then keep the same portfolio until the of the investment period. After September 30, no more trades are allowed in passive portfolios.
- 8. Maximum number of trades is 30 trades.

Active Portfolios

- 9. Over the entire investment period, **300** is the maximum allowed number of trades, and **30** is the minimum number of trades required.
- 10. In addition, a **minimum of 1 trade is required per week**, every week of the investment period.

SG – SIM	ULATION G	GAME		ST		K	TR			SC	6 – S	SIMU	LATION	GAI	ME		STOC				
Platform	Snapshot Currency Portfolio Value Portfolio Ranking Buying Power Portfolio % Return Available Cash	USD \$100,000.00 0/744 \$200,000.00 0.00% † \$100,000.00	Recent Post Post Click Here to Market ! Index SPDR	s in Class Forum By cost a message Summary Last 202.36	Date Change 2.22	Replies				Pla		er Histor	y	Expired			0 5	J.	2420	ರ್ಗಸ	
	Interest Earned on Cash Credit Balance Interest Charged on Loan Loan Balance	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	S&P500 ETF T SPDR DJ Ind Avg T Pwrsh QQQ Serl Sh	174.69 102.41	↑ 2.97 ↑ 1.49	 ↑ 1.73% ↑ 1.48% 					Listed	below are your	recent orders. To view	v more, edit D	ate Range.	Date Ran	ge <mark>12/1/2014</mark>	1/29/201	5	GO	
	Accrued Interest Earned on Bonds Market Value of Long Positions Margin Requirement Market Value of Short Positions Trades Made/Remaining	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	SPDR Gold Truat S IShs Silver Tr Sh ISh MSCI EAFE Shs	120.84 16.24 62.16	↓ -2.58 ↓99 ↑ .80	 ↓ -2.09% ↓ -5.75% ↑ 1.30% 					Cancel	1/29/2015 9:19 PM	MARKET - BUY	KO	Quantity 10	Order Price	EQUITIES	USD	AC50BF	OPEN	
	My Watchlist	Add Symbol Remove All	Partn	rak – Pie Cl	T .09	T -59%						1/20/2015 12:00 AM	MARKET - SHORT	ATT	-296	MKT	33.87 EQUITIES	USD	BCE11F	FILLED 1/20/2015 12:00 AM	
	your favorite stocks		Asset Clas	Industry Syl	mbols Mari	ket Cap						1/16/2015 12:41 PM	MARKET - BUY	CSC/F6	10	MKT	1.72 EQUITES	USD	036585	1/16/2015 12:41 PM	
												7:25 PM	Mental - DOT	000/10	1	WIXI	1.72 10101023	050	000102	1/13/2015	

SG – SIMULATION GAME

			Description			Price Paid			Profit/Loss	Mkt Value	%
										(035)	-
Sell	600010		I/MONGOLIA B ST	1	CNY	2.72	5.05	0.02 🕇	0.37 🕇	0.81	85,66%
Sell	EAT	2	Brinker Interna	1	USD	49.89	59.95	-0.58 🖊	10.06 🕇	59.95	20.16%
Sell	AUPH	2	Aurinia Pharmac	1	USD	3.00	3.42	0.03 🕇	0.42 🕇	3.42	14.00%
Cover	IBM	2	International B	-10	USD	169.00	155.48	3.93 🕇	135.20 🕇	1,554.80	8.00%
Sell	UBI		UBI BANCA	5	EUR	5,81	6.19	0.01 🕇	2.15 🕇	35.03	6.55%
Sell	BRK-B	2	BERKSHIRE HATH	A 1	USD	138.13	146.29	1.51 🕇	8.16 🕇	146.29	5.91%
Sell	INTC	2	Intel Corporati	100	USD	33.00	34.21	0.44 🕇	121.00 🕇	3,421.00	3.67%
Cover	ATT	2	AT&T Inc.	-296	USD	33.87	33.87	0.00 🕇	0.00 🕇	10,025.52	0.00%
Sell	GARS	2	GLOBAL AERIAL S	23	USD	14.78	14.25	0.05 🕇	-12.19 🖶	327.75	-3.59%
Sell	VIA	2	Viacom Inc.	1	USD	74.09	67.85	1.02 🕇	-6.24 🔶	67.85	-8.42%
Sell	BLCM	2	Bellicum Pharma	10	USD	27.72	25.19	0.29 🕇	-25.30 🖶	251.90	-9.13%
Sell	GOOG	2	Google Inc.	11	USD	577.76	510.66	0.66 🕇	-738.06 🖊	5,617.26	-11.61%
Cover	AAPL	2	Apple Inc.	-1	USD	99.15	118.90	3.59 🕇	-19.75 🖊	118.90	-19.92%
							Total Mar	ket Value:	:	21,630.48	

STOCK|TRAK

SG – SIMULATION GAME

STOCK|TRAK

SG grades

The SG grades are as follow.

 Groups w/ highest Sharpe Ratio: 	20/20 points
 Group w/ 2nd best Sharpe Ratio: 	18/20 points
 Groups w/ 3rd best Sharpe Ratio: 	16/20 points
 Groups w/ Sharpe Ratios above the reference portfolio: 	12/20 points
 Groups w/ Sharpe Ratios below the reference portfolio: 	8/20 points

Reference portfolio is the "average" passive portfolio.

(I.e., its mimics the performance of a fund of funds that invest equally in all passively managed portfolios.)

Raquel M. Gaspar

Investments and Portfolio Management

ISEG – ULisboa 34 / 42

EA – EMPIRICAL ASSIGNMENT

Consultancy Task

- > The IPM empirical assignment (EA) simulates a consultancy report following the rules of an Investment Policy Statement (IPS).
- >Each year a different client individual or institutional investor contacts IPM groups and asks for financial advice.
- >Students put their investment and portfolio management knowledge to the test. Advising case study clients on a variety of investment topics, recommending strategies for (and changes in) portfolios based on challenges and issues raised by their clients.
- > All groups compete with one another. At the end the client chooses his/her preferred IPS. ISEG – ULisboa 35/42

EA – EMPIRICAL ASSIGNMENT

Grading

- Without knowing the client's choice, the technical quality of all IPS is graded (up to 20 points).
- >In additional to the technical grade, the client's chosen IPS receives extra 2 points.

OBS! In the more than 14 years experience with this kind of empirical assignments the client never chose the report with the highest technical grade. => Important lesson – one needs to know how to do it well, but also how to sell it.

Raquel M. Gaspar

Investments and Portfolio Management

Raquel M. Gaspar

Investments and Portfolio Management

QQ – CFA-STYLE ONLINE QUIZES FE – FINAL EXAMS Logic and Grading Structure >Quizzes are made of multiple choice/ fill in the blanks CFA-style questions Questions at the exam can be of five natures. > QQ help students understand if they are keeping up with the material or not. >All quizzes take place online, at **ISEG Aquila platform**, on Fridays during some • Quiz Questions: multiple choice questions of the course Tutorials. Results and doubts are clarified immediately after • Direct Theoretical Questions: essay questions each Quiz. • Theoretical Comments: students should evaluate if statement is Part I true or false and fundament their answers. Part II • Problems: Analytical questions addressing multiple syllabus topics Part III Part IV + Part V > The final grade at the CFA-style online Quiz Questions(QQ) is the average of the best three (out of four) quizzes. Raquel M. Gaspar Investments and Portfolio Management ISEG – ULisboa 37 / 42 Investments and Portfolio Management ISEG – ULisboa 38 / 42 Raquel M. Gaspar CFA Institute SCHOOL OF **FE – FINAL EXAMS First Seating versus Second Seating Exams** 6. >The structure of the two IPM final exams (FE) is similar, except that the first 160h WORKLOAD exam is shorter as it has no multiple choice section and has one less theoretical question (essay or theoretical comment). >Both exams take 2.5h, so in the first season exam students are less time constrained. >The first exam has no multiple choice questions (because students have CFAstyle online guizzes). It does not address IPS related topics (because students solve the EA) nor topics related to trading (because students participate in the SG). >The second season exam has one extra section with multiple choice questions

Raquel M. Gaspar

ISEG – ULisboa 39 / 42



WORKLOAD

ECTS

- >The European Credits Transfer Systems (ECTS) was developed as a means of facilitating the academic recognition of periods of study abroad.
- >It is seen as an element of integration of Europe for higher education.
- >The system confers transparency on academic recognition processes.
- >ECTS affect a number between 1 and 60 to each curricular unit (UC). This number measures the total volume of work each UC requires to be successfully completed.
- > Total volume of work include: Lectures, tutorials, seminars, individual work, groups work, examinations and any other form of evaluation.
- >Under ECTS, 60 credits represent one full-time academic year of studies, 30 credits one semester and 20 credits to one quarter.
- \rightarrow IPM has 6 ECTS = > **160h** of workload.

Raquel M. Gaspar	Investments and Portfolio Management	ISEG – ULisboa	41/42

