

Models for Nonnegative Outcomes

Continuous Outcomes and Count Data

Log-Linear and Exponential Regression Models

Poisson and Negative Binomial Models

Panel Data Models

Models for Nonnegative Outcomes

Continuous Outcomes and Count Data

- Nonnegative outcomes can be:
 - Continuous: $Y \in [0, +\infty[$
 - Examples: prices, wages,...
 - Discrete (counts): $Y \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
 - Examples: patents applied for by a firm in a year, times someone is arrested in a year,...
- Linear regression models are not the most suitable option because:
 - May generate negative predictions for the dependent variable
 - At least close to the lower bound of Y , it does not make sense to assume constant partial effects

Models for Nonnegative Outcomes

Log-Linear and Exponential Regression Models

Log-linear regression model:

$$\ln(Y_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \cdots + \beta_k x_{ki} + u_i$$

- Assumption: $E(u_i|x) = 0$
- With this transformation, the dependent variable becomes unbounded: $Y \in]0, +\infty[\Rightarrow \ln(Y) \in]-\infty, +\infty[$
- However, two new problems arise:
 - The log-linear model is not defined for $Y = 0$; adding a small constant value to Y or dropping zeros are not in general good solutions
 - Prediction is more interesting in the original scale, \hat{Y}_i , and not in the logarithmic scale, $\widehat{\ln(Y_i)}$; the log-linear model gives the latter directly but retransforming it to the original scale requires additional assumptions and calculations and/or the application of relatively complex methods

Models for Nonnegative Outcomes

Log-Linear and Exponential Regression Models

Exponential regression model:

$$Y = \exp(x'\beta + u)$$
$$E(Y|X) = \exp(x'\beta)$$

- Assumption: $E(e^u|x) = 1$
- Advantages:
 - \hat{Y}_i is always nonnegative
 - Predictions are obtained directly in the original scale, without requiring any retransformations

- Partial effects:

$$\Delta X_j = 1 \Rightarrow \Delta E(Y|X) = \beta_j \exp(x'\beta)$$

- The sign of the effect is given by the sign of β_j
- β_j can be interpreted as a semi-elasticity, since:

$$100\beta_j = 100 \frac{\Delta E(Y|X)}{E(Y|X)}, \text{ i.e. } \Delta X_j = 1 \Rightarrow \% \Delta E(Y|X) = 100\beta_j\%$$

Models for Nonnegative Outcomes

Poisson and Negative Binomial Models

- Assumptions and estimation methods according to the type of nonnegative outcome:
 - Continuous response:
 - Assumption: only $E(Y|X)$; estimation: QML
 - Count data - two alternatives:
 - Assumption: only $E(Y|X)$; estimation: QML
 - Assumption: $E(Y|X)$ and $Pr(Y = j|X)$; estimation: ML
- Three main distribution functions are used as basis for QML and/or ML estimation:
 - Poisson
 - Negative Binomial 1
 - Negative Binomial 2

Models for Nonnegative Outcomes

Poisson and Negative Binomial Models

Poisson regression model:

$$Y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_i) \implies \Pr(Y_i = y | x_i) = \frac{e^{-\lambda_i} \lambda_i^y}{y!}$$

where $\lambda_i = E(Y|X) = \exp(x' \beta)$

- Estimation methods: ML (only count data) or QML, since the Poisson distribution belongs to the linear exponential family
- By definition, $E(Y|X) = \text{Var}(Y|X)$ (equidispersion), which may be a strong assumption in some empirical applications

Stata

ML: poisson $Y X_1 \dots X_k$

QML: poisson $Y X_1 \dots X_k, \text{robust}$

Models for Nonnegative Outcomes

Poisson and Negative Binomial Models

Negative binomial regression models:

- Two variants, both allowing for overdispersion ($\delta > 0$):
 - NEGBIN1: $Var(Y|X) = (1 + \delta)E(Y|X)$ - ML estimation
 - NEGBIN2: $Var(Y|X) = [1 + \delta E(Y|X)]E(Y|X)$ - it belongs to the linear exponential family, enabling estimation by both ML (only count data) and QML

Stata

NEGBIN1: nbreg $Y X_1 \dots X_k$, dispersion(constant)

NEGBIN2 (ML): nbreg $Y X_1 \dots X_k$, dispersion(mean)

NEGBIN2 (QML): nbreg $Y X_1 \dots X_k$, dispersion(mean) robust

- Overdispersion test:

$H_0: \delta = 0$ (Poisson model)

$H_1: \delta \neq 0$ (Negative Binomial 1 or 2 model)

Models for Nonnegative Outcomes

Panel Data Models

Base model:

- Continuous / count data:

$$E(Y_{it}|x_{it}, \alpha_i) = \exp(\gamma_i + x'_{it}\beta) = \alpha_i \exp(x'_{it}\beta)$$

- Count data:

$$Pr(Y_{it} = y|x_{it}, \alpha_i) = \frac{e^{-\lambda_{it}} \lambda_{it}^y}{y!}$$

$$\lambda_i = E(Y_{it}|x_{it}, \alpha_i) = \alpha_i \exp(x'_{it}\beta)$$

Pooled estimator:

- Based on the cross-sectional assumption $E(Y_{it}|x_{it}) = \exp(x'_{it}\beta)$
- Produces consistent estimators only if $E(\alpha_i|x_{it}) = 1$
- Does not require the Poisson distributional assumption
- Using a robust vce controls for both overdispersion and time dependence

Stata

```
poisson  $Y X_1 \dots X_k$ , vce(cluster clustvar)
```


Models for Nonnegative Outcomes

Panel Data Models

Random Effects Poisson Estimator:

- Assumptions:
 - $Y_{it} \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_{it})$
 - $\lambda_i = E(Y_{it}|x_{it}, \alpha_i) = \alpha_i \exp(x'_{it}\beta)$
 - $\log(\alpha_i) = \gamma_i \sim \text{Gamma}(1, \eta)$
- Resulting model:
 - NEGBIN2-type model
 - Estimation method: ML
 - $E(Y_{it}|x_{it}) = \exp(x'_{it}\beta)$, which implies that the Pooled estimator is consistent under random effects of this type
- Alternative model: assumes $\log(\alpha_i) = \gamma_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ and produces $(Y_{it}|x_{it}) = \exp(x'_{it}\beta)$ but has no close form solution

Stata
xtpoisson $Y X_1 \dots X_k, re$

Models for Nonnegative Outcomes

Panel Data Models

Fixed Effects Estimators:

- Fixed effects Poisson estimator (three equivalent versions):
 - Pooled estimator with individual effects
 - Estimator conditional on $\sum_{t=1}^T Y_{it}$, with $\sum_{t=1}^T Y_{it} \neq 0$
 - Quasi mean-differenced GMM estimator (Hausman, Hall and Griliches, 1984)
- Quasi-differences GMM estimator:
 - Chamberlain (1992)
 - Wooldridge (1997)

Do not require the Poisson distributional assumption

Fixed effects Poisson estimator:

- May be derived using the three equivalent versions
- Pooled estimator with individual effects:
 - Adds individual dummies, associated to the γ_i 's
 - As in linear models, β is consistently estimated even in short panels (no incidental parameters problem)
- The quasi mean-differenced GMM estimator is based on the following moment condition:

$$E \left(Y_{it} - \frac{\lambda_{it}}{\bar{\lambda}_i} \bar{Y}_i \mid x_{it} \right) = 0,$$

where $\lambda_{it} = \exp(x'_{it}\beta)$ and $\bar{\lambda}_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \lambda_{it}$

- Requires strictly exogenous explanatory variables

Stata
xtpoisson $Y X_1 \dots X_k, fe$

Models for Nonnegative Outcomes

Panel Data Models

Quasi-differences GMM estimator :

- Chamberlain (1992):

$$E \left(\frac{\lambda_{i,t-1}}{\lambda_{i,t}} Y_{it} - Y_{i,t-1} \middle| x_{it} \right) = 0$$

- Wooldridge (1997):

$$E \left(\frac{Y_{it}}{\lambda_{it}} - \frac{Y_{i,t-1}}{\lambda_{i,t-1}} \middle| x_{it} \right) = 0$$

- In both cases the explanatory variables do not need to be strictly exogenous, so these estimators are particularly useful in dynamic models