

UNIVERSITY OF LISBON

ISEG- LISBON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS AND MANAGEMENT

JANUARY 2018

ADVANCED ECONOMETRICS

Module Convenors:
Isabel Proença
Paulo M.D.C. Parente

Duration: TWO HOURS

Use a separate answer booklet of ISEG (folha de teste do ISEG) for each section

This is a closed note paper.

Material in the annex: Statistical Tables

Instructions (please read before starting): Write in a clear legible manner in ink/ballpoint. Do not use pencils or erasable pens. Calculators are permitted. Whenever conducting a test use a 5% significance level unless stated otherwise. Also be sure to state null and alternative hypotheses, null distribution (with degrees of freedom), rejection criterion (critical values and rejection region) and outcome. If you are asked to derive something, give all intermediate steps also. Do not answer questions with a “yes” or “no” only, but carefully justify your answer.

Section A

1. Consider the latent variable $y^* = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(x_1) + \beta_2 x_2 + u$ where β_j with $j = 0, 1, 2$ are unknown coefficients, x_j with $j = 1, 2$ are explanatory variables and u is a continuously normally distributed variable, independent of $x_j, : j = 1, 2$ with $E(u|x_1, x_2) = 0$ and $Var(u|x_1, x_2) = \sigma^2$. Suppose a binary variable y verifying, $y = 1[y^* > 0]$.
 - (a) (1 Mark) Deduce $P(y = 1|x_1, x_2)$.
 - (b) (1 Mark) Deduce the partial effect of x_1 on the $P(y = 1|x_1, x_2)$.
 - (c) (1 Mark) Comment on the use of the estimates obtained with the STATA command "probit y x1 x2" to estimate the partial effect deduced in (b).
2. Consider the variable y which is equal to: 1 if an individual has not subscribed an internet service at home, 2 if she or he has subscribed the service in firm A and 3 if she or he has subscribed the service in firm B.
 - (a) (1 Mark) Identify and write the model estimated with the command in line 2 in the Annex, characterizing the nature of variable y .
 - (b) (1 Mark) Knowing that x_3 is a dummy variable equal to 1 if the individual is a female, interpret the results obtained with the command in line 3 and write the expression used to obtain it.
 - (c) (1.5 Mark) Deduce $P(Y = 2|x_1, x_2, x_3)/P(Y = 1|x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and interpret.

Section B - Topics in time series

1. Consider the linear process $X_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \psi_i \varepsilon_{t-i}$, where ε_t is a white noise process with mean zero and variance σ_ε^2 and assume that $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j| < \infty$.
 - (a) (1 Mark) Show that $E[X_t] = 0$ and $Var(X_t) = \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j^2$.
 - (b) (2 Marks) Show that the Autocorrelation function is $\rho_j = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \psi_i \psi_{i+j} / \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j^2$, $j > 0$.
 - (c) (1.5 Mark) Suppose that $\psi_0 = 1$ and $\psi_i = \phi_1^{i-1}(\phi_1 + \theta_1)$, $i \geq 1$. Use the result obtained in (b) to show that

$$\rho_j = \phi_1^{j-1}(\phi_1 + \theta_1) \frac{1 + \phi_1 \theta_1}{1 + \theta_1^2 + 2\phi_1 \theta_1}$$

2. Consider the VAR(1) model $z_t = \Phi_1 z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$ where $z_t = (z_{1t}, z_{2t})'$ and ε_t is a 2×1 multivariate white noise process with positive definite variance-covariance matrix

$$var(\varepsilon_t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let

$$\Phi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0.2 \\ -0.2 & 0.8 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Show that $z_{1,t}$ follows a ARMA(2,1) process by proving that:

- (a) (2.5 Marks) $z_{1,t} = 1.2z_{1,t-1} - 0.36z_{1,t-2} + 0.2\varepsilon_{2,t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t} - 0.8\varepsilon_{1t-1}$.
 (b) (2 Marks) $u_t = 0.2\varepsilon_{2,t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t} - 0.8\varepsilon_{1t-1}$ follows a MA(1) process.

3. In this question we investigate whether there is a long run relationship between stock prices and real economic activity. Let $lsp500$ be the natural logarithm of S&P 500 index, lip be the natural logarithm of index of industrial production and consider the sample from January, 1947 to June, 1993 (558 observations.)

- (a) (1.5 Marks) Consider the information provided in the following table on the ADF and Phillips-Perron tests (intercept, time trend).

	ADF		PHILLIPS-PERRON
	TEST STATISTIC	LAG-LENGTH	TEST STATISTIC
$lsp500$	-1.974	2	-1.92867
lip	-2.27162	2	-2.08367

Are the variables $lsp500$ and lip stationary?

- (b) (1 Marks) The results of the ADF regression of the residuals of the regression of $lsp500$ on lip is -1.57262 (lag-length 2 lags, intercept, no time trend). Are $lsp500$ and lip cointegrated?
 (c) (1 Marks) Consider the results of the Johansen cointegration test with $lsp500$ and lip (with lag length 3)

Johansen tests of $H_0 : \text{rank} = r$ vs. $H_1 : \text{rank} > r$
 (No deterministic trend)

r	Trace statistic	5% Critical Value	1% Critical Value
0	24.1486	19.96	24.60
1	3.09108	9.24	12.97

Does it appear that $lsp500$ and lip have a long-run relationship according to the Johansen cointegration test at 5% level? How about at 1% level? Justify your answer.

- (d) (1 Marks) Assume that $lsp500$ and lip are cointegrated and consider the fol-

lowing output:

Dependent Variable is *lsp500*

558 observations used for estimation.

Estimation Method: Saikkonen-Stock-Watson Efficient Least Squares

	Estimate	Std. Err.	t Ratio	p-Value
Intercept	-2.51695	0.09652	-26.077	0
<i>lip</i>	1.71547	0.02334	73.499	0

Lead/lag length of 8 selected by Schwarz criterion.

Is $lsp500 - 2 \times lip$ stationary?

[END OF PAPER]

ANNEX

1. tab y

y	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
1	826	43.94	43.94
2	502	26.70	70.64
3	552	29.36	100.00
Total	1,880	100.00	

2. mlogit y x1 x2 i.x3, baseoutcome(1)

Log likelihood = -1629.0779

 Number of obs = 1,880
 LR chi2(6) = 779.14
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
 Pseudo R2 = 0.1930

	y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
1		(base outcome)					
2							
	x1	-.4700637	.0451184	-10.42	0.000	-.5584942	-.3816332
	x2	2.360152	.2197886	10.74	0.000	1.929375	2.79093
	1.x3	.8990353	.1263129	7.12	0.000	.6514665	1.146604
	_cons	4.049434	.5108222	7.93	0.000	3.048241	5.050627
3							
	x1	-.0972707	.0475933	-2.04	0.041	-.1905519	-.0039895
	x2	3.185773	.2156872	14.77	0.000	2.763034	3.608512
	1.x3	.0201598	.1383609	0.15	0.884	-.2510225	.2913421
	_cons	-.0458046	.558607	-0.08	0.935	-1.140654	1.049045

3. margins, dydx(x3) predict(outcome(2))

Average marginal effects
 Number of obs = 1,880
 Model VCE : OIM

Expression : Pr(status==2), predict(outcome(2))
 dy/dx w.r.t. : educ exper 1.black

		Delta-method				
	dy/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
1.x3	.1633938	.021143	7.73	0.000	.1219543	.2048332

Statistical Tables

TABLE G.1

Cumulative Areas under the Standard Normal Distribution

z	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
-3.0	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	0.0012	0.0012	0.0011	0.0011	0.0011	0.0010	0.0010
-2.9	0.0019	0.0018	0.0018	0.0017	0.0016	0.0016	0.0015	0.0015	0.0014	0.0014
-2.8	0.0026	0.0025	0.0024	0.0023	0.0023	0.0022	0.0021	0.0021	0.0020	0.0019
-2.7	0.0035	0.0034	0.0033	0.0032	0.0031	0.0030	0.0029	0.0028	0.0027	0.0026
-2.6	0.0047	0.0045	0.0044	0.0043	0.0041	0.0040	0.0039	0.0038	0.0037	0.0036
-2.5	0.0062	0.0060	0.0059	0.0057	0.0055	0.0054	0.0052	0.0051	0.0049	0.0048
-2.4	0.0082	0.0080	0.0078	0.0075	0.0073	0.0071	0.0069	0.0068	0.0066	0.0064
-2.3	0.0107	0.0104	0.0102	0.0099	0.0096	0.0094	0.0091	0.0089	0.0087	0.0084
-2.2	0.0139	0.0136	0.0132	0.0129	0.0125	0.0122	0.0119	0.0116	0.0113	0.0110
-2.1	0.0179	0.0174	0.0170	0.0166	0.0162	0.0158	0.0154	0.0150	0.0146	0.0143
-2.0	0.0228	0.0222	0.0217	0.0212	0.0207	0.0202	0.0197	0.0192	0.0188	0.0183
-1.9	0.0287	0.0281	0.0274	0.0268	0.0262	0.0256	0.0250	0.0244	0.0239	0.0233
-1.8	0.0359	0.0351	0.0344	0.0336	0.0329	0.0322	0.0314	0.0307	0.0301	0.0294
-1.7	0.0446	0.0436	0.0427	0.0418	0.0409	0.0401	0.0392	0.0384	0.0375	0.0367
-1.6	0.0548	0.0537	0.0526	0.0516	0.0505	0.0495	0.0485	0.0475	0.0465	0.0455
-1.5	0.0668	0.0655	0.0643	0.0630	0.0618	0.0606	0.0594	0.0582	0.0571	0.0559
-1.4	0.0808	0.0793	0.0778	0.0764	0.0749	0.0735	0.0721	0.0708	0.0694	0.0681
-1.3	0.0968	0.0951	0.0934	0.0918	0.0901	0.0885	0.0869	0.0853	0.0838	0.0823
-1.2	0.1151	0.1131	0.1112	0.1093	0.1075	0.1056	0.1038	0.1020	0.1003	0.0985
-1.1	0.1357	0.1335	0.1314	0.1292	0.1271	0.1251	0.1230	0.1210	0.1190	0.1170
-1.0	0.1587	0.1562	0.1539	0.1515	0.1492	0.1469	0.1446	0.1423	0.1401	0.1379
-0.9	0.1841	0.1814	0.1788	0.1762	0.1736	0.1711	0.1685	0.1660	0.1635	0.1611
-0.8	0.2119	0.2090	0.2061	0.2033	0.2005	0.1977	0.1949	0.1922	0.1894	0.1867
-0.7	0.2420	0.2389	0.2358	0.2327	0.2296	0.2266	0.2236	0.2206	0.2177	0.2148
-0.6	0.2743	0.2709	0.2676	0.2643	0.2611	0.2578	0.2546	0.2514	0.2483	0.2451
-0.5	0.3085	0.3050	0.3015	0.2981	0.2946	0.2912	0.2877	0.2843	0.2810	0.2776
-0.4	0.3446	0.3409	0.3372	0.3336	0.3300	0.3264	0.3228	0.3192	0.3156	0.3121
-0.3	0.3821	0.3783	0.3745	0.3707	0.3669	0.3632	0.3594	0.3557	0.3520	0.3483

(continued)

TABLE G.2

Critical Values of the t Distribution

	Significance Level									
	1-Tailed:		.05		.025		.01		.005	
2-Tailed:	.10	.20	.10	.20	.05	.10	.05	.10	.05	.10
1	3.078	6.314	12.706	31.821	6.314	12.706	31.821	6.314	12.706	31.821
2	1.886	2.920	4.303	6.965	2.920	4.303	6.965	2.920	4.303	6.965
3	1.638	2.353	3.182	4.541	2.353	3.182	4.541	2.353	3.182	4.541
4	1.533	2.132	2.776	3.747	2.132	2.776	3.747	2.132	2.776	3.747
5	1.476	2.015	2.571	3.365	2.015	2.571	3.365	2.015	2.571	3.365
6	1.440	1.943	2.447	3.143	1.943	2.447	3.143	1.943	2.447	3.143
7	1.415	1.895	2.365	2.998	1.895	2.365	2.998	1.895	2.365	2.998
8	1.397	1.860	2.306	2.896	1.860	2.306	2.896	1.860	2.306	2.896
9	1.383	1.833	2.262	2.821	1.833	2.262	2.821	1.833	2.262	2.821
10	1.372	1.812	2.228	2.764	1.812	2.228	2.764	1.812	2.228	2.764
11	1.363	1.796	2.201	2.718	1.796	2.201	2.718	1.796	2.201	2.718
12	1.356	1.782	2.179	2.681	1.782	2.179	2.681	1.782	2.179	2.681
13	1.350	1.771	2.160	2.650	1.771	2.160	2.650	1.771	2.160	2.650
14	1.345	1.761	2.145	2.624	1.761	2.145	2.624	1.761	2.145	2.624
15	1.341	1.753	2.131	2.602	1.753	2.131	2.602	1.753	2.131	2.602
16	1.337	1.746	2.120	2.583	1.746	2.120	2.583	1.746	2.120	2.583
17	1.333	1.740	2.110	2.567	1.740	2.110	2.567	1.740	2.110	2.567
18	1.330	1.734	2.101	2.552	1.734	2.101	2.552	1.734	2.101	2.552
19	1.328	1.729	2.093	2.539	1.729	2.093	2.539	1.729	2.093	2.539
20	1.325	1.725	2.086	2.528	1.725	2.086	2.528	1.725	2.086	2.528
21	1.323	1.721	2.080	2.518	1.721	2.080	2.518	1.721	2.080	2.518
22	1.321	1.717	2.074	2.508	1.717	2.074	2.508	1.717	2.074	2.508
23	1.319	1.714	2.069	2.500	1.714	2.069	2.500	1.714	2.069	2.500
24	1.318	1.711	2.064	2.492	1.711	2.064	2.492	1.711	2.064	2.492
25	1.316	1.708	2.060	2.485	1.708	2.060	2.485	1.708	2.060	2.485
26	1.315	1.706	2.056	2.479	1.706	2.056	2.479	1.706	2.056	2.479
27	1.314	1.703	2.052	2.473	1.703	2.052	2.473	1.703	2.052	2.473
28	1.313	1.701	2.048	2.467	1.701	2.048	2.467	1.701	2.048	2.467
29	1.311	1.699	2.045	2.462	1.699	2.045	2.462	1.699	2.045	2.462
30	1.310	1.697	2.042	2.457	1.697	2.042	2.457	1.697	2.042	2.457
40	1.303	1.684	2.021	2.423	1.684	2.021	2.423	1.684	2.021	2.423
60	1.296	1.671	2.000	2.390	1.671	2.000	2.390	1.671	2.000	2.390
90	1.291	1.662	1.987	2.368	1.662	1.987	2.368	1.662	1.987	2.368
120	1.289	1.658	1.980	2.358	1.658	1.980	2.358	1.658	1.980	2.358
∞	1.282	1.645	1.960	2.326	1.645	1.960	2.326	1.645	1.960	2.326

D e g r e e s o f F r e e d o m

Examples: The 1% critical value for a one-tailed test with 25 df is 2.485. The 5% critical value for a two-tailed test with large (> 120) df is 1.96.
Source: This table was generated using the Stata® function invttail.

TABLE G.1 (Continued)

z	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
-0.2	0.4207	0.4168	0.4129	0.4090	0.4052	0.4013	0.3974	0.3936	0.3897	0.3859
-0.1	0.4602	0.4562	0.4522	0.4483	0.4443	0.4404	0.4364	0.4325	0.4286	0.4247
-0.0	0.5000	0.4960	0.4920	0.4880	0.4840	0.4801	0.4761	0.4721	0.4681	0.4641
0.0	0.5000	0.5040	0.5080	0.5120	0.5160	0.5199	0.5239	0.5279	0.5319	0.5359
0.1	0.5398	0.5438	0.5478	0.5517	0.5557	0.5596	0.5636	0.5675	0.5714	0.5753
0.2	0.5793	0.5832	0.5871	0.5910	0.5948	0.5987	0.6026	0.6064	0.6103	0.6141
0.3	0.6179	0.6217	0.6255	0.6293	0.6331	0.6368	0.6406	0.6443	0.6480	0.6517
0.4	0.6554	0.6591	0.6628	0.6664	0.6700	0.6736	0.6772	0.6808	0.6844	0.6879
0.5	0.6915	0.6950	0.6985	0.7019	0.7054	0.7088	0.7123	0.7157	0.7190	0.7224
0.6	0.7257	0.7291	0.7324	0.7357	0.7389	0.7422	0.7454	0.7486	0.7517	0.7549
0.7	0.7580	0.7611	0.7642	0.7673	0.7704	0.7734	0.7764	0.7794	0.7823	0.7852
0.8	0.7881	0.7910	0.7939	0.7967	0.7995	0.8023	0.8051	0.8078	0.8106	0.8133
0.9	0.8159	0.8186	0.8212	0.8238	0.8264	0.8289	0.8315	0.8340	0.8365	0.8389
1.0	0.8413	0.8438	0.8461	0.8485	0.8508	0.8531	0.8554	0.8577	0.8599	0.8621
1.1	0.8643	0.8665	0.8686	0.8708	0.8729	0.8749	0.8770	0.8790	0.8810	0.8830
1.2	0.8849	0.8869	0.8888	0.8907	0.8925	0.8944	0.8962	0.8980	0.8997	0.9015
1.3	0.9032	0.9049	0.9066	0.9082	0.9099	0.9115	0.9131	0.9147	0.9162	0.9177
1.4	0.9192	0.9207	0.9222	0.9236	0.9251	0.9265	0.9279	0.9292	0.9306	0.9319
1.5	0.9332	0.9345	0.9357	0.9370	0.9382	0.9394	0.9406	0.9418	0.9429	0.9441
1.6	0.9452	0.9463	0.9474	0.9484	0.9495	0.9505	0.9515	0.9525	0.9535	0.9545
1.7	0.9554	0.9564	0.9573	0.9582	0.9591	0.9599	0.9608	0.9616	0.9625	0.9633
1.8	0.9641	0.9649	0.9656	0.9664	0.9671	0.9678	0.9686	0.9693	0.9699	0.9706
1.9	0.9713	0.9719	0.9726	0.9732	0.9738	0.9744	0.9750	0.9756	0.9761	0.9767
2.0	0.9772	0.9778	0.9783	0.9788	0.9793	0.9798	0.9803	0.9808	0.9812	0.9817
2.1	0.9821	0.9826	0.9830	0.9834	0.9838	0.9842	0.9846	0.9850	0.9854	0.9857
2.2	0.9861	0.9864	0.9868	0.9871	0.9875	0.9878	0.9881	0.9884	0.9887	0.9890
2.3	0.9893	0.9896	0.9898	0.9901	0.9904	0.9906	0.9909	0.9911	0.9913	0.9916
2.4	0.9918	0.9920	0.9922	0.9925	0.9927	0.9929	0.9931	0.9932	0.9934	0.9936
2.5	0.9938	0.9940	0.9941	0.9943	0.9945	0.9946	0.9948	0.9949	0.9951	0.9952
2.6	0.9953	0.9955	0.9956	0.9957	0.9959	0.9960	0.9961	0.9962	0.9963	0.9964
2.7	0.9965	0.9966	0.9967	0.9968	0.9969	0.9970	0.9971	0.9972	0.9973	0.9974
2.8	0.9974	0.9975	0.9976	0.9977	0.9977	0.9978	0.9979	0.9979	0.9980	0.9981
2.9	0.9981	0.9982	0.9982	0.9983	0.9984	0.9984	0.9985	0.9985	0.9986	0.9986
3.0	0.9987	0.9987	0.9987	0.9988	0.9988	0.9988	0.9989	0.9989	0.9990	0.9990

Examples: If $Z \sim \text{Normal}(0,1)$, then $P(Z \leq -1.32) = .0934$ and $P(Z \leq 1.84) = .9671$.
Source: This table was generated using the Stata® function jormprob.

TABLE G.35

5% Critical Values of the F Distribution

		Numerator Degrees of Freedom										
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
D e n o m i n a t o r	10	4.96	4.10	3.71	3.48	3.33	3.22	3.14	3.07	3.02	2.98	
	11	4.84	3.98	3.59	3.36	3.20	3.09	3.01	2.95	2.90	2.85	
	12	4.75	3.89	3.49	3.26	3.11	3.00	2.91	2.85	2.80	2.75	
	13	4.67	3.81	3.41	3.18	3.03	2.92	2.83	2.77	2.71	2.67	
	14	4.60	3.74	3.34	3.11	2.96	2.85	2.76	2.70	2.65	2.60	
	15	4.54	3.68	3.29	3.06	2.90	2.79	2.71	2.64	2.59	2.54	
	16	4.49	3.63	3.24	3.01	2.85	2.74	2.66	2.59	2.54	2.49	
	17	4.45	3.59	3.20	2.96	2.81	2.70	2.61	2.55	2.49	2.45	
	18	4.41	3.55	3.16	2.93	2.77	2.66	2.58	2.51	2.46	2.41	
	19	4.38	3.52	3.13	2.90	2.74	2.63	2.54	2.48	2.42	2.38	
	D e g r e e s o f F r e e d o m	20	4.35	3.49	3.10	2.87	2.71	2.60	2.51	2.45	2.39	2.35
		21	4.32	3.47	3.07	2.84	2.68	2.57	2.49	2.42	2.37	2.32
		22	4.30	3.44	3.05	2.82	2.66	2.55	2.46	2.40	2.34	2.30
		23	4.28	3.42	3.03	2.80	2.64	2.53	2.44	2.37	2.32	2.27
24		4.26	3.40	3.01	2.78	2.62	2.51	2.42	2.36	2.30	2.25	
25		4.24	3.39	2.99	2.76	2.60	2.49	2.40	2.34	2.28	2.24	
26		4.23	3.37	2.98	2.74	2.59	2.47	2.39	2.32	2.27	2.22	
27		4.21	3.35	2.96	2.73	2.57	2.46	2.37	2.31	2.25	2.20	
28		4.20	3.34	2.95	2.71	2.56	2.45	2.36	2.29	2.24	2.19	
29		4.18	3.33	2.93	2.70	2.55	2.43	2.35	2.28	2.22	2.18	
30		4.17	3.32	2.92	2.69	2.53	2.42	2.33	2.27	2.21	2.16	
40		4.08	3.23	2.84	2.61	2.45	2.34	2.25	2.18	2.12	2.08	
60		4.00	3.15	2.76	2.53	2.37	2.25	2.17	2.10	2.04	1.99	
90		3.95	3.10	2.71	2.47	2.32	2.20	2.11	2.04	1.99	1.94	
120	3.92	3.07	2.68	2.45	2.29	2.17	2.09	2.02	1.96	1.91		
∞	3.84	3.00	2.60	2.37	2.21	2.10	2.01	1.94	1.88	1.83		

Example: The 5% critical value for numerator $df = 4$ and large denominator $df(\infty)$ is 2.37.
Source: This table was generated using the Stat[®] function invFtail.

TABLE G.36

10% Critical Values of the F Distribution

		Numerator Degrees of Freedom										
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
D e n o m i n a t o r	10	3.29	2.92	2.73	2.61	2.52	2.46	2.41	2.38	2.35	2.32	
	11	3.23	2.86	2.66	2.54	2.45	2.39	2.34	2.30	2.27	2.25	
	12	3.18	2.81	2.61	2.48	2.39	2.33	2.28	2.24	2.21	2.19	
	13	3.14	2.76	2.56	2.43	2.35	2.28	2.23	2.20	2.16	2.14	
	14	3.10	2.73	2.52	2.39	2.31	2.24	2.19	2.15	2.12	2.10	
	15	3.07	2.70	2.49	2.36	2.27	2.21	2.16	2.12	2.09	2.06	
	16	3.05	2.67	2.46	2.33	2.24	2.18	2.13	2.09	2.06	2.03	
	17	3.03	2.64	2.44	2.31	2.22	2.15	2.10	2.06	2.03	2.00	
	18	3.01	2.62	2.42	2.29	2.20	2.13	2.08	2.04	2.00	1.98	
	19	2.99	2.61	2.40	2.27	2.18	2.11	2.06	2.02	1.98	1.96	
	D e g r e e s o f F r e e d o m	20	2.97	2.59	2.38	2.25	2.16	2.09	2.04	2.00	1.96	1.94
		21	2.96	2.57	2.36	2.23	2.14	2.08	2.02	1.98	1.95	1.92
		22	2.95	2.56	2.35	2.22	2.13	2.06	2.01	1.97	1.93	1.90
		23	2.94	2.55	2.34	2.21	2.11	2.05	1.99	1.95	1.92	1.89
24		2.93	2.54	2.33	2.19	2.10	2.04	1.98	1.94	1.91	1.88	
25		2.92	2.53	2.32	2.18	2.09	2.02	1.97	1.93	1.89	1.87	
26		2.91	2.52	2.31	2.17	2.08	2.01	1.96	1.92	1.88	1.86	
27		2.90	2.51	2.30	2.17	2.07	2.00	1.95	1.91	1.87	1.85	
28		2.89	2.50	2.29	2.16	2.06	2.00	1.94	1.90	1.87	1.84	
29		2.89	2.50	2.28	2.15	2.06	1.99	1.93	1.89	1.86	1.83	
30		2.88	2.49	2.28	2.14	2.05	1.98	1.93	1.88	1.85	1.82	
40		2.84	2.44	2.23	2.09	2.00	1.93	1.87	1.83	1.79	1.76	
60		2.79	2.39	2.18	2.04	1.95	1.87	1.82	1.77	1.74	1.71	
90		2.76	2.36	2.15	2.01	1.91	1.84	1.78	1.74	1.70	1.67	
120	2.75	2.35	2.13	1.99	1.90	1.82	1.77	1.72	1.68	1.65		
∞	2.71	2.30	2.08	1.94	1.85	1.77	1.72	1.67	1.63	1.60		

Example: The 10% critical value for numerator $df = 2$ and denominator $df = 40$ is 2.44.
Source: This table was generated using the Stat[®] function invFtail.

TABLE G-3C

1% Critical Values of the F Distribution

		Numerator Degrees of Freedom										
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
D e n o m i n a t o r D e g r e e s o f F r e e d o m	10	10.04	7.56	6.55	5.99	5.64	5.39	5.20	5.06	4.94	4.85	
	11	9.65	7.21	6.22	5.67	5.32	5.07	4.89	4.74	4.63	4.54	
	12	9.33	6.93	5.95	5.41	5.06	4.82	4.64	4.50	4.39	4.30	
	13	9.07	6.70	5.74	5.21	4.86	4.62	4.44	4.30	4.19	4.10	
	14	8.86	6.51	5.56	5.04	4.69	4.46	4.28	4.14	4.03	3.94	
	15	8.68	6.36	5.42	4.89	4.56	4.32	4.14	4.00	3.89	3.80	
	16	8.53	6.23	5.29	4.77	4.44	4.20	4.03	3.89	3.78	3.69	
	17	8.40	6.11	5.18	4.67	4.34	4.10	3.93	3.79	3.68	3.59	
	18	8.29	6.01	5.09	4.58	4.25	4.01	3.84	3.71	3.60	3.51	
	19	8.18	5.93	5.01	4.50	4.17	3.94	3.77	3.63	3.52	3.43	
	20	8.10	5.85	4.94	4.43	4.10	3.87	3.70	3.56	3.46	3.37	
	21	8.02	5.78	4.87	4.37	4.04	3.81	3.64	3.51	3.40	3.31	
	22	7.95	5.72	4.82	4.31	3.99	3.76	3.59	3.45	3.35	3.26	
	23	7.88	5.66	4.76	4.26	3.94	3.71	3.54	3.41	3.30	3.21	
	24	7.82	5.61	4.72	4.22	3.90	3.67	3.50	3.36	3.26	3.17	
	25	7.77	5.57	4.68	4.18	3.85	3.63	3.46	3.32	3.22	3.13	
	26	7.72	5.53	4.64	4.14	3.82	3.59	3.42	3.29	3.18	3.09	
	27	7.68	5.49	4.60	4.11	3.78	3.56	3.39	3.26	3.15	3.06	
	28	7.64	5.45	4.57	4.07	3.75	3.53	3.36	3.23	3.12	3.03	
	29	7.60	5.42	4.54	4.04	3.73	3.50	3.33	3.20	3.09	3.00	
	30	7.56	5.39	4.51	4.02	3.70	3.47	3.30	3.17	3.07	2.98	
	40	7.31	5.18	4.31	3.83	3.51	3.29	3.12	2.99	2.89	2.80	
	60	7.08	4.98	4.13	3.65	3.34	3.12	2.95	2.82	2.72	2.63	
	90	6.93	4.85	4.01	3.54	3.23	3.01	2.84	2.72	2.61	2.52	
120	6.85	4.79	3.95	3.48	3.17	2.96	2.79	2.66	2.56	2.47		
∞	6.63	4.61	3.78	3.32	3.02	2.80	2.64	2.51	2.41	2.32		

Example: The 1% critical value for numerator $df = 3$ and denominator $df = 60$ is 4.13.

Source: This table was generated using the Stata® function invFtail.

TABLE G-4

Critical Values of the Chi-Square Distribution

	Significance Level		
	.10	.05	.01
1	2.71	3.84	6.63
2	4.61	5.99	9.21
3	6.25	7.81	11.34
4	7.78	9.49	13.28
5	9.24	11.07	15.09
6	10.64	12.59	16.81
7	12.02	14.07	18.48
8	13.36	15.51	20.09
9	14.68	16.92	21.67
10	15.99	18.31	23.21
11	17.28	19.68	24.72
12	18.55	21.03	26.22
13	19.81	22.36	27.69
14	21.06	23.68	29.14
15	22.31	25.00	30.58
16	23.54	26.30	32.00
17	24.77	27.59	33.41
18	25.99	28.87	34.81
19	27.20	30.14	36.19
20	28.41	31.41	37.57
21	29.62	32.67	38.93
22	30.81	33.92	40.29
23	32.01	35.17	41.64
24	33.20	36.42	42.98
25	34.38	37.65	44.31
26	35.56	38.89	45.64
27	36.74	40.11	46.96
28	37.92	41.34	48.28
29	39.09	42.56	49.59
30	40.26	43.77	50.89

Example: The 5% critical value with $df = 8$ is 15.51.

Source: This table was generated using the Stata® function invchi2tail.

Critical Values of the Engle Granger test Statistic

Critical Values of the ADF test Statistic			Critical Values of the Engle Granger test Statistic			
Model	Significance Level (%)	Critical Values	Number of regressors	Model	Significance Level (%)	Critical Values
No constant, no Trend	1	-2.5658	1	Constant, no trend	1	-3.9001
	5	-1.9393			5	-3.3377
	10	-1.6156			10	-3.0462
Constant, no trend	1	-3.4336	1	Constant + trend	1	-4.3266
	5	-2.8621			5	-3.7809
	10	-2.5671			10	-3.4959
Constant + trend	1	-3.9638	2	Constant, no trend	1	-4.2981
	5	-3.4126			5	-3.7429
	10	-3.1279			10	-3.4518
			2	Constant + trend	1	-4.6676
					5	-4.1193
					10	-3.8344
			3	Constant, no trend	1	-4.6493
					5	-4.1
					10	-3.811
			3	Constant + trend	1	-4.9695
					5	-4.4294
					10	-4.1474
			4	Constant, no trend	1	-4.9587
					5	-4.4185
					10	-4.1327
			4	Constant + trend	1	-5.2497
					5	-4.7154
					10	-4.4345
			5	Constant, no trend	1	-5.24
					5	-4.7048
					10	-4.4242
			5	Constant +trend	1	-5.5127
					5	-4.9767
					10	-4.6999

Source: James MacKinnon (1991) "Critical Values for Cointegration Tests", in Engle, R. and Granger, C. Long-Run Economic Relationships, Oxford University Press.