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## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

Examples of test of hypothesis
Consider the model:

$$
\text { bwgth }=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \text { cigs }+\beta_{2} \text { educ }+\beta_{3} \text { npvis }+\beta_{4} \text { age }+u,
$$

where
bwgth -birth weight,
cigs -cigarettes smoked per day while pregnant,
educ -years of schooling for the mother,
npvis -total number of prenatal visits,
age -Age of the mother.

## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

$$
\text { bwgth }=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \text { cigs }+\beta_{2} \text { educ }+\beta_{3} \text { npvis }+\beta_{4} \text { age }+u,
$$

- Is the partial effect of age relevant after controlling for cigs, education and npvis?

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{0}: & \beta_{4}=0 \text { vs } H_{1}: \beta_{4} \neq 0, \\
& {[\text { Individual statistical significance] }}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Is the effect of smoking 10 cigarettes canceled by the effect of one more prenatal visit?

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{0}: \quad & 10 \beta_{1}+\beta_{3}=0 \text { vs } H_{1}: 10 \beta_{1}+\beta_{3} \neq 0, \\
& \text { [single linear combination of parameters] }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

$$
\text { bwgth }=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \text { cigs }+\beta_{2} \text { educ }+\beta_{3} \text { npvis }+\beta_{4} \text { age }+u
$$

- Are the partial effect of age, education and npvis jointly irrelevant after controlling for the number of cigarettes smoked?

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{0}: & \beta_{2}=\beta_{3}=\beta_{4}=0 \\
& \text { vs } \\
H_{1}: & \beta_{2} \neq 0 \text { and } / \text { or } \beta_{3} \neq 0 \text { and } / \text { or } \beta_{4} \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

[jointly statistical significance; Exclusion restrictions]

- Is there any variable in the equation relevant to explain the birth weight?

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{0}: & \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\beta_{3}=\beta_{4}=0 \\
& \text { vs } \\
H_{1}: & \beta_{1} \neq 0 \text { and } / \text { or } \beta_{2} \neq 0 \text { and } / \text { or } \beta_{3} \neq 0 \text { and } / \text { or } \beta_{4} \neq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

[Overall significance of the regression]

## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

Assumptions of the Classical Linear Model (CLM)

- So far, we know that given the Gauss-Markov assumptions, OLS is BLUE,
- In order to do classical hypothesis testing, we need to add another assumption (beyond the Gauss-Markov assumptions),
- Assume that $u$ is independent of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$ and $u$ is normally distributed with zero mean and variance $\sigma^{2}: u \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

- Under CLM, OLS is not only BLUE, but is the minimum variance unbiased estimator:
- BLUE means that the OLS estimator is the most efficient among the class of linear unbiased estimators.
- Under CLM the OLS estimator is the most efficient among all unbiased estimators.
- We can summarize the population assumptions of CLM as follows

$$
y \mid x \sim N\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{k} x_{k}, \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

- While for now we just assume normality, clear that sometimes not the case.
- Large samples will let us drop normality.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

The homoskedastic normal distribution with a single explanatory variable


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## Normal Sampling Distributions

Under the CLM assumption, conditional on the sample values of the independent variables for $j=0, \ldots, k$

$$
\hat{\beta}_{j} \sim N\left(\beta_{j}, \operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)\right),
$$

so that

$$
\frac{\hat{\beta}_{j}-\beta_{j}}{s d\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)} \sim N(0,1)
$$

where $\operatorname{sd}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)=\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)}$.
$\hat{\beta}_{j}$ is distributed normally because it is a linear combination of independent errors that have the normal distribution.

## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

Under the CLM assumptions

$$
\frac{\hat{\beta}_{j}-\beta_{j}}{\operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)} \sim t(n-k-1),
$$

- Note this is a $t$-student distribution because we estimate $\operatorname{sd}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)$ by the standard error of $\hat{\beta}_{j}$, se $\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)$,
- Note the degrees of freedom: $n-k-1$ (sample size-number of parameters of the model).
- In the simple regression model $k=1$.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

- Knowing the sampling distribution for the standardized estimator allows us to carry out hypothesis tests.
- Start with a null hypothesis $H_{0}: \beta_{j}=b_{j}$, where $b_{j}$ is a particular value.
- For example, $H_{0}: \beta_{j}=0$. If do not reject null, then $x_{j}$ has no effect on the conditional mean of $y$, controlling for other $x^{\prime}$ s.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

- To perform our test we first need to form the statistic : $t_{j}=\frac{\hat{\beta}_{j}-b_{j}}{s e\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)}$.
- Besides our null, $H_{0}$, we need an alternative hypothesis, $H_{1}$, and a significance level $\alpha$.

Alternatives:

- $H_{1}: \beta_{j}>b_{j}$ and $H_{1}: \beta_{j}<b_{j}$ are one-sided.
- $H_{1}: \beta_{j} \neq b_{j}$ is a two-sided alternative.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## One-Sided Alternatives (cont)

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i 1}+\ldots+\beta_{k} x_{i k}+u_{i}
$$

- $H_{0}: \beta_{j}=b_{j}$ vs $H_{1}: \beta_{j}>b_{j}$.

Critical Value: $t_{\alpha}$ is defined as the constant that satisfies $\mathcal{P}\left(t_{j}>t_{\alpha}\right)=\alpha$, where $t_{j}$ has the $t(n-k-1)$ distribution. Equivalently $\mathcal{P}\left(t_{j}<t_{\alpha}\right)=1-\alpha$. Rejection rule: Reject $H_{0}$ if the value of the t-statistic $>t_{\alpha}$.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

- Example: Consider the following regression where the standard errors are in brackets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\log (\text { wages })} & =\underset{(0.104)}{0.284}+\underset{(0.007)}{0.092} \text { educ }+\underset{(0.0017)}{0.0041 \text { exper }}+\underset{(0.003)}{0.022 \text { tenure }} \\
n & =526, R^{2}=0.316
\end{aligned}
$$

Test whether, after controlling for education and tenure, higher work experience leads to higher hourly wages. Use the 5\% and the $1 \%$ significance levels.

## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## One-Sided Alternatives (cont)

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i 1}+\ldots+\beta_{k} x_{i k}+u_{i}
$$

- $H_{0}: \beta_{j}=b_{j}$ vs $H_{1}: \beta_{j}<b_{j}$.

Critical Value: $-t_{\alpha}$ that is the constant that satisfies $\mathcal{P}\left(t_{j}<-t_{\alpha}\right)=\alpha$. where $t_{j}$ has the $t(n-k-1)$ distribution. Equivalently $\mathcal{P}\left(t_{j}>-t_{\alpha}\right)=1-\alpha$.
Rejection rule: Reject $H_{0}$ if the value of the t-statistic $<-t_{\alpha}$.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

Example: Student performance and school size

- Consider the following regression

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\text { math10 }} & =\underset{(6.113)}{2.274}+\underset{(0.0001)}{0.00046} \text { totcomp }+\underset{(0.04)}{0.048} \text { staff }-\underset{(0.00022)}{0.0002} \text { enroll, } \\
n & =408, R^{2}=0.0541
\end{aligned}
$$

where
math10 -percentage of students passing math test
totcomp -average annual teacher compensation
staff -staff per one thousand students
enroll -School enrollment=school size
Test whether smaller school size leads to better student performance at $5 \%$ level and $10 \%$ level.

## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## Two-Sided Alternatives

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i 1}+\ldots+\beta_{k} x_{i k}+u_{i}
$$

- $H_{0}: \beta_{j}=b_{j}$ vs $H_{1}: \beta_{j} \neq b_{j}$.

Critical Value: $t_{\alpha / 2}$ is defined as the constant that satisfies $\mathcal{P}\left(t_{j}>t_{\alpha / 2}\right)=\alpha / 2$, where $t_{j}$ has the $t(n-k-1)$ distribution. Rejection rule: Reject $H_{0}$ if the absolute value of the $t$-statistic $>t_{\alpha / 2}$.

Fail to reject


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## Two-Sided Alternatives

Example: Campus crime and enrollment
An interesting hypothesis is whether crime increases by one percent if enrollment is increased by one percent

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\log (\text { crime })} & =-\underset{(1.03)}{6.63}+\underset{(0.11)}{1.27} \log (\text { enroll }), \\
n & =97, R^{2}=0.0541
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimate 1.27 is different from one but is this difference statistically significant? (use the $5 \%$ significance level)?

## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## Two-Sided Alternatives

Remarks on $H_{0}: \beta_{j}=0$ vs $H_{1}: \beta_{j} \neq 0$

- The quantity $t_{j}=\frac{\hat{\beta}_{j}}{\operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)}$ is called the t -ratio.
- If we reject the null, we typically say " $x_{j}$ is statistically significant at the $\alpha$ level".
- If we fail to reject the null, we typically say " $x_{j}$ is statistically insignificant at the $\alpha$ level".
- If asked to test whether a regressor is statistical significant, the alternative is assumed to be two-sided.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## Two-Sided Alternatives

Example: Consider the following regression where the standard errors are in brackets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\log (\text { wages })} & =\underset{(0.104)}{0.284}+\underset{(0.007)}{0.092} \text { educ }+\underset{(0.0017)}{0.0041} \text { exper }+\underset{(0.003)}{0.022 \text { tenure }} \\
n & =526, R^{2}=0.316
\end{aligned}
$$

Test whether, after controlling for experience and tenure, education is statistically significant at $5 \%$ and the $1 \%$ significance levels.

## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

- The smallest significance level at which the null hypothesis is still rejected, is called the $p$-value of the hypothesis test
- A small p-value is evidence against the null hypothesis because one would reject the null hypothesis even at small significance levels
- A large p-value is evidence in favor of the null hypothesis


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

Computing p-values for t tests

- Let $t_{j}^{a c t}$ be the actual value of the t -statistic in the sample.
- If the alternative hypothesis is $H_{1}: \beta_{j}>b_{j}$,

$$
p-\text { value }=\mathcal{P}\left(t_{j}>t_{j}^{a c t}\right) .
$$

- If the alternative hypothesis is $H_{1}: \beta_{j}<b_{j}$,

$$
p-\text { value }=\mathcal{P}\left(t_{j}<t_{j}^{a c t}\right) .
$$

- If the alternative hypothesis is $H_{1}: \beta_{j} \neq b_{j}$

$$
p-\text { value }=\mathcal{P}\left(\left|t_{j}\right|>\left|t_{j}^{a c t}\right|\right) .
$$

- Rejection rule: If $p$-value $<\alpha$, we reject the null hypothesis.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

Example: We are studying the returns to education at junior colleges and four year colleges (universities) and we have the model

$$
\log (\text { wages })=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} j c+\beta_{2} u n i v+\beta_{3} \text { exper }+u
$$

where:

- $j c=$ number of years attending a two year college
- univ = number of years at a four year college
- exper $=$ months in workforce
- Data set taken from Kane and Rouse, 1995, "Labor Market Returns to Two- and Four-Year College", American Economic Review 85, 600-614. Sample size $n=6,763$.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

Running a regression of $\log$ (wages) on $j c$, univ and exper we obtain:

|  | Estimate | Std. Err. | t-Ratio | p-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intercept | 1.47233 | 0.02106 | 69.911 | 0 |
| exper | 0.00494 | 0.00016 | 30.901 | 0 |
| jc | 0.0667 | 0.00683 | 9.765 | 0 |
| univ | 0.07688 | 0.00231 | 33.28 | 0 |

$$
n=6763, R^{2}=0.2224
$$

This is the typical output of a software in a regression model. The p -value computed in this table is for the hypothesis $H_{0}: \beta_{j}=0$ vs $H_{1}: \beta_{j} \neq 0$.

## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

- Another way to use classical statistical testing is to construct a confidence interval using the same critical value as was used for a two-sided test.
- Using

$$
\frac{\hat{\beta}_{j}-\beta_{j}}{\operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)} \sim t(n-k-1),
$$

we have

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}-t_{\alpha / 2} \operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)<\beta<\hat{\beta}_{j}+t_{\alpha / 2} \operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)\right)=1-\alpha,
$$

where $t_{\alpha / 2}$ the constant that satisfies $\mathcal{P}\left(t_{j}<-t_{\alpha / 2}\right)=\alpha / 2$, where $t_{j}$ is a random variable with distribution $t(n-k-1)$.
Equivalently $\mathcal{P}\left(t_{j}>t_{\alpha / 2}\right)=\alpha / 2$.

## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

- Hence a $100(1-\alpha) \%$ confidence interval is defined as

$$
\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}-t_{\alpha / 2} \operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right), \hat{\beta}_{j}+t_{\alpha / 2} \operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)\right),
$$

- In repeated samples, the interval that is constructed in the above way will cover the population regression coefficient in $100(1-\alpha) \%$ of the cases. The interval that we compute with the actual sample is one of these intervals
- Relationship between confidence interval and hypotheses tests:
$b_{j} \notin$ conf. interval $\Rightarrow$ reject $H_{0}: \beta_{j}=b_{j}$ in favour of $H_{1}: \beta_{j} \neq b_{j}$ at $100 \alpha \%$ level.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

Confidence Intervals

Example: Running a regression of log (wages) on $j c$, univ and exper we obtain:

|  | Estimate | Std. Err. | t-Ratio | p-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intercept | 1.47233 | 0.02106 | 69.911 | 0 |
| exper | 0.00494 | 0.00016 | 30.901 | 0 |
| jc | 0.0667 | 0.00683 | 9.765 | 0 |
| univ | 0.07688 | 0.00231 | 33.28 | 0 |
| $n=6763, R^{2}=0.2224$ |  |  |  |  |

- Construct a $90 \%$ confidence interval for the coefficient of the variable exper.
- Construct a $95 \%$ confidence interval for the coefficient of the variable jc.
- Construct a $99 \%$ confidence interval for the coefficient of the variable univ.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

- Suppose instead of testing whether $\beta_{1}$ is equal to a constant, you want to test if it is equal to another parameter, that is $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}$.
- Use same basic procedure for forming a $t$ statistic

$$
t=\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1}-\hat{\beta}_{2}}{\operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}-\hat{\beta}_{2}\right)}
$$

## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## Testing Linear Combination (cont)

Notice that the standard error of $\hat{\beta}_{1}-\hat{\beta}_{2}$, $\operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}-\hat{\beta}_{2}\right)$, is an estimator of the standard deviation of $\hat{\beta}_{1}-\hat{\beta}_{2}$ :

$$
\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}-\hat{\beta}_{2}\right)}
$$

Since

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}-\hat{\beta}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{2}\right)-2 \operatorname{Cov}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}, \hat{\beta}_{2}\right)
$$

an estimator for $\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}-\hat{\beta}_{2}\right)}$ is given by

$$
s e\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}-\hat{\beta}_{2}\right)=\sqrt{\operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right)^{2}+\operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\beta}_{2}\right)^{2}-2 s_{12}},
$$

where $s_{12}$ is an estimate of $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}, \hat{\beta}_{2}\right)$.

## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## Testing a Linear Combination (cont)

In some cases you can always restate the problem to get the test you want.
Example: Consider the model on the returns to education at junior colleges and four year colleges

$$
\log (\text { wages })=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} j c+\beta_{2} u n i v+\beta_{3} \text { exper }+u,
$$

- We would like to test whether one year at a junior college is worth one year at a university, that is $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}$.
- The alternative hypothesis is that a year at junior college is worth less than a year at a university. That is $H_{1}: \beta_{1}<\beta_{2}$.
- One can test $H_{0}$ by using the approach described before.
- However there is an easier way.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## Testing a Linear Combination (cont)

Define a new parameter $\theta=\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}$. Hence the null hypothesis becomes

$$
H_{0}: \theta=0
$$

and the alternative hypothesis becomes:

$$
H_{1}: \theta<0,
$$

We can always write the model in terms of $\theta$. Under $H_{0}$, the model is equivalent to

$$
\log (\text { wages })=\beta_{0}+\theta j c+\beta_{2} \text { totcoll }+\beta_{3} \text { exper }+u,
$$

where totcoll $=j c+u n i v$.
This model is linear in the parameters so one can use the usual tests on hypothesis for single parameters described before.

## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## Testing a Linear Combination (cont)

- Running the regression of $\log$ (wages) on exper, $j c$ and totcoll we obtain:

|  | Estimate | Std.Err. | t-ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intercept | 1.47233 | 0.02106 | 69.911 |
| exper | 0.00494 | 0.00016 | 30.901 |
| jc | -0.01018 | 0.00694 | -1.467 |
| totcoll | 0.07688 | 0.00231 | 33.28 |

$n=6763, R^{2}=0.2224$
Test $H_{0}: \theta=0$ vs $H_{1}: \theta<0$ (use the $5 \%$ significance level).

## Example (cont):

- This is the same model as originally, but now you get a standard error for $\hat{\beta}_{1}-\hat{\beta}_{2}$ directly from the basic regression
- Any linear combination of parameters could be tested in a similar manner
- Other examples of hypotheses about a single linear combination of parameters: $\beta_{1}=1+\beta_{2} ; \beta_{1}=5 \beta_{2} ; \beta_{1}=-(1 / 2) \beta_{2} ;$ etc.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## Multiple Linear Restrictions

- Everything we've done so far has involved testing a single linear restriction, (e.g. $\beta_{1}=0$ or $\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}$ )
- However, we may want to jointly test multiple hypotheses about our parameters.
- A typical example is testing "exclusion restrictions" - we want to know if a group of parameters are all equal to zero.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## Testing Exclusion Restrictions

- Now the null hypothesis might be something like $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=0, \ldots, \beta_{q}=0$ in the model

$$
y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{q} x_{q}+\ldots+\beta_{k} x_{k}+u .
$$

That is, we want to test whether the parameters of the first $q$ regressors ( $x_{1}$ to $x_{q}$ ) are equal to zero.

- The alternative is just $H_{1}$ : At least one of the $\beta_{j} \neq 0, j=1, \ldots, q$.
- Can't just check each $t$ statistic separately, because we want to know if the $q$ parameters are jointly significant at a given level it is possible for none to be individually significant at that level.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## Exclusion Restrictions (cont)

- To do the test we need to estimate the "restricted model" without $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q}$ included, as well as the "unrestricted model" with all $x^{\prime}$ s included and compute

$$
F=\frac{\left(S S R_{r}-S S R_{u r}\right) / q}{S S R_{u r} /(n-k-1)},
$$

where $S S R_{r}$ is the sum of squared residuals of the restricted model and $S S R_{u r}$ is the sum of squared residuals of the unrestricted model.

- Intuitively, we want to know if the change in SSR is big enough to warrant inclusion of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q}$.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

- The $F$ statistic is always positive, since the SSR from the restricted model can't be less than the $S S R$ from the unrestricted.
- Essentially the $F$ statistic is measuring the relative increase in SSR when moving from the unrestricted to restricted model.
- $q=$ number of restrictions, or $d f_{r}-d f_{u r}$.
- $n-k-1=d f_{u r}$.
- $n-k-1+q=d f_{r}$.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

- To decide if the increase in $S S R$ when we move to a restricted model is "big enough" to reject the exclusions, we need to know about the sampling distribution of our $F$ statistic.
- $F \sim F(q, n-k-1)$, where $q$ is referred to as the numerator degrees of freedom and $n-k-1$ as the denominator degrees of freedom.
- Denote $F^{a c t}$ the actual value of the statistic in a given sample.
- The critical value is denoted as $f_{\alpha}$ and corresponds to the constant that satisfies

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(F>f_{\alpha}\right)=\alpha .
$$

## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

- Rejection rule: Reject $H_{0}$ if $F^{a c t}>f_{\alpha}$.



## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## Exclusion Restrictions (cont)

Example: Consider the following model that explains major league baseball players' salaries:
$\log ($ salary $)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}$ years $+\beta_{2}$ gamesyr $+\beta_{3}$ bavg $+\beta_{4}$ hrunsyr $+\beta_{5} r$ bisyr $+u$, where

- salary= salary of major league baseball player
- years $=$ Years in the league
- gamesyr =Average number of games per year
- bavg =Batting average
- hrunsyr =Home runs per year
- rbisyr $=$ Runs batted in per year

We would like to test $H_{0}: \beta_{3}=0, \beta_{4}=0, \beta_{5}=0$ vs $H_{1}: H_{0}$ is not true.

## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## Exclusion Restrictions (cont)

- Estimating the unrestricted model we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\log (\text { salary })=} & \underset{(0.29)}{11.19}+\underset{(0.0121)}{0.0689 \text { years }}+\underset{(0.0026)}{0.0126 \text { gamesyr }} \\
& +\underset{(0.00110)}{0.00098 \text { bavg }}+\underset{(0.0161)}{0.0144 \text { hrunsyr }}+\underset{(0.0072)}{0.0108 r b i s y r,} \\
n= & 353, S S R=183.186, R^{2}=0.6278
\end{aligned}
$$

- Estimating the restricted model we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\log (\text { salary })} & =\underset{(0.11)}{11.22}+\underset{(0.0125)}{0.0713 \text { years }}+\underset{(0.0013)}{0.0202 \text { gamesyr }} \\
n & =353, S S R=198.311, R^{2}=0.5971 .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Test $H_{0}: \beta_{3}=0, \beta_{4}=0, \beta_{5}=0$ vs $H_{1}: H_{0}$ is not true at $5 \%$ level


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

- Because the SSR's may be large and unwieldy, an alternative form of the formula is useful.
- We use the fact that $S S R=S S T\left(1-R^{2}\right)$ for any regression, so can substitute in for $S S R_{r}$ and $S S R_{u r}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{\left(R_{u r}^{2}-R_{r}^{2}\right) / q}{\left(1-R_{u r}^{2}\right) /(n-k-1)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{r}^{2}$ is the $R^{2}$ of the restricted model and $R_{u r}^{2}$ is the $R^{2}$ of the unrestricted model.

Example: For the baseball salary example, use (1) to obtain the F statistic.

## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## Overall Significance

- A special case of exclusion restrictions is to test $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\ldots=\beta_{k}=0$.
- Since the $R^{2}$ from a model with only an intercept will be zero, the F statistic is simply

$$
F=\frac{R^{2} / k}{\left(1-R^{2}\right) /(n-k-1)} .
$$

## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

- Example: Consider the estimated model

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\log (\text { salary })=} & \underset{(0.29)}{11.19}+\underset{(0.0121)}{0.0689 \text { years }}+\underset{(0.0026)}{0.0126 \text { gamesyr }} \\
& +\underset{(0.00110)}{0.00098 a v g}+\underset{(0.0161)}{0.0144 \text { hrunsyr }}+\underset{(0.0072)}{0.0108 r b i s y r}, \\
n= & 353, S S R=183.186, R^{2}=0.6278
\end{aligned}
$$

We would like to test

$$
H_{0}: \beta_{1}=0, \beta_{2}=0, \beta_{3}=0, \beta_{4}=0, \beta_{5}=0
$$

vs
$H_{1}: H_{0}$ not true
at 5\% level.

## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

- The basic form of the $F$ statistic will work for any set of linear restrictions.
- First estimate the unrestricted model obtain $S S R_{u r}$ and then estimate the restricted model and obtain $S S R_{r}$.
- The F statistic as the usual form

$$
F=\frac{\left(S S R_{r}-S S R_{u r}\right) / q}{S S R_{u r} /(n-k-1)} \sim F(q, n-k-1)
$$

where $q$ is the number of restrictions being tested.

- Imposing the restrictions can be tricky - will likely have to redefine variables again.


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## General Linear Restrictions

Example: Test whether house price assessments are rational

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log (\text { price })= & \beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \log (\text { assess })+\beta_{2} \log (\text { lotsize }) \\
& +\beta_{3} \log (\text { sqrft })+\beta_{4} \text { bdrms }+u
\end{aligned}
$$

- price $=$ Actual house price
- assess =The assessed housing value before the house was sold
- lotsize $=$ Size of lot (in feet)
- sqrft =Square footage
- bdrms =number of bedrooms


## Multiple Regression Analysis: Inference

## General Linear Restrictions

- Now, suppose we would like to test whether the assessed housing price is a rational valuation. If this is the case, then a $1 \%$ change in assess should be associated with a $1 \%$ change in price; that is, $\beta_{1}=1$. In addition, lotsize, sqrft, and bdrms should not help to explain log (price), once the assessed value has been controlled for.
- Hence we want to test $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=1, \beta_{2}=0, \beta_{3}=0, \beta_{4}=0$ vs $H_{1}: H_{0}$ not true
- Sample size: 88.
- Running the regression of $\log$ (price) on $\log$ (assess) , $\log$ (lotsize), $\log (s q r f t)$ and $b d r m s$ we obtain $S S R_{u r}=1.822$
- Imposing the restriction given by $H_{0}$ we have

$$
\log (\text { price })-\log (\text { assess })=\beta_{0}+u
$$

- Estimating the parameter of this model by OLS we obtain $S S R_{r}=1.88$.
- Test $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=1, \beta_{2}=0, \beta_{3}=0, \beta_{4}=0$ vs $H_{1}: H_{0}$ not true at $5 \%$ level.


## Prediction for the conditional mean of $y$

Suppose that we want an estimate of

$$
E\left(y \mid x_{1}=x_{1,0}, \ldots, x_{k}=x_{k, 0}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1,0}+\ldots+\beta_{k} x_{k, 0} .
$$

That is, we would like to estimate the the mean of $y$ when the regressors are equal to known values $x_{1,0}, \ldots, x_{k, 0}$.

- This is easy to obtain by substituting the $x^{\prime}$ s in our estimated model with $x_{0}$ 's,

$$
\hat{y}_{0}=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{1,0}+\ldots+\hat{\beta}_{k} x_{k, 0} .
$$

- We would like to construct confidence intervals for $E\left(y \mid x_{1}=x_{1,0}, \ldots, x_{k}=x_{k, 0}\right)$.
- But what about a standard error of $\hat{y}_{0}$, ?
- There is general formula for this standard error in the case $k>1$, but it requires knowledge of matrix algebra. However there is a simple way to obtain this standard error.
- Let us change notation and define
$\theta=E\left(y \mid x_{1}=x_{1,0}, \ldots, x_{k}=x_{k, 0}\right)$.
- Thus now the objective becomes to construct a confidence interval for $\theta$.
- $\theta$ is just a linear combination of the parameters.


## Prediction for the conditional mean of $y$

- Can rewrite

$$
\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1,0}+\ldots+\beta_{k} x_{k, 0}=\theta
$$

as

$$
\beta_{0}=\theta-\beta_{1} x_{1,0}-\ldots-\beta_{k} x_{k, 0}
$$

- Substitute in

$$
y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{k} x_{k}+u, u \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

to obtain

$$
y=\theta+\beta_{1}\left(x_{1}-x_{1,0}\right)+\ldots+\beta_{k}\left(x_{k}-x_{k, 0}\right)+u
$$

- So, if you regress $y$ on $\left(x_{j}-x_{j, 0}\right), j=1, \ldots, k$, the intercept will give the predicted value and its standard error.
- Hence constructing a confidence interval for $\theta$ is similar to constructing a confidence interval for a parameter.
- se $\left(\hat{y}_{0}\right)$ is the standard error of the intercept in the regression of $y$ on an intercept and $\left(x_{j}-x_{j, 0}\right), j=1, \ldots, k$.


## Prediction for the conditional mean of $y$

Remark: In the simple regression model we have

$$
y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x+u, E(u \mid x)=0, \operatorname{var}(u \mid x)=\sigma^{2}
$$

Suppose that we would like to predict the value of

$$
E\left(y \mid x=x_{0}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{0}
$$

In this case

$$
\operatorname{se}\left(\hat{y}_{0}\right)^{2}=\hat{\sigma}^{2}\left[\frac{1}{n}+\frac{\left(x_{0}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}\right]
$$

where $\hat{\sigma}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{u}_{i}^{2} /(n-2)$ (recall that $k=1$ in the simple regression model).

## Prediction for the conditional mean of y in the multiple regression model

Example: Consider the following equation:

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} x_{i}+u_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 60
$$

The results from estimating this equation using 60 observations by Ordinary Least Squares were (standard errors in parentheses) are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{y}=\underset{(0.125)}{0.395}-\underset{(0.189)}{0.550 x} \\
S S R=42.307, S S E=6.1771, \\
S_{x}^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}=0.34033
\end{gathered}
$$

Given that $x_{0}=0.075$, the sample mean of $x$ is 0.105 and that $u \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$, calculate the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for $E\left(y \mid x=x_{0}\right)$

## Prediction for y

Suppose now that we would like to construct a confidence interval for $y$ when when the regressors are equal to known values $x_{1,0}, \ldots, x_{k, 0}$ and denote this value as $y_{0}$.

- How can we construct a confidence interval for $y_{0}$ ?
- Notice that

$$
y_{0}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1,0}+\ldots+\beta_{k} x_{k, 0}+u_{0}
$$

- Our best prediction for $y_{0}$ is the regression line

$$
\hat{y}_{0}=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{1,0}+\ldots+\hat{\beta}_{k} x_{k, 0}
$$

- The prediction error is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{u}_{0} & =y_{0}-\hat{y}_{0} \\
& =\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1,0}+\ldots+\beta_{k} x_{k, 0}+u_{0}-\hat{y}_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Therefore, as $u_{0}$ and $\hat{y}_{0}$ are independent (conditional on the regressors):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{u}_{0}\right) & =\operatorname{Var}\left(u_{0}\right)+\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{y}_{0}\right) \\
& =\sigma^{2}+\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{y}_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Prediction for y

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{u}_{0}\right)=\sigma^{2}+\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{y}_{0}\right) .
$$

- Hence an estimator for $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{u}_{0}\right)$ is given by

$$
s e_{0}^{2}=\hat{\sigma}^{2}+s e\left(\hat{y}_{0}\right)^{2},
$$

where $\operatorname{se}\left(\hat{y}_{0}\right)$ is the standard error of the intercept in the regression of $y$ on $\left(x_{j}-x_{j, 0}\right), j=1, \ldots, k$, and
$\hat{\sigma}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{u}_{i}^{2} /(n-k-1)$.

- It can be shown that if $u \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$,

$$
\frac{y_{0}-\hat{y}_{0}}{s e_{0}} \sim t(n-k-1)
$$

- Hence the $(1-\alpha) \%$ prediction interval for $y_{0}$ is given by

$$
\left(\hat{y}_{0}-t_{\alpha / 2} s e_{0}, \hat{y}_{0}+t_{\alpha / 2} s e_{0}\right),
$$

where $t_{\alpha / 2}$ is the percentile $(1-\alpha / 2)^{t h}$ of the the $t$ distribution with $n-k-1 d f$.

## Prediction for y

Example: Suppose we have the following regression model

$$
\begin{aligned}
y= & \beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\beta_{2} x_{2}+\beta_{3} x_{3} \\
& +\beta_{4} x_{3}^{2}+u .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have a sample of 4,137 observations. The estimated model is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{y}= & \underset{(0.075)}{1.493}+\underset{(0.00007)}{0.00149} x_{1}-\underset{(0.00056)}{0.01386} x_{2}-\underset{(0.01650)}{0.06088} x_{3} \\
& +\underset{(0.00227)}{0.00546} x_{4}, \\
\hat{\sigma}= & 0.56
\end{aligned}
$$

## Prediction for y

Objectives:

- Construct a $95 \%$ confidence interval for the mean of $y$ when $x_{1}=1,200, x_{2}=30$ and $x_{3}=5, x_{4}=25$.
- Construct a $95 \%$ confidence interval for $y$ when $x_{1}=1,200$, $x_{2}=30, x_{3}=5, x_{4}=25$.
- Define a new set of regressors:
- $x_{1}^{*}=x_{1}-1,200$.
- $x_{2}^{*}=x_{2}-30$.
- $x_{3}^{*}=x_{3}-5$.
- $x_{4}^{*}=x_{4}-25$.

Running the regression of $y$ on these new regressors we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{y}= & \underset{(0.020)}{2.700}+\underset{(0.00007)}{0.00149} x_{1}^{*}-\underset{(0.00056)}{0.01386} x_{2}^{*}-\underset{(0.01650)}{0.06088} x_{3}^{*} \\
& +\underset{(0.00227)}{0.00546} x_{4}^{*} . \\
\hat{\sigma}= & 0.56
\end{aligned}
$$

## Predicting y in a log model

Suppose that we have the model

$$
\log (y)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{k} x_{k}+u
$$

$E\left(u \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=0, \operatorname{Var}\left(u \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=\sigma^{2}$ and we would like to predict the mean of $y$ for any value of the regressors: $E\left(y \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$.
What can we do?
Given the OLS estimators the predicted value for the mean of $\log (y)$ for any values of the regressors is

$$
\widehat{\log (y)}=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\hat{\beta}_{k} x_{k}
$$

Our first guess would be to exponentiate $\widehat{\log (y)}$.
However, simple exponentiation of $\log (y)$ will underestimate the expected value of $y$ as $\widehat{\log (y)}$ is and estimator of $E\left(\log (y) \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ and it can be shown using an inequality known as Jensen's inequality that

$$
\exp \left[E\left(\log (y) \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)\right] \leq E\left(y \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)
$$

## Predicting y in a log model

If $u \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$, in can be shown that

$$
E\left(y \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=\exp \left(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right) \exp \left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{k} x_{k}\right)
$$

Therefore, a simple way to predict $y$ is

$$
\hat{y}=\exp \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}^{2}}{2}\right) \exp \left(\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\hat{\beta}_{k} x_{k}\right)
$$

