## Scheduling Exercises Solutions

## SCH_1:

a) Johnson rule yields the best scheduling for these jobs

| $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The first step is to identify the lowest processing time on both centres. If the lowest time is on centre 1 (machine 1 ), the job is brought forward to the beginning of the schedule. If the lowest time is on centre 2 (machine 2 ), the job is pushed back to the end of the schedule. Each time a job is scheduled it ought to be removed from the scheduling list. The procedure is to continue until no further jobs need scheduling.
b)


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W1 | 52 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 9 | 16 | 35 |
| P1 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 17 |
| W2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 |
| P2 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 5 |
| Time in the <br> system | 58 | 7 | 44 | 21 | 31 | 38 | 57 |

$\mathrm{W}_{1}$ - waiting time on machine 1
$P_{1}$ - processing time on machine 1
$W_{2}$ - waiting time on machine 2
$\mathrm{P}_{2}-$ processing time on machine 2
c) Average number of jobs in the system $=(7+21+31+38+44+57+58) / 58$ $=4.414$ jobs

| Interval | $\mathbf{0 - 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 - 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 - 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 - 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 - 5 8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 7 | 14 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 13 | 1 |
| Jobs | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Average | $(7 * 7) / 58$ <br> $=0.845$ | 1.448 | 0.862 | 0.483 | 0.310 | 0.448 | 0.017 |

d)

Utilization rate of $\mathrm{M} 1=\frac{54}{58}=0.9310$
Utilization rate of $\mathrm{M} 2=\frac{58-(3+2+8)}{58}=\frac{45}{58}=0.7758$
Average utilization rate of equipment $=$
$=0.8534$
e)

Total idle cost in $M 1=4 \times 5=20$
Total idle cost in $M 2=13 \times 6=78$
Sum of processing times in M1 $=54$ hours
Sum of processing times in M2 $=45$ hours

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Idle cost M1 | 0.74 | 1.11 | 3.70 | 2.22 | 2.59 | 3.33 | 6.30 | 20 |
| Idle cost M1 | 1.73 | 6.93 | 10.40 | 20.80 | 17.33 | 12.13 | 8.67 | 78 |
| Proc. cost M1 | 20 | 30 | 100 | 60 | 70 | 90 | 170 | 540 |
| Proc. cost M2 | 11 | 44 | 66 | 132 | 110 | 77 | 55 | 495 |
| Total Cost | 33.47 | 82.04 | 180.10 | 215.02 | 199.93 | 182.47 | 239.96 | 1133 |

## SCH_2:

Data:
Mold: $\quad$ Processing cost $=€ 30,00 /$ hour
Chroming: Processing cost $=€ 20,00 /$ hour
Mold: Idle cost $=€ 15,00 /$ hour
Chroming: Idle cost $=€ 10,00$ hour
a) The sequence that minimize the total processing time is given by the Johnson's Rule:

b)


Part $D$ is not finished by the end of the $8^{\text {th }}$ day, so the production plan will not be accomplished.
c)

Mold: $\quad$ Total processing time $=104$ hours
Chroming: Total processing time $=122$ hours
Mold: $\quad$ Total idle cost $=36 \mathrm{~h} \times € 15,00=€ 540,00$
Chroming: Total idle cost $=18 \mathrm{~h} \times € 10,00=€ 180,00$
Part F total cost: $16 \mathrm{~h} \times € 30,00+12 \mathrm{~h} \times € 20,00+€ 540,00 \times(16 / 104)+$ $€ 180,00 \times(12 / 122)=\boldsymbol{€ 8 2 0 , 7 8}$
d) Part A waits $=\mathbf{8}$ hours $(76 h-68 h)$

## SCH_3:

a) No, because the sequence that minimize the total processing time is given by the Johnson's Rule:

| $\mathbf{C}$ | F | B | E | D | A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

b)


At the end of the $29^{\text {th }}$ day, pieces $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ are finished.
c)

Abrasing (M1): Total idle time $=3$ hours (54-51)
Lacquering (M2): Total idle time $=19$ hours $(10+2+7)$
Lacquering $(M 2)$ : Idle rate $=(19 / 54) \times 100 \%=\mathbf{3 5 , 1 9 \%}$

## SCH_4:

a) The sequence that minimize the total processing time is given by the Johnson's Rule:

| V6 | V3 | V4 | V5 | V2 | V1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

b)


On day 6, two vats, Vat 6 and Vat 3, are ready.
c)

|  | V1 | V2 | V3 | V4 | V5 | V6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metal Cutter | 31 | 21 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 0 | 77 |
| Welding | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 |

Idle total cost of $M C=2 h x € 20=€ 40$
Idle total cost of $W=4 h x € 60=€ 240$

## Total cost of Vat 5:

$€ 40 \times 6 \mathrm{~h}+€ 50 \times 4 \mathrm{~h}+€ 40 \times(15 / 77)+€ 240 \times(5 / 6)=$
$=\mathbf{6} 647,79$

## SCH_5:

a)

The Operations Manager decided to start the processing of the orders on day 260 according to the following sequence: SD-SA-SB-SE-SF-SC.

| Order of arrival of the order | Due date | Processing time <br> (days) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SA | 310 | 18 |
| SB | 350 | 28 |
| SC | 380 | 25 |
| SD | 300 | 15 |
| SE | 375 | 26 |
| SF | 378 | 22 |

a)

The sequencing rule used was EDD.

|  | Due <br> Date | Processing <br> time | Flow time | End date | Latenes <br> $\mathbf{s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | 300 | 15 | 15 | $274^{*}$ | 0 |
| SA | 310 | 18 | 33 | 292 | 0 |
| SB | 350 | 28 | 61 | 320 | 0 |
| SE | 375 | 26 | 87 | 346 | 0 |
| SF | 378 | 22 | 109 | 368 | 0 |
| SC | 380 | 25 | 134 | 393 | 13 |
|  |  | 134 | 439 |  | 13 |

*(260+15-1 =274)
Average jobs lateness $=(13 / 6)=2.17$ days
Average number of jobs in system $=(439 / 134)=3.28$ jobs
Utilization rate $=(134 / 439) \times 100=30.52 \%$
Average completion time $=(439 / 6)=73.16$ days
b) SD-SA-SF-SC-SE-SB

|  | Due <br> Date | Processing <br> time | Flow time |  | Late |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | 300 | 15 | 15 | $274 *$ | 0 |
| SA | 310 | 18 | 33 | 292 | 0 |
| SF | 378 | 22 | 55 | 314 | 0 |
| SC | 380 | 25 | 80 | 339 | 0 |
| SE | 375 | 26 | 106 | 365 | 0 |
| SB | 350 | 28 | 134 | 393 | 43 |
|  |  | 134 | 423 |  | 43 |

$$
*(260+15-1=274)
$$

Average jobs lateness $=(43 / 6)=7.17$ days
Average number of jobs in system $=(423 / 134)=3.15$ jobs
Utilization rate $=(134 / 423) \times 100=32.39 \%$
Average completion time $=(423 / 6)=70.50$ days

The EDD scheduling minimizes total delay.

## SCH_6:

a) The Johnson rule produces the following scheduling sequence:

| $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



The FIFO rule produces the following scheduling sequence:

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



Using FIFO, the production assistant needed 52 hours to process the 5 jobs. Using the Johnson rule he would have required only 50 hours.
b)

|  | Jonhson |  | FIFO |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M1 | M2 | M1 | M2 |
| A | 7 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 3 | 9 | 5 | 3 |
| C | 12 | 16 | 9 | 8 |
| D | 18 | 18 | 15 | 10 |
| E | 0 | 0 | 27 | 9 |
|  | TOTAL $=98$ hours |  | TOTAL $=86$ hours |  |


|  | Johnson |  | FIFO |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A | 22 | 336.73 | 0 | 0.00 |
| B | 12 | 183.67 | 8 | 139.53 |
| C | 28 | 428.57 | 17 | 296.51 |
| D | 36 | 551.02 | 25 | 436.05 |
| E | 0 | 0.00 | 36 | 627.91 |
|  | 98 | $\mathbf{1 5 0 0 . 0 0}$ | 86 | $\mathbf{1 5 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

## SCH_7:

a)

| Project hours |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consultants/ <br> Project | A | B | C | D |
| Marie | 17 | 13 | 18 | 13 |
| Paul | 16 | 14 | 16 | 15 |
| Johnny | 17 | 17 | 16 | 19 |
| Sara | 18 | 16 | 17 | 14 |

This is a minimisation problem, so the regular method is applied.

Step i) Find the row minimum and subtract it from the remaining row values:

|  | A | B | C | D | row <br> min. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marie | 17 | 13 | 18 | 13 | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |
| Paul | 16 | 14 | 16 | 15 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |
| Johnny | 17 | 17 | 16 | 19 | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |
| Sara | 18 | 16 | 17 | 14 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |


|  | A | B | C | D |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marie | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Paul | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Johnny | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Sara | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 |

Step ii) Find the column minimum and subtract it from the remaining column values:

|  | A | B | C | D |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marie | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Paul | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Johnny | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Sara | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| col. min | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |


|  | A | B | C | D |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marie | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Paul | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Johnny | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Sara | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 |

Step iii) Draw the minimum number of lines required to cross all zeroes:

|  | A | B | C | D |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Marie | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Paul | 1 | 0 | 2 |  |
| Johnny | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Sara | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 |

Step iv) subtract the smallest uncrossed value (1) to all other uncrossed values. Add that smallest uncrossed value to all values at the interception of lines:

|  | A | B | C | D |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marie | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Paul | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Johnny | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| Sara | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |

Step v) Draw the minimum number of lines required to cross all zeroes:

|  | A | B | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marie | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Paul | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Johnny | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| Sara | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |

The assignment that minimizes the total execution time for these projects is:

Marie: B
Paul: A
Johnny: C
Sara: D
b) Total number of hours required to complete all projects $=$ $13+16+16+14=59$ hours

## SCH_8:

a)

|  | Operations | HRM | Finance | Marketing |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chris | 90 | 65 | 95 | 40 |
| Steve | 70 | 60 | 80 | 75 |
| Juana | 85 | 40 | 80 | 60 |
| Rebeca | 55 | 80 | 65 | 55 |

We are now facing a maximization problem so we need to identify the table maximum and subtract each cell value from it. After this step we will have a standard assignment method minimization problem.

|  | Operations | HRM | Finance | Marketing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chris | 5 | 30 | 0 | 55 |
| Steve | 25 | 35 | 15 | 20 |
| Juana | 10 | 55 | 15 | 35 |
| Rebeca | 40 | 15 | 30 | 40 |

Step i) Find the row minimum and subtract it from the remaining row values:

|  | Operations | HRM | Finance | Marketing | row <br> min. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chris | 5 | 30 | 0 | 55 | 0 |
| Steve | 25 | 35 | 15 | 20 | 15 |
| Juana | 10 | 55 | 15 | 35 | 10 |
| Rebeca | 40 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 15 |


|  | Operations | HRM | Finance | Marketing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chris | 5 | 30 | 0 | 55 |
| Steve | 10 | 20 | 0 | 5 |
| Juana | 0 | 45 | 5 | 25 |
| Rebeca | 25 | 0 | 15 | 25 |

Step ii) Find the column minimum and subtract it from the remaining column values:

|  | Operations | HRM | Finance | Marketing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chris | 5 | 30 | 0 | 55 |
| Steve | 10 | 20 | 0 | 5 |
| Juana | 0 | 45 | 5 | 25 |
| Rebeca | 25 | 0 | 15 | 25 |
| col. <br> min. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |


|  | Operations | HRM | Finance | Marketing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chris | 5 | 30 | 0 | 50 |
| Steve | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Juana | 0 | 45 | 5 | 20 |
| Rebeca | 25 | 0 | 15 | 20 |

Step iii) Draw the minimum number of lines required to cross all zeroes:

|  | Operations | HRM | Finance | Marketing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chris | 5 | 30 | 0 | 50 |
| Steve | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Juana | 0 | 45 | 5 | 20 |
| Rebeca | 25 | 0 | 15 | 20 |

As the number of lines required to cross all zeros equals the number of rows (and columns) this is the optimal solution for the assignment.

Chris: Finance - 95
Steve: Marketing - 75
Juana: Operations Management - 85
Rebeca: Human Resource Management - 80

Overall teaching rating: $95+75+85+80=335$
b) According to the optimal assignment Chris shouldn't teach Operations Management, so the optimal solution remains identical given this restriction.

## SCH_9

a)

This is a minimisation problem, so the regular method is applied.
Step i) Find the row minimum and subtract it from the remaining row values:

|  | SOLD | PIC | PENT | LAS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| T2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| T3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| T4 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 |

Step ii) Find the column minimum and subtract it from the remaining column values:

|  | SOLD | PIC | PENT | LAS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| T2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| T3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| T4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 |

Step iii) Draw the minimum number of lines required to cross all zeroes:


Step iv) subtract the smallest uncrossed value (1) to all other uncrossed values. Add that smallest uncrossed value to all values at the interception of lines:

|  | SOLD | PIC | PENT | LAS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| T2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| T3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| T4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |

The optimal assignment is:
T1: SOLD $\rightarrow 27$
T2: PIC $\rightarrow 24$
T3: PENT $\rightarrow 26$
T4: LAS $\rightarrow 24$
Total $=101$
T1: LAS $\rightarrow 23$
T2: SOLD $\rightarrow 27$
T3: PENT $\rightarrow 26$
T4: PIC $\rightarrow 25$
Total $=101$
T1: LAS $\rightarrow 23$
T2: PIC $\rightarrow 24$
T3: PENT $\rightarrow 26$
T4: SOLD $\rightarrow 28$
Total $=101$
b) $\mathrm{T} 1:$ PENT $\rightarrow 28$

T2: PIC $\rightarrow 24$
T3: LAS $\rightarrow 29$
T4: SOLD $\rightarrow 28$
Total $=109$

This assignment varies from the optimal in 8 hours.

## SCH10:

a)

The sequence that minimize the total processing time is given by the Johnson's Rule:

| F | B | E | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

b)

|  | Truck |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | Idle cost/minute |
| Unloading <br> (minutes) | 30 | 20 | 35 | 40 | 25 | 14 | $€ 2,0$ |
| Storing <br> (minutes) | 10 | 40 | 25 | 18 | 36 | 22 | $€ 3,0$ |



## c) 14 h 06 m

d)

Unloading Idle Cost $=10 \mathrm{~h} \times € 2,00=€ 20,00$
Storing Idle Cost $=(14 \mathrm{~h}+9 \mathrm{~h}) \times € 3,00=€ 69,00$

Total Idle Cost $=€ 89,00$

|  | Truck |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | Total |
| Total time in <br> the system | 174 | 76 | 137 | 155 | 112 | 36 | 690 |
| Idle cost for <br> each truck | $\mathbf{C 2 2 , 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{C 9 , 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{C 1 7 , 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{C 1 9 , 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{€ 1 4 , 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{C 4 , 6 4}$ | $€ 89,00$ |

## Multiple choice questions

1. The data below has been retrieved from the processing centres of JumboTron. Work centre 1 packages the order while work centre 2 adds a decorative ribbon to the package.

|  | Jobs (processing time in hours) |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| Packaging | 6 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 2 |
| Adding ribbon | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 6 |


| Which of the following minimises total processing time? |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 |  | F-B-C-E-D-A |
| 2 |  | A-F-E-C-B-D |
| 3 | X | F-C-E-A-B-D |
| 4 |  | D-B-C-F-A-E |


| Assuming JumboTron follows the sequence A-F-E-C-B-D, how many jobs are completed after 21 processing hours? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | x | 2 jobs |
| 2 |  | 3 jobs |
| 3 |  | 4 jobs |
| 4 |  | 5 jobs |

2. Consider the following data concerning the orders received from clients by ICEFLAVORS in the previous week:

|  |  | Orders sorted by <br> arrival date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Apple | Due date <br> (day) | Processing Time <br> (days) |
| Banana | 145 | 30 |
| Cherry | 135 | 25 |
| Apricot | 305 | 70 |
| Raspberry | 230 | 55 |

a) Assuming the orders were processed according to their arrival order, how long does an order for Cherry ice cream spend in the system?

| 1 |  | 25 days |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 |  | 55 days |
| 3 |  | 70 days |
| 4 | $\mathbf{X}$ | 125 days |
| 5 |  | 220 days |

b) Assuming the orders started being processed in the beginning of day 100 and that the followed scheduling was SPT, what is the average completion time?

| 1 |  | 220 days |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 |  | 545 days |
| 3 | $\mathbf{X}$ | 109 days |
| 4 |  | 70 days |
| 5 |  | 13.2 days |
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3. AUDIOSOND is a media content managing firm. The program's director wishes to schedule 4 shows in 4 time-slots. The goal is to maximise the overall number of viewers. The next table shows historical data for the number of shows per viewer at the different time slots:

|  | Viewers (in thousands) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PROG1 | PROG2 | PROG3 | PROG4 |
| 14 h 00 | 150 | 120 | 130 | 120 |
| 17 h 00 | 140 | 125 | 140 | 135 |
| 19 h 00 | 150 | 145 | 140 | 170 |
| 21 h 00 | 160 | 140 | 150 | 125 |

a) The Program Director asked a trainee to find the optimal assignment and report back to him the next day. To do this, the trainee decided to follow the steps suggested in the assignment method. The first matrix obtained by the trainee was as follows:

| 1 | X |  | PROG1 | PROG2 | PROG3 | PROG4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $14 \mathrm{h00}$ | 20 | 50 | 40 | 50 |
|  |  | 17 h 00 | 30 | 45 | 30 | 35 |
|  |  | 19h00 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 0 |
|  |  | 21h00 | 10 | 30 | 20 | 45 |
| 2 |  |  | PROG1 | PROG2 | PROG3 | PROG4 |
|  |  | 14h00 | 30 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
|  |  | 17 h 00 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 10 |
|  |  | $19 \mathrm{h00}$ | 10 | 5 | 0 | 30 |
|  |  | 21h00 | 35 | 15 | 25 | 0 |
| 3 |  |  | PROG1 | PROG2 | PROG3 | PROG4 |
|  |  | 14h00 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 17 h 00 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 |
|  |  | $19 \mathrm{h00}$ | 10 | 25 | 10 | 50 |
|  |  | 21h00 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 5 |
| 4 |  |  | PROG1 | PROG2 | PROG3 | PROG4 |
|  |  | 14h00 | 30 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
|  |  | 17 h 00 | 20 | 5 | 20 | 15 |
|  |  | 19h00 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 50 |
|  |  | 21h00 | 40 | 20 | 30 | 5 |

b) After applying all the steps of the assignment method, the trainee obtained the following matrix:

|  | PROG 1 | PROG 2 | PROG 3 | PROG 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $14 \mathrm{h00}$ | 0 | 10 | 15 | 25 |
| 17 h 00 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 19 h 00 | 25 | 10 | 30 | 0 |
| 21 h 00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 30 |


| The director opted for the following assignment: PROG1- <br> 19H00; PROG2-14h00; PROG3-21h00; and PROG4- <br> 17h00. What is the impact on the number of viewers, <br> relative to the optimal assignment? |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | X | a gain of 65 thousand viewers |$|$| a loss of 45 thousand viewers |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 |  |
| 4 |  |

Optimal assignment: $150+140+140+170=600$ thousand viewers
PROG1-19H00; PROG2-14h00; PROG3-21h00 and PROG4-17h00 = $=150+120+150+135=555$ thousand viewers

Difference: 600-555 = a loss of 45 thousand viewers
4. SOLATAS received 5 orders in the previous week. The manager decided to start processing the orders in the beginning of day 151 of the production cycle.

| Orders (by arrival <br> time) | Due date | Processing time <br> (days) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OA | 210 | 40 |
| OB | 301 | 25 |
| OC | 160 | 5 |
| OD | 169 | 15 |
| OE | 225 | 20 |



| b) What is the average number of orders in the system if  <br> the manager schedules the processing as OC-OD-OE-OB-OA?  <br> 1  <br> 2 5 orders <br> 2  <br> 3 X <br> 4  |
| :--- |

5. RESTAURARBEM, an antique renovation company has received six orders last week. Antique renovation is a two-stage process in which the antiques are first processed on an abrasing machine and then lacquered on a second machine. The table below describes the processing hours on each machine:

|  | Jobs (processing hours) |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| Abrasing (M1) | 2 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 7 |
| Lacquering (M2) | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 3 |

Which of the following sequences minimises the total processing time?

| 1 |  | D-B-A-F-E-C |
| ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2 |  | D-C-A-B-F-E |
| 3 |  | D-A-B-E-F-C |
| 4 | x | $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{B}$ |


| If the followed processing sequence was: F-E-D-C-A-B, what is <br> the waiting time for job C on machine 2 (lacquering)? <br> 1 |  | 25 hours |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | x | 4 hours |
| 3 |  | 21 hours |
| 4 |  | 0 hours |


| Assuming the processing sequence was: F-E-D-C-A-B, what is the |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| inactivity time on machine 2 after 15 hours? |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | x | 9 hours |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | 2 hours |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | 7 hours |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | 4 hours |  |  |  |

