

1.

- a) x_1 is the number of hours used to produce toys of type 1; thus, the coefficient 3 means that each hour dedicated to toys of type 1 makes it possible to produce 3000 toys in section 1.
- b) $x^* = (68, 10, 29, 92, 0, 0, 0)$: $s_1^* = |220 - 312| = 92, s_2^* = |340 - 340| = 0, s_3^* = |0 - 0| = 0, s_4^* = |10 - 10| = 0.$

To satisfy the orders at minimum cost, 68 hours (x_1^*) must be used in the production of type 1 toys, 10 hours (x_2^*) in the production of type 2 toys, and 29 hours (x_3^*) in the production of type 3 toys.

Section 1 produces 92 thousand toys (s_1^*) more than the required minimum, while section 2 produces exactly the minimum number of toys required ($s_2^* = 0$).

- c) $y^* = (0; 6,5; -6; 17,5).$
 $y_1^* = 0$: if the minimum number of toys required in section 1 increases or decreases (within the sensitivity interval) the total cost does not change.
 $y_4^* = 17,5$: If the minimum number of hours required to produce toys of type 2 (10) increases by one hour, the total cost increases 17,5 euros (the variation occurs in the sensitivity interval).

- d) $b_1^{new} = 222 \in] - \infty, 312].$ Then, $\Delta z = \Delta b_1 \times y_1 = 0.$
 $b_2^{new} = 342 \in [225, +\infty[.$ Then, $\Delta z = \Delta b_2 \times y_2 = 2 \times 6,5 = 13.$

Option 1 is the best because it does not lead to extra costs.

- e) $\Delta c_2 = -5 \in [-17,5, +\infty[.$ Then, the optimal solution remains the same and $\Delta z = \Delta c_2 \times x_2 = -5 \times 10 = -50.$ The total cost is reduced by 50 euros.

- f) M is a sufficiently large constant and:

$$y = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if section 1 is closed} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} ; u = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if toys of type 1 are produced} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Changes to the model:

$$\begin{aligned} 3x_1 + 5x_2 + 2x_3 &\geq 500 - M(1 - y) \\ 4x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 &\geq 500 - My \\ x_1 &\geq 70u \\ x_1 &\leq Mu \\ y, u &\in \{0,1\} \end{aligned}$$

2. Augmented form:

$$\text{Max } z = 4x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3$$

$$\text{s. t. } \begin{cases} 2x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 + s_1 & = 2 \\ -2x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + s_2 & = 0 \\ -x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + s_3 & = 4 \\ x_j \geq 0 & j = 1,2,3,4,5,6 \end{cases}$$

VB	Z	x_1	x_2	x_3	s_1	s_2	s_3	TI	Entering and leaving criteria
Z	1	-2	-4	-1	0	0	0	0	EC: $\text{Min}\{-2; -4; -1\} = -4 \leftarrow x_2$
s_1	0	2	-1	2	1	0	0	2	LC: $\left. \begin{array}{l} 0/2 \\ 4/2 \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{Min}} x_5$
s_2	0	-2	2	1	0	1	0	0	
s_3	0	-1	2	1	0	0	1	4	
Z	1	-6	0	1	0	2	0	0	
s_1	0	1	0	$5/2$	1	$1/2$	0	2	
x_2	0	-1	1	$1/2$	0	$1/2$	0	0	
s_3	0	1	0	0	0	-1	1	4	

$\mathbf{x} = (0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 4)$ is a BFS not optimal because there are negative coefficients in the first row.

3.

a) Define x_{ij} = hundreds of toys to send from i ($i = P, 1(G1), 2(G2)$) to L_j ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min } Z &= 100 \times (12x_{p1} + 10x_{p2} + 8x_{p3} + 12x_{p4} + 10x_{11} + 8x_{12} + 15x_{13} + 12x_{14} + 12x_{21} + \\ & 12x_{22} + 10x_{23} + 20x_{24}) \\ x_{21} + x_{22} + x_{23} + x_{24} &\leq 20 \quad (P) \\ x_{p3} + x_{13} + x_{23} &= 6 \quad (L3) \\ x_{ij} &\geq 0 \quad i = P, 1, 2; j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \end{aligned}$$

b) Define $x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if Gnome } G_i \text{ go to } L_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$

Consider the following cost matrix of an assignment problem, with origins (gnomes) and destinations (locations).

	L1	L2	L3	L4
G1	-	8×4	15×6	12×10
G2	12×12	12×4	10×6	20×10
G3	-	10×4	8×6	12×10
G4	-	10×4	8×6	12×10

$$\text{Min } Z = 100 \times (144 + 32x_{12} + 90x_{13} + 120x_{14} + 48x_{22} + 60x_{23} + 200x_{24} + 40x_{32} + 48x_{33} + 120x_{34} + 40x_{42} + 48x_{43} + 120x_{44})$$

$$\text{s. a: } \begin{cases} \sum_{j=2}^4 x_{ij} = 1 & i = 1, 3, 4 \\ x_{1j} + x_{3j} + x_{4j} = 1 & j = 2, 3, 4 \\ x_{ij} \geq 0 & i = 1, 3, 4; j = 2, 3, 4 \end{cases}$$

Note that $x_{21} = 1$.

4. Minimum spanning tree problem.

Iteração	Vértice na árvore	Vértice adjacente \notin árvore + perto	Comprimento da ligação	Ligação a juntar
1	T	5	2	(T, 5)
2	T	1	3	(4,5)
	5	4	1 \leftarrow mín	
3	T	1	3	(3,5)
	5	3	2 \leftarrow mín	
	4	3	4	
4	T	1	3 \leftarrow mín	(T, 1)
	5	1	4	
	4	2	5	
	3	-	-	
5	T	-	-	(1,2)
	5	2	6	
	4	2	5 \leftarrow mín	
	3	-	-	
	1	2	6	

MST: {(T, 5); (4,5); (3,5); (T, 1); (1,2)} total length: 13.

5.

- a) False. To ensure that there is at least one optimal integer solution, the capacities must also be integer (and the problem must be feasible).
- b) False. This is only true for maximization problems.